

Commodifying Vaccines to Curtail Antibiotic Resistance Impact in Malaria Endemic Countries

Maria Khan

Department of Microbiology, Peshawar Institute of Cardiology-MTI, Peshawar, Pakistan

ABSTRACT

Rampant and prevalent deployment of an efficient malaria vaccine in Pakistan, together with basic control and preventive measures, could significantly decrease the economic and healthcare burden caused by drug-resistant malaria. Moreover, RTS, S/AS01 vaccine has attained a much-needed breakthrough after decades of growth, as an innovative vaccine for malaria in Phase III clinical trials, and presently undergoing implementation studies. So far Gavi, WHO, and other stakeholders are contemplating on the practical issues, risk-benefit, and cost-effectiveness in resource-limited settings of vaccine implementation capacity. Imminent advances, like using a delayed as well as enhanced protection, divided schedule for dosing, and alternate adjuvants are likely to attain the vital goal of eradication of malaria. Vaccination is a potentially critical component of efforts to arrest the development and dissemination of antimicrobial resistance; though little is known about the impact vaccination may have within low-and-middle-income countries.

Key Words: Antimicrobial resistance, Malaria, Vaccine.

How to cite this article: Khan M. Commodifying Vaccines to Curtail Antibiotic Resistance Impact in Malaria Endemic Countries. *J Coll Physicians Surg Pak* 2023; **33(12)**:1454-1456.

Antimicrobial resistance (AMR) is a leading global health threat,¹ with 1.27 million deaths attributed to AMR in 2019 alone.² AMR development is thought to be primarily driven by antimicrobial use,³ but with resistant genes and their host bacteria capable of passing between people, animals, and the environment, multi-faceted approaches are needed to curb AMR development and dissemination.⁴ In 2016, a global review on AMR set out ten recommendations for tackling this global pandemic,⁵ one of which was vaccination.⁶

Vaccines may directly and indirectly impact on AMR, however, vaccines that target viruses and parasites may also deliver an indirect effect on AMR.⁷ Conversely, vaccines may also exert a resistance selection pressure on target and/or bystander pathogens.⁸ Thus, to understand these complex interactions more fully, it is crucial that the putative impact of vaccines on AMR is evaluated in a systematic manner.

Varying responses to vaccination have been perceived among low-income (LIC), low- and-middle-income countries (LMICs) and high-income countries (HIC), with vaccines frequently under-performing expectations in LMICs despite high vaccine coverage rates.⁹

However, many LMICs also have severely limited access to diagnostics and appropriate antibiotics,¹⁰ with empirical and potentially unnecessary antibiotic prescriptions being a common reality of clinical practice.¹¹ Hence, whilst there is an intrinsic need to conduct vaccine impact evaluations in both HICs and LMICs, there is also a need to evaluate whether vaccination can play a cost-effective role in assisting equitable provision of antibiotics to those at greatest need.¹²

In accordance with World Health Organization (WHO), approximately 219 million malaria cases worldwide were reported in 2017, which were slightly lower than that in 2010, i.e. 239 million but higher than in 2016 which were 217 million.¹³ Pakistan is ranked as one of the highest burden regions in combating malaria, with an expected one million cases per annum.¹⁴ Conferring to statistics of WHO, presently, 84% of malaria is due to *Plasmodium vivax* (*P. vivax*) whereas *Plasmodium falciparum* (*P. falciparum*) accounts to 14.9% and mixed infection due to *P. falciparum* and *P. vivax* is 1.1% cases.¹³ Especially, extremely underdeveloped and impoverished areas of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa and adjoining Tribal districts of Pakistan have the highest burden of malaria cases attributing to influx of internally displaced persons and previously settled Afghan refugees.¹⁵ Moreover, the emergence of drug resistance in *Plasmodium* contribute to pose an enormous risk to efficient malaria control and management in Pakistan. Mostly, *P. falciparum* chloroquine resistance transporter (PfCRT) gene mutations lead to chloroquine resistance, initially emerged in 1960s in Latin America and South-East Asia and in 1970s in East Africa.¹⁶

Correspondence to: Dr. Maria Khan, Department of Microbiology, Peshawar Institute of Cardiology-MTI, Peshawar, Pakistan

E-mail: kmaria22@hotmail.com

Received: March 01, 2023; Revised: July 11, 2023;

Accepted: September 28, 2023

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.29271/jcpsp.2023.12.1454>

Malaria vaccine is an effective mode to fight the colossal socio-economic encumbrance as a result of this infection.¹⁷ Therefore, an effective vaccine can both prevent sensitive and resistant infections, overall decreasing selection pressure for resistance and pathogen-associated antimicrobial use.¹⁸ In contrast, developing an antimalarial vaccine is challenging, mostly due to an intricate life cycle of the parasite and inadequate knowledge regarding immune system's response.¹⁹ In 2021, RTS,S/AS01 became the first malaria vaccine to be recommended by WHO for general use in the paediatric population.²⁰ Finally, pilot studies were underway in Malawi, Kenya, and Ghana for RTS,S/AS01 vaccine to answer the unresolved concerns related to vaccine and its implication on public health use.¹⁷ As it is a sub-unit lyophilised injection delivered intramuscularly targeting *P. falciparum*, therefore, it is delivered *via* three doses at five, six, and seven months of age followed by a fourth dose at 18-21 months of age.²¹

Phase III trials indicated that the RTS,S/AS01 vaccine was effective at reducing clinical malaria.²² However, although rare, increases in febrile convulsions, meningitis, cerebral malaria, and mortality rates in RTS,S/AS01 vaccinated individuals led to a recommendation for further safety profiling and impact assessment.²¹ The unique nature of RTS,S/AS01 vaccine portrays a pioneer for vaccine development against malaria. None of the vaccines for malaria has progressed to Phase III trials, specifically aiming *P. falciparum* has received positive opinion from the Medicines Agency of Europe, or suggested by the WHO advisory committees for execution among moderate-to-high malaria transmission areas of African settings.¹⁷ Additional candidate vaccines for *Plasmodium* i.e. PfSPZ and R21 are in progressive development. These vaccines are on trial for efficacy and safety in malaria non-infected and infected individuals. Both vaccines and other potential products are scheduled in the Rainbow Tables of WHO²³ and have been lately appraised.²

Many challenges are required to be flagged immediately and effectively to devise an ideal prophylactic malaria vaccine. For the current implementation studies, manufacturers are making continuous efforts, but there is still uncertainty regarding their long-term supply. It should be emphasised that companies manufacturing vaccines should plot well in advance about vaccine assembling to meet demands. If implementation studies are in support of vaccine usage, then unprecedented delays can be overcome by careful planning. Challenges facing transportation and storage of vaccines, training of healthcare personnel as well as advocacy and partnerships need likewise consideration at the local, regional, and national levels.

COMPETING INTEREST:

The author declared no competing interest.

AUTHOR'S CONTRIBUTION:

MK: Substantial contributions to the conception or design of the work and drafting the work.

The author approved the final work for publication.

REFERENCES

1. World Health Organization. 10 global health issues to track in 2021. Available from: <http://www.who.int/news486room/spotlight/10-global-health-issues-to-track-in-2021>. (Accessed on 2/28/2022).
2. Murray CJ, Ikuta KS, Sharara F, Swetschinski L, Aguilar GR, Gray A, et al. Global burden of bacterial anti-microbial resistance in 2019: A systematic analysis. *Lancet* 2022; **399(10325)**: 629-55. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(21)02724-0.
3. Cantón R, Bryan J. Global antimicrobial resistance: From surveillance to stewardship. Part 1: Surveillance and risk factors for resistance. *Expert Rev Anti Infect Ther* 2012; **10(11)**:1269-71. doi:10.1586/eri.12.120.
4. Wernli D, Jorgensen PS, Parmley EJ, Troell M, Majowicz S, Harbarth S, et al. Evidence for action: A one health learning platform on interventions to tackle anti-microbial resistance. *Lancet Infect Dis* 2020; **20(12)**: e307-11. doi: 10.1016/S1473-3099(20)30392-3.
5. Review on Antimicrobial Resistance. Tackling drug-resistant infections globally: Final report and recommendations, 2016. Available from: <http://amr-review.org/home>.
6. World Health Organization. Resolution 72.5-antimicrobial resistance, 2019. Available from: http://apps.who.int/gb/ebwha/pdf_files/WHA72/A72_R5-en.pdf. (Accessed on 5/11/2022).
7. Micoli F, Bagnoli F, Rappuoli R, Serruto D. The role of vaccines in combatting antimicrobial resistance. *Nat Rev Microbiol* 2021; **19(5)**:287-302. doi:10.1038/s41579-020-00506-3.
8. Christine T, Olesen SW, Grad YH, Lipsitch M. Estimating the proportion of bystander selection for antibiotic resistance among potentially pathogenic bacterial flora. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* 2018; **115(51)**:E11988-95. doi:10.1073/pnas.1810840115.
9. Gori A, Obolski U, Swarthout TD, Lourenço J, Weight CM, Cornick J, et al. The metabolic virulence and antimicrobial resistance profiles of colonizing *Streptococcus pneumoniae* shift after pneumococcal vaccine introduction in urban Malawi. *MedRxiv* 2021; 2021.07.21.21260914. doi:10.1101/2021.07.21.21260914.
10. Dixon J, MacPherson E, Manyau S, Nayiga S, Zaw YK, Kayendeke M, et al. The drug bag method: Lessons from anthropological studies of antibiotic use in Africa and South-East Asia. *Glob Health Action* 2019; **12(1)**: 1639388. doi:10.1080/16549716.2019.1639388.
11. MacPherson EE, Reynolds J, Sanudi E, Nkaombe A, Phiri C, Mankhomwa J, et al. Understanding antimicrobial resistance through the lens of antibiotic vulnerabilities in primary health care in rural Malawi. *Glob Public Health* 2021; 1-17. doi:10.1080/17441692.2021.2015615.
12. Sevilla JP, Bloom DE, Cadarette D, Jit M, Lipsitch M. Toward economic evaluation of the value of vaccines and other health technologies in addressing AMR. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* 2018; **115(51)**:12911-9. doi:10.1073/pnas.1717161115.

13. World Malaria Report 2018. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2018. Available from: <http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/275867/9789241565653-eng.pdf?ua>.
14. Kakar Q, Khan M, Bile K. Malaria control in Pakistan: New tools at hand but challenging epidemiological realities. *East Med Health J* 2010; **16 (Supp)**:54-60.
15. Jawaid A, Zafar AM, Mahmood SF. Impact of Afghan refugees on the infectious disease profile of Pakistan: Beyond economy. *Inter J Infect Dis* 2008; **12(6)**:e131-e2. doi: 10.1016/j.ijid.2008.01.012.
16. Nuwaha F. The challenge of chloroquine-resistant malaria in sub-saharan Africa. *Health Policy Plan* 2001; **16(1)**:1-12. doi: 10.1093/heapol/16.1.1.
17. Laurens MB. RTS, S/AS01 vaccine (Mosquirix™): An overview. *Hum Vaccin Immunother* 2020; **16(3)**:480-9. doi: 10.1080/21645515.2019.1669415.
18. Jansen KU, Knirsch C, Anderson AS. The role of vaccines in preventing bacterial antimicrobial resistance. *Nature Med* 2018; **24(1)**:10-9. doi: 10.1038/nm.4465.
19. Centers for disease control and prevention. Barriers to developing a malaria vaccine [Internet]. Centers for disease control and prevention; 2021 October. Available from: http://www.cdc.gov/malaria/malaria_worldwide/reduction/vaccine.html#:~:text=The%20development%20of%20a%20malaria,immune%20response%20.
20. World Health Organization. WHO recommends groundbreaking malaria vaccine for children at risk 2021. Available from: <http://www.who.int/news/item/06-10-2021-who-recommends-groundbreaking-malaria-vaccine-for-children-at-risk>. (Accessed on 5/12/2022).
21. Praet N, Asante KP, Bozonnat MC, Jacqueline Akité E, Odum Ansah P, Baril L, et al. Assessing the safety, impact and effectiveness of RTS, S/AS01E malaria vaccine following its introduction in three sub-Saharan African countries: Methodological approaches and study set-up. *Malar J* 2022; **21(1)**:132. doi:10.1186/s12936-022-04144-3.
22. RTS SCTP. Efficacy and safety of RTS, S/AS01 malaria vaccine with or without a booster dose in infants and children in Africa: Final results of a Phase 3, individually randomized, controlled trial. *Lancet* 2015; **386(9988)**: 31-45. doi:10.1016/S0140 6736(15)60721-8.
23. Tables of malaria vaccine projects globally. Geneva: World Health Organization. Available from: http://www.who.int/immunization/research/development/Rainbow_tables/en/. (Accessed on 7/14/2019).
24. Draper SJ, Sack BK, King CR, Nielsen CM, Rayner JC, Higgins MK, et al. Malaria vaccines: Recent advances and new horizons. *Cell Host Microbe* 2018; **24(1)**:43-56. doi: 10.1016/j.chom.2018.06.008.

• • • • •