Idiopathic Venous Thromboembolism and Metabolic Syndrome: A Meta-analysis

Refukaiti Abuduhalike, Umesh Yadav, Juan Sun and Ailiman Mahemuti

Department of Cardiology, First Affiliated Hospital of Xinjiang Medical University, Urumqi, Xinjiang, China

ABSTRACT

Metabolic syndrome (MetS) is a recognised risk factor for arterial thromboembolism. However, whether MetS is also a risk factor for venous thromboembolism (VTE) is uncertain. PubMed, Embase, Web of science, and Cochrane databases were searched for case-control and cohort studies as well as conference proceedings of the International society on Thrombosis and Haemostasis (ISTH), and the Women's Health International Symposium Thrombosis and Hemostasis Branch (WHITH) published on or before March 1, 2021, to identify eligible studies. All included articles were assessed by two investigators using the Newcastle-Ottawa scale (NOS). We calculated odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) to evaluate the association between VTE and MetS by using random or fixed-effects models. There were 31 case-control and 5 cohort studies with a total of 78,529 participants that fulfilled the inclusion criteria, MetS (OR 1.49; 95% CI 1.29-1.73) and its critical component obesity (OR 2.03; 95% CI 1.74-2.37), hypertension (OR 1.40; 95% CI 1.19-1.64) and diabetes mellitus (OR 1.22; 95% CI 1.01-1.48) were significant risk factors for VTE. MetS and its critical component obesity may contribute to the multifactorial pathogenesis of VTE.

Key Words: Venous thromboembolism, Metabolic syndrome, Obesity.

How to cite this article: Abuduhalike R, Yadav U, Sun J, Mahemuti A. Idiopathic Venous Thromboembolism and Metabolic Syndrome: A Meta-analysis. *J Coll Physicians Surg Pak* 2022; **32(07)**:909-914.

INTRODUCTION

Venous thromboembolism (VTE) is the third leading cause of cardiovascular death in the world besides myocardial infarction and stroke.^{1,2} Surgery, pregnancy, hormone therapy, cancer, and trauma are the acquired risk factors of VTE.³ As well as interventions for various risk factors, have not decreased the incidence of VTE, and the cause of 30% of the patients with VTE is still unknown.⁴ Therefore, further exploration of the etiology and pathogenesis of idiopathic VTE, and seeking more effective new ideas for the prevention and treatment of idiopathic VTE is urgent.

Metabolic syndrome (MetS) is a general term for a class of risk factors related to cardiovascular metabolism.⁵ Recent related research demonstrated that the arterial and venous thromboembolic diseases may be different manifestations of the same chronic non-specific inflammatory diseases.⁶⁻⁸ At the same time, a large number of studies have confirmed the MetS and its critical component, obesity, may affect all the components of Virchow's triangle (coagulation disorders, slowed blood flow, and endothelial damage).⁹⁻¹¹

Correspondence to: Dr. Mahemuti Ailiman, Department of Cardiology, First Affiliated Hospital of Xinjiang Medical University, Urumqi, Xinjiang, China E-mail: xinjiangailiman@163.com

Received: August 04, 2021; Revised: November 06, 2021; Accepted: December 16, 2021 DOI: https://doi.org/10.29271/jcpsp.2022.07.909 As the co-mediating factors of inflammation and coagulation disorder, MetS and obesity may be considered as the primary risk factors for thromboembolic disease. However, the association between idiopathic VTE and MetS and its components has not been fully established. This meta-analysis was conducted to determine the risk of idiopathic VTE in MetS.

METHODOLOGY

This research followed the PRISMA statement of systematic review and meta-analysis. No Ethics Committee approval was necessary for meta-analysis.

PubMed, Embase, Web of Science, Cochrane databases and conference proceedings of the International Society on Thrombosis and Haemostasis (ISTH), Women's Health International Symposium Thrombosis and Haemostasis Branch (WHITH), were searched by using the key words: venous thromboembolism or pulmonary thromboembolism or deep vein thrombosis and metabolic syndrome or X syndrome or obesity or body mass index or hypertension or diabetes mellitus or triglycerides.

Studies were included if they were case-control or cohort studies on the patients with idiopathic VTE and MetS or components of MetS, especially obesity published on or before March 1 2021. Studies that were duplicate reports of reviews, cross-sectional studies, case reports or studies with no comparable data were excluded.

Study selection was independently performed by the two reviewers (Abuduhalike and Yadav) with disagreements

resolved through discussion and by seeking the opinion of a third reviewer (Sun), whenever needed to reach the consensus. Only studies reporting on objectively confirmed diagnosis of idiopathic VTE, were included in the final data set.¹

Information regarding the year of publication, study design, the country where the research subjects are located, the sample size and the control group, the definition of VTE used and MetS, the adequacy of exposure, and the length of follow-up years were retrieved about all the selected studies. All the included articles were assessed by the two investigators (Abuduhalike and Yadav) using the NOS scale.¹² The NOS scale comprises a total of 8 items, with a full score of 9 points. All the studies with a NOS score of \geq 6 are considered high-quality studies, with relatively reliable results. All the authors discussed together before deciding the NOS score for each selected study.

The results of the studies were collected using Review Manager (RevMan), version 5.3 for the Windows (The Cochrane Collaboration 2003, Oxford, England). The authors calculated odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) to estimate the risk for each factor by using the random or fixed-effects model. Statistical heterogeneity was evaluated with χ^2 and I^2 statistics, which assess the appropriateness of collecting the individual study results.¹³ For $I^2 \ge 50\%$, a random-effects model was used, otherwise, a fixed-effects model was used in accordance with the Cochrane review guidelines. Publication bias was evaluated using funnel plots.

RESULTS

Seven thousand five hundred and ninety studies were identified using the search strategy, out of which 7,511 were excluded after scanning the titles and abstracts. In order to avoid the influence of confounding factors on the results of this meta-analysis, surgery, pregnancy, and cancer related VTE were not included in this study. After excluding irrelevant articles based on the process illustrated in Figure 1, 31 case-control and 5 cohort studies with a total of 78,529 participants were eventually included in the meta-analysis. NOS score was determined for all the selected studies. All the studies with an NOS score of ≥ 6 were considered high-quality studies, and the results were relatively reliable.

As shown in Figure 2, 5 case-control and 2 cohort studies evaluated the association between VTE and MetS.¹⁴⁻²⁰ After a general assessment of the OR values of all the included studies, it can be deduced that the individuals with MetS have a higher risk of VTE than those without MetS (OR 1.49; 95% Cl 1.29–1.73). The heterogeneity among the 5 case-control studies and 2 cohort studies included in this analysis was extremely low ($l^2 = 0\%$). There was a moderate degree of heterogeneity between the case-control and cohort studies ($l^2 = 41\%$). As only 5 case-control studies and 2 cohort studies were included, publication bias analysis was not required.

As shown in Figure 3, 17 case-control and 3 cohort studies evaluated the effect of obesity on VTE. $^{14,16-18,21-36}$ Body mass index \geq 30

Kg/m² was grouped together and defined as obesity. As can be seen from the forest plot, whether in case-control or cohort studies, obese subjects have a higher risk of developing VTE than non-obese subjects (OR 2.03; 95% CI 1.74–2.37).

There was high heterogeneity among the 17 case-control studies included ($I^2=64$). Heterogeneity could not be significantly reduced after subgroup analysis based on the quality of the included studies, the year of publication, the total number of subjects included, and the source of the study population. Finally, the source of heterogeneity was analysed by the stepwise elimination method, and it was determined that the heterogeneity obviously decreased after elimination of the study published by Hotoleanu *et al.* ($I^2=56$). A moderate degree of heterogeneity ($I^2=58\%$) was found between the case-control studies and cohort studies included in this section, therefore, it is believed that the heterogeneity originates from the large number of case-control studies and the comprehensive effect of the quality, included subject numbers, and the inclusion and exclusion criteria among the included studies.





	Case		Case Control		Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
1.1.1 case control studies	5					
Ageno W et al. 2006	47	93	37	107	1.93 [1.09, 3.42]	
Ambrosetti M et al. 2007	44	86	29	95	2.38 [1.30, 4.38]	
Ay C et al. 2007	40	116	26	129	2.09 [1.17, 3.71]	
Jang MJ et al. 2009	99	208	113	300	1.50 [1.05, 2.15]	
Vayá A et al. 2011	28	146	12	150	2.73 [1.33, 5.60]	
Subtotal (95% CI)		649		781	1.89 [1.50, 2.38]	•
Total events	258		217			
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 3.25	, df = 4 (P	= 0.52)); I ² = 0%			
Test for overall effect: Z = 5	5.38 (P < I	0.00001	i)			
1.1.2 cohort studies						
Ray JG et al. 2007	34	88	1930	5434	1.14 [0.74, 1.76]	
Steffen LM et al. 2009	136	359	6407	20015	1.30 [1.04, 1.61]	
Subtotal (95% CI)		447		25449	1.26 [1.04, 1.53]	•
Total events	170		8337			
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 0.26	, df = 1 (P	= 0.61)); I ² = 0%			
Test for overall effect: Z = 2	2.37 (P = 1	0.02)				
Total (95% CI)		1096		26230	1.49 [1.29, 1.73]	•
Total events	428		8554			
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 10.2	0, df = 6 (P = 0.1	2); I ² = 41	%		
Test for overall effect: Z = 5	5.37 (P < I	0.00001	I)			0.1 0.2 0.5 1 2 5 10
Test for subaroup differen	ces: Chi²	= 6.85.	df = 1 (P	= 0.009). I ² = 85.4%	

Figure 2: Forest plot of the relationship between VTE and MetS.

	Cas	e	cont	rol	Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	M-H, Random, 95% Cl	M-H, Random, 95% Cl
2.1.1 case-control studies	3					
Abdollahi M et al. 2003	102	454	62	454	1.83 [1.30, 2.59]	
Ageno W et al. 2006	29	93	22	107	1.75 [0.92, 3.33]	
Ambrosetti M et al. 2007	21	86	6	95	4.79 [1.83, 12.54]	
Ashrani AA et al. 2016	104	570	94	604	1.21 [0.89, 1.64]	+
Ay C et al. 2007	60	116	53	129	1.54 [0.93, 2.55]	
Delluc A et al. 2011	136	732	104	732	1.38 [1.04, 1.82]	
Dentali F et al. 2007	27	84	19	94	1.87 [0.95, 3.69]	
Di Minno MN et al. 2010	98	138	107	276	3.87 [2.49, 6.01]	
Halvorson EE et al. 2015	33	88	42	176	1.91 [1.10, 3.33]	
Hong C et al. 2005	35	89	27	89	1.49 [0.80, 2.77]	
Huerta C et al. 2007	1325	6550	1119	10000	2.01 [1.85, 2.19]	· ·
Lidegaard O et al. 2002	52	492	52	1074	2.32 [1.56, 3.47]	
Nightingale AL et al. 2000	53	384	104	1464	2.09 [1.47, 2.98]	
Rosenfeld HE et al. 2012	67	160	30	160	3.12 [1.88, 5.18]	
Stokes S et al. 2014	22	48	69	274	2.51 [1.34, 4.72]	
Vayá A. et al. 2011	48	146	18	150	3.59 [1.97, 6.55]	
Vavá A et al. 2007	34	149	11	185	4.68 [2.28, 9.60]	
Subtotal (95% CI)		10379		16063	2.10 [1.78, 2.47]	•
Total events	2246		1939			
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.06	; Chi ² = 44.	46, df =	16 (P = 0	.0002); (² =64%	
Test for overall effect: Z = 8	.89 (P < 0.0	00001)				
2.1.2 cohort studies						
Fontaine GV et al. 2015	130	230	1602	3333	1.40 [1.07, 1.84]	
Peng YH al. 2020	1	125	124	24710	1.60 [0.22, 11.53]	
Subtotal (95% CI)		355		28043	1.41 [1.08, 1.84]	-
Total events	131		1726			
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.00	; Chi² = 0.0	2, df = 1	(P = 0.90	0); I ² = 0	%	
Test for overall effect: Z = 2	51 (P = 0.0	01)				
Total (95% CI)		10734		44106	2.03 [1.74, 2.37]	•
Total events	2377		3665			
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.06	; Chi ² = 50.	49, df =	18 (P < 0	.0001);1	²= 64%	
Test for overall effect: Z = 8	.89 (P < 0.0	00001)				0.1 0.2 0.5 1 2 5 10
Test for subaroup differen	ces: Chi ² =	6.27. df	= 1 (P = 0).01), l² :	= 84.1%	

Figure 3: Forest	plotoftherelationsh	ip between VTE and obesity.

	Cas	9	Cont	rol	Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	M-H, Random, 95% Cl	M-H, Random, 95% CI
3.1.1 case-contro studies						
Ambrosetti M et al. 2007	81	86	91	95	0.71 [0.18, 2.74]	
Ay C et al. 2007	62	116	59	129	1.36 [0.82, 2.25]	+
Deguchi H et al. 2016	3	49	0	49	7.45 [0.37, 148.20]	
Delluc A et al. 2011	216	467	228	467	0.90 [0.70, 1.17]	+
Di Minno MN et al. 2010	94	138	111	276	3.18 [2.06, 4.89]	-
Hong C et al. 2005	39	89	22	89	2.38 [1.25, 4.50]	
Huerta C et al. 2007	1528	6550	2014	10000	1.21 [1.12, 1.30]	•
Jang MJ et al. 2009	112	208	141	300	1.32 [0.92, 1.88]	+-
Lidegaard O et al. 2002	11	492	21	1074	1.15 [0.55, 2.40]	+
Nightingale AL et al. 2000	24	384	51	1464	1.85 [1.12, 3.04]	
Vayá Allet al. 2011	22	146	16	150	1.49 [0.75, 2.96]	+
Vayá A et al. 2007	20	149	15	185	1.76 [0.87, 3.56]	+
Xu Z et al. 2016	6	30	37	194	1.06 [0.40, 2.78]	
Yu Metal. 2016	44	276	82	536	1.05 [0.70, 1.56]	t.
Subtotal (95% CI)		9180		15008	1.40 [1.15, 1.71]	•
Total events	2262		2888			
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.06;	Chi ² = 35.3	37, df =	13 (P = 0	.0007);1	²= 63%	
Test for overall effect Z = 3.3	38 (P = 0.0	007)				
3.1.2 cohort studies						
Holst AG et al. 2010	474	969	7128	17985	1 46 [1 28 1 66]	
Subtotal (95% CI)	414	969	1120	17985	146 [128, 166]	•
Total events	474		7128		,	
Heterogeneity: Not applicab	le					
Test for overall effect Z = 5.1	71 (P < 0.0	0001)				
		,				
Total (95% CI)		10149		32993	1.40 [1.19, 1.64]	+
Total events	2736		10016			
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.04;	Chi ² = 40.4	40, df=	14 (P = 0	.0002);1	²= 65%	
Test for overall effect Z = 4.0	07 (P < 0.0	001)				0.000 0.1 1 10 200
Test for subaroup difference	es: Chi² = I).10. df	= 1 (P = 0).75), I² =	: 0%	

Figure 4: Funnel plot of the relationship between VTE and hypertension.

	Case Control			rol	Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio			
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	M-H, Random, 95% Cl	M-H	, Random, 95% Cl		
4.1.1 Case-control studies									
Ambrosetti M et al. 2007	24	86	18	95	1.66 [0.83, 3.32]		+		
Ashrani AA et al. 2015	168	1340	142	1538	1.41 [1.11, 1.79]		-		
Ashrani AA et al. 2016	62	570	71	604	0.92 [0.64, 1.32]		+		
Deguchi H et al. 2016	1	49	0	49	3.06 [0.12, 77.02]				
Delluc A et al. 2011	54	467	72	467	0.72 [0.49, 1.05]		-		
Heit JA et al. 2009	208	1922	163	2155	1.48 [1.20, 1.84]		-		
Hermanides J et al. 2009	7	188	37	370	0.35 (0.15, 0.80)	_	-		
Hong C et al. 2005	40	89	19	89	3.01 [1.56, 5.80]				
Huerta C et al. 2007	380	6550	452	10000	1.30 [1.13, 1.50]		•		
Jang MJ et al. 2009	33	208	34	300	1.48 [0.88, 2.47]		+-		
Larsen TB et al. 2007	3	129	4	258	1.51 [0.33, 6.86]				
Lidegaard O et al. 2002	1	492	6	1074	0.36 [0.04, 3.02]		-		
Vayá Allet al. 2011	7	146	2	150	3.73 [0.76, 18.25]				
Vayá A et al. 2007	6	149	4	185	1.90 [0.53, 6.86]				
Xu Z et al. 2016	1	30	11	194	0.57 [0.07, 4.61]				
Subtotal (95% CI)		12415		17528	1.24 [1.01, 1.53]		•		
Total events	995		1035						
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.07; (Chi² = 35.	93, df =	14 (P = 0	.001); I²:	= 61%				
Test for overall effect: Z = 2.0	04 (P = 0.0)4)							
4.1.2 cohort studies									
Holst AG et al. 2010	28	969	503	17985	1.03 [0.70, 1.52]		-		
Peng YH al. 2020	80	125	45	24710	974.42 [610.31, 1555.75]		1		
Subtotal (95% CI)		969		17985	1.03 [0.70, 1.52]		T		
Total events	28		503						
Heterogeneity: Not applicable	le								
Test for overall effect: Z = 0.1	17 (P = 0.8	36)							
Total (95% CI)		13384		35513	1.22 [1.01, 1.48]		•		
Total events	1023		1538						
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.06: (Chi ² = 37	09. df=	15 (P = 0	.001); I ² :	= 60%	+ +	- <u> </u>	+	
Test for overall effect Z = 2.0	02 (P = 0.0	(4)				0.01 0.1	1 10	100	
Test for subaroup difference	es: Chi ² =	0.67. df	= 1 (P = 0	.41), I ² =	0%				

Figure 5: Forest plot of the relationship between VTE and diabetes mellitus.

		Case		0	ontrol	1	Std. Mean Difference	Std. Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	IV, Fixed, 95% CI	IV, Fixed, 95% Cl
5.1.1 case-control studie	s							
Ageno W et al. 2006	1.57	0.72	93	1.31	0.66	107	0.38 [0.10, 0.66]	
Deguchi et al. 2005	1.8	1.11	49	1.62	0.95	49	0.17 [-0.22, 0.57]	
Deguchi H et al. 2016	1.8	1.11	49	1.62	0.95	49	0.17 [-0.22, 0.57]	
Hald EM et al. 2012	1.38	0.92	20	1.27	0.73	20	0.13 (-0.49, 0.75)	
Jang MJ et al. 2009	1.6	0.89	208	1.6	1.11	300	0.00 [-0.18, 0.18]	
Kawasaki T et al. 1997	1.6	0.92	109	1.2	0.82	109	0.46 [0.19, 0.73]	
Vayá A et al. 2011	1.46	1	146	1.13	0.68	150	0.39 [0.16, 0.62]	
Vayá A et al. 2002	1.39	0.8	143	1.2	0.57	194	0.28 [0.06, 0.50]	
Vayá A et al. 2007	1.44	1.03	149	1.07	0.54	185	0.46 [0.24, 0.68]	
Xu Z et al. 2016	1.92	1.84	30	1.73	1.46	194	0.12 [-0.26, 0.51]	
Subtotal (95% CI)			996			1357	0.26 [0.18, 0.35]	•
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 16.5	5, df = 9) (P = 0	1.06); Iª	= 46%				
Test for overall effect Z = 6	6.16 (P	< 0.000	001)					
5.1.2 Cohort studies								
Brækkan SK et al. 2012	1.64	0.91	341	1.52	1.03	25844	0.12 [0.01, 0.22]	
Holst AG et al. 2010	1.48	1.01	969	1.4	1.05	17985	0.08 [0.01, 0.14]	-
Subtotal (95% CI)			1310			43829	0.09 [0.03, 0.14]	◆
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 0.40	, df = 1	(P = 0.9)	53); I ² =	: 0%				
Test for overall effect Z = 3	3.09 (P =	= 0.002	2)					
Total (95% CI)			2306			45186	0.14 [0.09, 0.19]	•
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 28.8	5, df = 1	1 (P =	0.002)	² = 62	%			
Test for overall effect Z = 5	5.97 (P	< 0.000)01)					-1 -0.5 0 0.5 1
Test for subaroup differen	ces: Ch	i² = 11	.90. df :	= 1 (P =	0.0008	6). I ² = 91	.6%	
est for subdroub differen	ces. Ch	F= 11	.90. ar:	= 1 (P =	0.0001	5). 17 = 91	.0%	

Figure 6: Forest plot of the relationship between VTE and triglycerides.

In order to avoid the high publication bias, the authors enlarged their search terms, identified a large number of studies in the multiple databases and conducted detailed reviews. Therefore, this section includes a large number of studies published from 2000 to 2020. The funnel plot reveals that moderate publication bias exists among the included studies. It is believed that there are many sources of publication bias and the difficulties of the publication of research papers with negative statistical results, is a common problem worldwide. Consequently, the heterogeneity and publication bias could have affected results to a certain extent.

As shown in Figure 4, 14 case-control studies examined the association between hypertension and VTE.^{1,17,19,21,23-26,29-34} Patients with hypertension (OR 1.40; 95% CI 1.19–1.64) were at higher risk of developing VTE, with statistical heterogeneity among the studies (l^2 =65%, p<0.001). After a subgroup analysis by stepwise elimination, it was determined that the heterogeneity was significantly improved after removing the article of Di Minno *et al.* (l^2 =27%).²⁴

As shown in Figure 5, 15 case-control studies investigated to examine the association between diabetes mellitus and VTE.^{17-19,23-25,29-33,35-38} Patients with Diabetes mellitus were at higher risk of VTE (OR 1.22; 95% Cl 1.01–1.48) with statistical heterogeneity among the studies ($l^2=60\%$; p=0.001). In order to find the source of heterogeneity, the selected papers were divided into three groups for subgroup analysis based on the total number of subjects (n≥1000, 500≤n<1000, n<500). The results of the subgroup analysis suggest that the heterogeneity mainly originates from the papers with a total number of subjects from 500 to 1000.

As shown in Figure 6,10 case-control studies evaluated the association between triglyceride levels and risk of VTE.^{16,29-33,39-42} The level of measured triglycerides was higher in patients with VTE than in controls (Standardised Mean Difference (SMD) 0.14; 95% Cl 0.09–0.19) with medium statistical heterogeneity among the studies ($I^2 = 62\%$; p=0.002).

DISCUSSION

The association between MetS and VTE has been studied for nearly 20 years, but no clear consensus has been achieved and the underlying mechanisms have not been elucidated. In clinical practice, the authors emphasise the importance of intervention for MetS in the patients with arterial thromboembolic diseases, but when the patients at higher risk of developing idiopathic VTE are encountered, the importance of intervention for MetS is often not stressed. The results suggest that MetS (OR 1.49; 95% Cl 1.29–1.73) is the significant risk factor for VTE, and further confirmed the importance of early intervention for MetS to prevent idiopathic VTE. In addition, the NOS evaluation of the included studies is shown as high-quality (NOS Scale \geq 6) with extremely low heterogeneity (I² = 0), thereby demonstrating the reliability of our results.

Obesity is a serious, global health problem. The latest report of the World Health Organization (WHO) revealed that in 2016, 1.9 billion of the world's adults were overweight and more than 650 million were obese.³⁷ Since, the exact amount of risk that obesity contributes in idiopathic VTE has not been ascertained, the results clearly suggest that obesity (OR 2.03; 95% Cl 1.74–2.37) is the main risk factor in idiopathic VTE. There is high heterogeneity (I^2 =64) in this part of the study and the heterogeneity obviously decreased after the elimination of the study published by Hotoleanu *et al.* (I^2 =56). The funnel plot results reveal that there is publication bias among the included studies. Therefore, it is believed that there are many sources of publication bias and the difficulties of the publication of research papers with negative statistical results, is a common problem worldwide.

The findings of this meta-analysis reveal that some components of the MetS, namely hypertension (OR 1.40), and diabetes mellitus (OR 1.22) are significantly associated with the risk of VTE. In addition, triglycerides levels were significantly higher in VTE patients with MetS compared to controls, an established fact, but without significant association with the risk of VTE. However, the analysis is unable to determine whether MetS is a stronger risk factor than each of these three components in isolation. Nevertheless, these findings could indicate that MetS may be one element of the multifactorial pathogenesis of VTE. However, although an association exists between MetS and some of its components with the risk of VTE, a direct causality link is difficult to establish.

In order to reveal the intrinsic pathophysiological relationship between MetS and idiopathic VTE, the authors consulted a large number of studies and found that the potential causes of MetS and obesity increasing the risk of VTE may be related to the factors such as increased coagulation activity, vascular endothelial injury, venous blood stasis, oxidative stress, and chronic inflammation.^{10,11,38-46} At the same time, coagulation disorder, slowed blood flow, and endothelial damage are the key components of Virchow^{II}s triangle.^{9,47-49} In addition, adipose tissue can also secrete leptin, which up-regulates the expression of tissue factors, thereby, promoting the pre-thrombotic state.^{43,44} The mechanism of idiopathic VTE caused by MetS and its components are more complicated, but eventually lead to idiopathic VTE after causing the lesions of various components of Virchow's triangle. The above research results support the results of findings and further reveal the pathophysiological mechanism of idiopathic VTE caused by MetS. Finally, weight loss and exercise prescription may reduce the risk of VTE associated with metabolic syndrome and obesity, which cannot be ignored in our clinical practice, particularly in the later stage.^{39,50}

There are several potential limitations in this study. First, there is some degree of heterogeneity in the included studies, which could indicate differences in the population demographics, sample size, patient characteristics, and exposure misclassification due to variation in the accuracy of monitoring, which decreases the scientific validity of the meta-analysis and its conclusions. Second, the included studies have been conducted over different time periods (2000-2021), and it is unclear how changing clinical practices and the introduction and uptake of new diagnostic and therapeutic approaches could have influenced referral, treatment, and diagnostic patterns. Another interesting aspect of this study is that the risk of obesity for idiopathic VTE (OR 2.03) is higher than the risk of MetS (OR 1.49). The reason may be related to the included studies on the association between MetS and VTE, which may be relevant to the small number of studies, small sample size, major retrospective studies, and the impact of merging heterogeneous data on the results. In addition, the asymmetry of funnel plots is a common defect of the most meta-analyses. A possible reason for the asymmetry of the funnel plot is that the works with positive results are more easily accepted by journals, whereas research with negative results, is less likely to be published by journals.

CONCLUSION

MetS (OR 1.49; 95% CI 1.29–1.73) and its critical components: obesity (OR 2.03; 95% CI 1.74–2.37), hypertension (OR 1.40; 95% CI 1.19–1.64) and diabetes mellitus (OR 1.22; 95% CI 1.01–1.48), significantly increase the risk of idiopathic VTE. In future clinical practice, clinicians need to be more attentive to the danger of MetS in the high-risk idiopathic VTE patients and focus on the role of weight loss prescriptions on the prevention of idiopathic VTE. On the basis of this meta-analysis, further multi-centred, prospective, cohort studies are required to further confirm the impact of MetS intervention on primary prevention of idiopathic VTE.

FUNDING INFORMATION:

This work was supported financially by the National Natural Science Fund of China (81560803).

COMPETING INTEREST:

The authors declare no competing interests.

AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTION:

AM: Conceptualisation, supervision, writing review and editing. RA, UY: Data curation, investigation. RA, UY, JS: Formal analysis. JS: Software. RA: Writing original draft. All authors approved the final version of the manuscript to be published.

REFERENCES

- Konstantinides SV, Meyer G, Becattini C, Bueno H, Geersing GN, Harjola VP, et al. 2019 ESC Guidelines for the diagnosis and management of acute pulmonary embolism developed in collaboration with the European respiratory society (ERS). Eur Heart J 2020; 41(4):543-603. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ ehz405.
- Di Nisio M, van Es N, Buller HR. Deep vein thrombosis and pulmonary embolism. *Lancet* 2016; **388(10063)**:3060-73. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(16)30514-1.
- Nicholson M, Chan N, Bhagirath V, Ginsberg J. Prevention of venous thromboembolism in 2020 and beyond. *J Clin Med* 2020; 9(8)-2467. doi: 10.3390/jcm9082467.
- Heit JA, O'Fallon WM, Petterson TM, Lohse CM, Silverstein MD, Mohr DN, *et al.* Relative impact of risk factors for deep vein thrombosis and pulmonary embolism: A populationbased study. *Arch Intern Med* 2002; **162(11)**:1245-8. doi: 10.1001/archinte.162.11.1245.
- Alberti KG, Zimmet P, Shaw J. The metabolic syndrome a new worldwide definition. *Lancet* 2005; **366(9491)**: 1059-62. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(05)67402-8.
- Delluc A, Lacut K, Rodger MA. Arterial and venous thrombosis: What's the link? A narrative review. *Thromb Res* 2020; **191**:97-102. doi: 10.1016/j.thromres.2020. 04.035.
- Prandoni P. Venous and arterial thrombosis: Two aspects of the same disease? *Eur J Intern Med* 2009; **20(6)**:660-1. doi: 10.1016/j.thromres.2020.04.035.
- Abuduhalike R, Sun J, Zhao L, Mahemuti A. Correlation study of venous thromboembolism with SAA, IL-1, and TNFa levels and gene polymorphisms in Chinese population. J Thoracic Dis 2019; 11(12):5527-34. doi: 10.21037/jtd. 2019.11.26.
- 9. Wolberg AS, Aleman MM, Leiderman K, Machlus KR. Procoagulant activity in hemostasis and thrombosis: Virchow's triad revisited. *Analgesia* 2012; **114(2)**:275-85. doi: 10.1213/ANE.0b013e31823a088c.
- Franchini M, Lippi G, Manzato F, Vescovi PP, Targher G. Hemostatic abnormalities in endocrine and metabolic disorders. *Eur J Endocrinol* 2010; **162(3)**:439-51. doi: 10.1530/EJE-09-0958.
- Allman-Farinelli MA. Obesity and venous thrombosis: A review. Semin Thromb Hemost 2011; 37(8):903-7. doi: 10.1055/s-0031-1297369.
- 12. Stang A. Critical evaluation of the newcastle-ottawa scale for the assessment of the quality of nonrandomised studies in meta-analyses. *Eur J Epidemiol* 2010; **25(9)**:603-5. doi: 10.1007/s10654-010-9491-z.
- Higgins JP, Thompson SG, Deeks JJ, Altman DG. Measuring inconsistency in meta-analyses. *BMJ* 2003; **327(7414)**: 557-60. doi: 10.1136/bmj.327.7414.557.
- 14. Vaya A, Martinez-Triguero ML, Espana F, Todoli JA, Bonet E, Corella D. The metabolic syndrome and its individual components: Its association with venous thromboembolism in a mediterranean population. *Metab Syn Relat Disord*

2011; 9(3):197-201. doi: 10.1089/met.2010.0117.

- Jang MJ, Choi WI, Bang SM, Lee T, Kim YK, Ageno W, et al. Metabolic syndrome is associated with venous thromboembolism in the Korean population. Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol 2009; 29(3):311-5. doi: 10.1161/ ATVBAHA.109.184085.
- Ay C, Tengler T, Vormittag R, Simanek R, Dorda W, Vukovich T, et al. Venous thromboembolism - a manifestation of the metabolic syndrome. *Haematologica* 2007; 92(3):374-380. doi: 10.3324/haematol.10828.
- Ambrosetti M, Ageno W, Salerno M, Pedretti RF, Salerno-Uriarte JA. Metabolic syndrome as a risk factor for deep vein thrombosis after acute cardiac conditions. *Thromb Res* 2007; **120(6)**:815-818. doi: 10.1016/j.thromres.2007. 02.005.
- Ageno W, Prandoni P, Romualdi E, Ghirarduzzi A, Dentali F, Pesavento R, *et al.* The metabolic syndrome and the risk of venous thrombosis: A case-control study. *J Thromb Haemost* 2006; **4(9)**:1914-8. doi: 10.1111/j.1538-7836. 2006.02132.x.
- Ray JG, Lonn E, Yi Q, Rathe A, Sheridan P, Kearon C, *et al.* Venous thromboembolism in association with features of the metabolic syndrome. *QJM* 2007; **100(11)**:679-84. doi: 10.1093/qjmed/hcm083.
- Steffen LM, Cushman M, Peacock JM, Heckbert SR, Jacobs DR, Rosamond WD, *et al*. Metabolic syndrome and risk of venous thromboembolism: Longitudinal investigation of thromboembolism etiology. *J Thromb Haemost* 2009; **7(5)**: 746-51. doi: 10.1111/j.1538-7836.2009.03295.x.
- Abdollahi M, Cushman M, Rosendaal FR. Obesity: Risk of venous thrombosis and the interaction with coagulation factor levels and oral contraceptive use. *Thromb Haemost* 2003; 89(3):493-8.
- Delluc A, Le Moigne E, Tromeur C, Noel-Savina E, Couturaud F, Mottier D, et al. Site of venous thromboembolism and prothrombotic mutations according to body mass index. Results from the EDITH study. Br J Haematol 2011; 154(4):486-91. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2141. 2011.08592.x.
- Dentali F, Ageno W, Romualdi E, Pesavento R, Ghirarduzzi A, Prandoni P. Metabolic syndrome and hyperhomocysteinemia in patients with deep vein thrombosis: A case-control study. *Haematologica* 2007; **92(9)**:1293-1294. doi: 10.3324/haematol.11352.
- 24. Di Minno MN, Tufano A, Rusolillo A, Di Minno G, Tarantino G. High prevalence of nonalcoholic fatty liver in patients with idiopathic venous thromboembolism. *World J Gastroenterol* 2010; **16(48)**:6119-22. doi: 10.3748/wjg. v16.i48.6119.
- Halvorson EE, Ervin SE, Russell TB, Skelton JA, Davis S, Spangler J. Association of obesity and pediatric venous thromboembolism. *Hosp Pediatr* 2016; 6(1):22-6. doi: 10.1542/hpeds.2015-0039.
- Huerta C, Johansson S, Wallander MA, Garcia Rodriguez LA. Risk factors and short-term mortality of venous thromboembolism diagnosed in the primary care setting in the United Kingdom. *Arch Intern Med* 2007; **167(9)**:935-43. doi: 10.1001/archinte.167.9.935.
- 27. Lidegaard O, Edstrom B, Kreiner S. Oral contraceptives and

venous thromboembolism: A five-year national case-control study. *Contra* 2002; **65(3)**:187-196. doi: 10.1016/s0010-7824(01)00307-9.

- Nightingale AL, Lawrenson RA, Simpson EL, Williams TJ, MacRae KD, Farmer RD. The effects of age, body mass index, smoking and general health on the risk of venous thromboembolism in users of combined oral contraceptives. *Eur J Contracept Reprod Health Care* 2000; 5(4):265-74. doi: 10.1080/13625180008500402.
- Rosenfeld HE, Tsokos M, Byard RW. The association between body mass index and pulmonary thromboembolism in an autopsy population. *J Fore Sci* 2012; 57(5):1336-8. doi: 10.1111/j.1556-4029.2012.02140.x.
- Stokes S, Breheny P, Radulescu A, Radulescu VC. Impact of obesity on the risk of venous thromboembolism in an inpatient pediatric population. *Pediatr Hematol Oncol* 2014; **31(5)**:475-80. doi: 10.3109/08880018.2014.886315.
- 31. Vayá A, Falcó C, Simó M, Ferrando F, Mira Y, Todolí J, *et al.* Influence of lipids and obesity on haemorheological parameters in patients with deep vein thrombosis. *Thromb Haemost* 2007; **98(3)**:621-6.
- Hong C, Zhu F, Du D, Pilgram TK, Sicard GA, Bae KT. Coronary artery calcification and risk factors for atherosclerosis in patients with venous thromboembolism. *Atherosclerosis* 2005; **183(1)**:169-74. doi: 10.1016/j. atherosclerosis.2005.03.047.
- Hotoleanu C. Association between obesity and venous thromboembolism. *Med Pharm Rep* 2020; **93(2)**:162-8. doi: 10.15386/mpr-1372.
- Fontaine GV, Vigil E, Wohlt PD, Lloyd JF, Evans RS, Collingridge DS, et al. Venous thromboembolism in critically III medical patients receiving chemoprophylaxis: A focus on obesity and other risk factors. *Clin Appl Thromb Hemost* 2016; **22(3)**:265-73. doi: 10.1177/1076029 615604048.
- Di Nisio M, Di Iorio A, Porreca E, Abate M, Ferrante N, Bandinelli S, et al. Obesity, poor muscle strength, and venous thromboembolism in older persons: The InCHIANTI study. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci 2011; 66(3):320-5. doi: 10.1093/gerona/glq207.
- 36. Cushman M, O'Meara ES, Heckbert SR, Zakai NA, Rosamond W, Folsom AR. Body size measures, hemostatic and inflammatory markers and risk of venous thrombosis: The longitudinal investigation of thromboembolism etiology. *Thromb Res* 2016; **144**:127-32. doi: 10.1016/j. thromres.2016.06.012.
- World Health Organization (2018) obesity and overweight fact Sheet. www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/ obesity-and-overweight.
- Braekkan SK, Hald EM, Mathiesen EB, Njolstad I, Wilsgaard T, Rosendaal FR, *et al.* Competing risk of atherosclerotic risk factors for arterial and venous thrombosis in a general

population: The tromso study. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol* 2012; **32(2)**:487-91. doi: 10.1161/ATVBAHA.111. 237545.

- Blokhin IO, Lentz SR. Mechanisms of thrombosis in obesity. *Curr Opin Hematol* 2013; **20(5)**:437-44. doi: 10.1097/MOH. 0b013e3283634443.
- Levi M, van der Poll T, Büller HR. Bidirectional relation between inflammation and coagulation. *Circulation* 2004; **109(22)**:2698-2704. doi:10.1161/01.CIR.0000131660. 51520.9A.
- Ziccardi P, Nappo F, Giugliano G, Esposito K, Marfella R, Cioffi M, et al. Reduction of inflammatory cytokine concentrations and improvement of endothelial functions in obese women after weight loss over one year. *Circ* 2002; 105(7):804-9. doi: 10.1161/hc0702.104279.
- Darvall KA, Sam RC, Silverman SH, Bradbury AW, Adam DJ. Obesity and thrombosis. *Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg* 2007; 33(2):223-33. doi: 10.1016/j.ejvs.2006.10.006.
- Hunt BJ. Hemostasis at extremes of body weight. Semin Thromb Hemost 2018; 44(7):632-9. doi: 10.1055/s-0038-1661385.
- Morange PE, Alessi MC. Thrombosis in central obesity and metabolic syndrome: Mechanisms and epidemiology. *Thromb Haemost* 2013; **110(4)**:669-80. doi: 10.1160/ TH13-01-0075.
- 45. Van Guilder GP, Hoetzer GL, Greiner JJ, Stauffer BL, DeSouza CA. Metabolic syndrome and endothelial fibrinolytic capacity in obese adults. *Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol* 2008; **294(1)**:R39-44. doi: 10.1152/ ajpregu.00564.2007.
- Alessi MC, Juhan-Vague I. PAI-1 and the metabolic syndrome: Links, causes, and consequences. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol* 2006; **26(10)**:2200-07. doi: 10.1161/01.ATV.0000242905.41404.68.
- Mertens I, Van Gaal LF. Obesity, haemostasis and the fibrinolytic system. *Obes Rev* 2002; **3(2)**:85-101. doi: 10.1046/j.1467-789x.2002.00056.x.
- Godsland IF, Crook D, Proudler AJ, Stevenson JC. Hemostatic risk factors and insulin sensitivity, regional body fat distribution, and the metabolic syndrome. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2005; **90(1)**:190-7. doi: 10.1210/jc.2004-1292.
- Dentali F, Squizzato A, Ageno W. The metabolic syndrome as a risk factor for venous and arterial thrombosis. *Semin Thromb Hemost* 2009; **35(5)**:451-7. doi: 10.1055/s-0029-1234140.
- Lakoski SG, Savage PD, Berkman AM, Penalosa L, Crocker A, Ades PA, *et al*. The safety and efficacy of early-initiation exercise training after acute venous thromboembolism: A randomised clinical trial. *J Thromb Haemost* 2015; **13(7)**: 1238-44. doi: 10.1111/jth.12989.

• • • • • • • • • • •