ABSTRACT
The present article aimed to give an overview of sternal fractures and discuss their management and prognoses. The retrieved pertinent publications of 2011-2021 constituted the materials of the present study. The misdiagnosis rate of X-ray was 5.5% and that of sonography was 6.3% for diagnosing the sternal fractures. There were more patients with complicated than with isolated sternal fractures (98.8% vs. 1.2%, p<0.001). Sternal fractures were treated surgically in 59.5%, conservatively in 39.7%, and staged conservatively and surgically in 0.8% of patients. Extremity fractures, brain injury, lung contusion, and intraabdominal/intraperitoneal injuries were the most common associated injuries to sternal fractures. A small number of patients with sternal fractures have fracture-related delayed complications, most of which require surgical treatments with good outcomes. For solitary sternal fractures, short-term pain relief is sufficient. Most complicated sternal fractures require surgical treatment by sternal fixation. Intrathoracic injuries, especially life-threatening cardiopulmonary injuries that are complicated to sternal fractures warrant resuscitation and corresponding active treatment. The causes of patients' death with sternal fractures were usually not related to the sternum fracture itself, but mostly to the associated injuries.
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INTRODUCTION
Sternal fractures are most commonly caused by blunt chest trauma and deceleration injury, with a documented incidence of 3-6.8% of motor vehicle accidents. Athletic injuries, falls, and assaults are also frequent causes of sternal fractures. There is a close relation between displaced sternal fractures and lung injury, pericardial effusions, and spinal and rib fractures. Cardiac contusion can be a reason of sudden deaths at an early stage. About 18-62% of sternal fractures are associated with cardiac injury. Therefore, electrocardiography and cardiac enzyme evaluation are necessary for patients suspected of sternal fracture. The most frequently observed intrathoracic injuries in patients with blunt chest trauma are pneumo-, hemo-, or hemopneumothorax with an incidence of 5.1%, 3.1%, and 3.3%, respectively, to which chest tube drainage or thoracotomy can be the first stage of treatment. Some other associated injuries, such as tension pneumothorax, cardiac tamponade and flail chest, require prompt treatment.

A lateral chest X-ray film remains the gold standard for the diagnosis of sternal fractures, while sonography shows an equal or superior sensitivity to chest X-ray in this respect. Axial computed tomography (CT) may miss the diagnosis of sternal fracture and thus it is less sensitive to chest X-ray when there is a transverse sternal fracture.

Although continuously reported, sternal fractures due to blunt chest trauma remained to be clarified in several ways, e.g., the sensitivity of diagnostic means, management strategies of isolated and complicated sternal fractures, surgical indications, and overall prognosis. The diagnosis and management of sternal fractures can sometimes be a challenge. The aim of this study was to review the clinical features, diagnosis, and management strategies of sternal fractures in the published literature.

METHODOLOGY
Comprehensive retrieval of pertinent literature in PubMed, Google Scholar, and “Baidu” Scholar was done for articles published from 2011 to 2021. The retrieval terms included “blunt chest trauma,” “sternal fracture”, “manubrium”, “manubriosternal joint dislocation” and “xyphoid”. The inclusion criteria were clinical prospective / retrospective researches, case series, and case reports of sternal fractures caused by blunt chest trauma. The primary exclusion criteria were publications: with no substantial information of sternal fracture patients (n=19), blunt chest trauma without causing sternal fracture (n=18), stress fracture of the sternum (n=2), rib fractures caused by blunt chest trauma (n=2), no direct chest trauma as a cause of sternal fracture (n=1), traumatic coronary artery dissection (n=1), and sternal nonunion (n=1).
As a result, a total of 77 articles were included and 44 articles were excluded with 118,422 patients included. However, in 21 of the 77 recruited articles, 87,670 cases of sternal fractures were reported with only patient population other than other information of the patients available, and these 21 articles were therefore excluded. Finally, 56 articles with 30,752 patients with sternal fractures were enrolled in this study.

IBM SPSS statistics version 22 software was used for the statistical analysis. The measurement data were expressed as mean ± standard deviation and median (range) while categorical data were given as numbers and percentages. The categorical variables were compared by Chi-square or Fisher exact test with continuity correction. A value of p<0.05 was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

There were 28 (50%) case reports, 5,6,12,16-19,23-26,29-32,38,39,41,42,50-56,58 5 (8.9%) small case series, 8,13,27,28,40 2 (3.6%) prospective, 21,57 and 21 (37.5%) retrospective studies. 4,7,9-11,14,15,20,22,33-36,40,43-47,49,59 Patients aged 48.8 ± 19.6 (range, 6-92; median, 52) years (n=73). Gender was reported for 4,206 patients: 2,802 (66.6%) were males and 1,404 (33.4%) were females (p<0.001).

The mechanisms of blunt chest trauma were described for 26,043 patients. Road traffic accident was the most common, and falling from height was the most common mechanism (Table I). In all, 74 symptoms were reported for 51 patients, with chest pain being the most common symptom accounting for 59.5% (44/74). Other symptoms included chest wall swelling (14.9%, 11/74) and palpable deformity and motion of the fracture (14.9%, 11/74), etc. The diagnostic means for sternal fracture was reported for 1,134 patients as conventional CT and or three-dimensional CT (n=1,102), chest X-ray (n=198) (one patient had X-ray films examined twice for the diagnosis of sternal fracture 41), sonography (n=32), magnetic resonance imaging (n=2) and by autopsy (n=2). The sternal fracture was misdiagnosed in 12 patients by 13 examinations: By X-ray films in 11 (11/119, 5.5%) patients, 8,32,41,57,58 and by sonography in 2 (2/32, 6.3%) patients. 6,32 In one patient, the diagnosis was missed by both X-ray film and sonography. 32 The false negative rate of CT was 0%.

The isolated sternal fracture was noted in 360 (360/30,752, 1.2%) patients, 4,7,9-11,14,16,18,21-24,26, 28, 29,32,39,41,43,48,54,56,58,59 while the remaining 30,392 (98.8%) patients had complicated sternal fractures (p<0.001). The displacement of sternal fractures was described for 350 patients; 196 (56%) were displaced, 5,8-10,13-15,19,24-26,28,29,31,32,41,42,48,51,53,54 and 154 (44%) were nondisplaced (p=0.833). 8,11,14,16,18,27,37,39,48,50,55,58

Sternal fracture locations were reported for 499 patients: sternal body in 408 (81.8%), 5,8,9,11,32,14,16,20-24,28-32,35,37,39,41,44,46-48,52-55,57,58 manubrium in 60 (12.0%), 20,31,44,47 manubriosternal joint dislocation in 23 (4.6%), 1,13,28,42,48,50 manubrium and body in 7 (1.4%), 13,14,35,51 and xyphoid process in 1 (0.2%). 20 The associated injuries were reported for 3,790 patients. Extremity fractures (69.3%), brain injury (61.4%), lung contusion (46.0%), and intraabdominal/intraperitoneal injuries (44.7%) were the frequent common injuries.

Four patients were not treated. Two did not consult a doctor after trauma, thus without receiving any treatment, 16,23 One died in accident, 17 and one died due to cardiac arrest despite attempted resuscitation. 52 Treatments of choice were described for 484 patients; surgical fixation (288/484, 59.5%), conservative treatment (with pain relief or by observation) (192/484, 40.0%), and both conservative treatment and surgical fixation (4/484, 0.8%). In the surgical patients, a sternal fracture fixation with plate with / without screw was applied in 93 (46.5%) cases of simple and 107 (53.5%) cases of complex sternal structures (p=0.162), and a sternal fracture fixation with wire was used in 13 (54.2%) cases of simple and 11 (45.8%) complex sternal structures (p=0.564).

In patients with nondisplaced sternal fractures, 3 (33.3%) patients were treated surgically and 6 (66.7%) were treated conservatively. In patients with displaced sternal fractures, 26 (29.9%) were treated surgically and 61 (68.5%) were treated conservatively. No significant difference was found in the prevalence of surgical management requirements between the 2 groups (p>0.999).

Sternal fixation was performed on day 20.8 ± 56.9 after blunt chest trauma (n=13), 5,6,8,11,13,28,31,41,50,51,59 The duration of hospitalisation (after sternal fracture fixation) was 10.5 ± 10.0 (range, 1-41; median, 7) days. 4,5,8,10,11,13-15,19,21,22,26,27,31,35,36,42,51

Patients were on a follow-up of 11.0 ± 12.0 (range, 2-54; median, 6) months (n=23), 5,6,8,9,13,16,21,28,41-43,48,49,53,55,58,59 Outcomes were known for 30,341 patients: recovered 26,914 (88.7%), improved 1 (0.0%), complicated 1,126 (3.7%), and died 2,300 (7.6%). The prognosis of patients of 3 treatment groups (484 patients) did not differ between each other (Table II).

DISCUSSION

The diagnosis of sternal fractures is based on a history of chest trauma and pertinent clinical presentations. Palpable deformity of sternal fracture is helpful for the diagnosis. However, a definite diagnosis relies on medical imaging techniques. A lateral chest radiograph remains the gold standard investigation in diagnosing sternal fractures. 2 Chest X-ray film and sonography are noninvasive diagnostic methods, but there is a certain false negative rate. The present study revealed the false negative rates of these two techniques which were 5.5% and 6.3%, respectively. CT is a more sensitive diagnostic technique except for a horizontal sternal fracture. The appliance of three-dimensional reconstructive CT in the sternal fracture can improve the detection rate of sternal fracture diagnosis. As sternal fractures are associated with blunt cardiac injury, electrocardiography and cardiac monitoring as well as cardiac enzyme detection are necessary for patients with sternal fractures. 2
Table I: The mechanisms of blunt chest trauma of 26,043 patients.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mechanism</th>
<th>n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Road traffic accident</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motor vehicle accident</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motorcycle</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bicycle</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sled crash</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Road traffic accident, unspecified</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fall</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assault</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heavy object strike</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gymnastic exercises</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Broad jump</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elbowed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gymnastic exercises, unspecified</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surfing accident</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Animal bumps</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burying</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soil bank collapse</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industrial accident</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiopulmonary resuscitation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sustaining blunt trauma</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>23,620 (90.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20,464 (86.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1,925 (8.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>820 (3.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3 (0.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2,334 (9.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>64 (0.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11 (0.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4 (0.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5 (50)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 (10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 (10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 (10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 (10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 (10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 (10)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table II: Prognoses of 484 patients of 3 treatment groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Recovered</th>
<th>Complicated</th>
<th>Died</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Surgical</td>
<td>285 (99.0)</td>
<td>3 (1.0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conservative</td>
<td>183 (95.3)</td>
<td>4 (2.1)</td>
<td>5 (2.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conservative and surgical</td>
<td>3 (75)</td>
<td>1 (25)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p value</td>
<td>&gt;0.999</td>
<td>&gt;0.999</td>
<td>&gt;0.999</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In patients with myocardial infarction due to blunt chest trauma, the aetiologies of myocardial infarction included coronary artery dissection, acute plaque rupture, coronary artery thrombosis, cardiac contusion, and coronary artery fistula. Treatment of myocardial infarction due to blunt chest trauma included coronary bypass surgery, percutaneous stenting, aspirational thrombectomy without stenting, or medical management.

The prognosis of patients with isolated sternal fractures is excellent and complete recovery occurs in about 10 weeks. Surgical sternal fixation for sternal fractures due to blunt chest trauma was associated with a significant decrease in absolute pain scores, decreased pain medication agent requirements, and decreased narcotic use. About two-thirds of sternal fractures have associated injuries, with mortality ranging from 25% to 45% in these cases. The overall mortality rate of sternal fractures was 0.7%.

This study identified early and late complications of sternal fractures, even in patients with isolated sternal fractures. The traumatic complications, such as coronary aneurysms and nonunion, etc, were prone to occur especially in the elderly with osteoporosis. Thus the treatment resorted to teriparadine in addition to surgical fixation. In this study, the proportion of surgical fixation was about 70%, with good results and good prognosis. Most of the deaths were caused by compound trauma rather than the sternal fracture itself.

A group of authors who worked on the same database of different year ranges may lead to the re-use of, at least in part, patient data. Patient information in many reports was far from complete. The details of patient information in the large sample cohorts are often missing. For example, the treatment and prognosis of isolated and complex sternal fractures are not separately explained. These defects constitute the main drawbacks of this study.

CONCLUSION

Motor vehicle accidents and falling from height are the two common mechanisms of sternal fractures. This patient cohort included more complicated than isolated sternal fractures. Patients with isolated sternal fractures usually do not need surgical treatment unless they have a severely displaced sternal fracture. Most complicated sternal fractures require surgical treatment by sternal fixation. Patients with sternal fractures associated with cardiopulmonary injuries require resuscitation and urgent interventions.
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