Comparison of Balloon Trocar versus Telescopic Dissection Method for TEP Inguinal Hernia Repair
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ABSTRACT

Objective: To compare the outcomes between two methods of dissection (balloon trocar vs. telescopic dissection) used in total extra-peritoneal (TEP) inguinal hernia repair.

Study Design: Comparative study.

Place and Duration of Study: Department of General Surgery, Faculty of Medicine, Sakarya University, conducted between January 2018 and January 2020.

Methodology: The study included patients who underwent elective TEP inguinal hernia repair. Preoperative and early postoperative results were compared, after the patients had been divided into a balloon trocar group (Group 1) and telescopic dissection group (Group 2). The technique performed depended on equipment availability. Permission was obtained from the Institutional Review Board. The study data are presented as descriptive statistics (mean, standard deviation, median, first quartile, third quartile, frequency and percentage); p-value <0.05 was considered significant.

Results: A total of 189 patients were included; 95 patients in Group 1 and 94 patients in Group 2. No significant differences in age, gender, body mass index, body weight, ASA performance status, operating time, duration of hospitalisation or postoperative bleeding values were observed between the groups (p >0.05). European Hernia Society Quality of Life pain score values were higher in Group 2 than Group 1 (p = 0.016).

Conclusion: Telescopic dissection was cheaper than balloon dissection. The bleeding values and operating time were similar between the two methods, but telescopic dissection caused more postoperative pain.
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INTRODUCTION

Totally extraperitoneal (TEP) surgery was first used in the early 1990s for treating inguinal hernias.¹ The initial step of TEP surgery was dissection of the spaces of Bogros and Retzius, to create a preperitoneal space.² The balloon trocar method was introduced in 1994 and has been used to create a preperitoneal working area.³ The telescopic dissection technique, first described in 2001, can create a preperitoneal working area directly with the help of a telescope, without using balloon trocar.⁴ The International Hernia Association recommends balloon dissection at the A level of evidence, particularly for inexperienced surgeons.³ Balloons have been reported to provide faster, less bloody, and more uniform surgery.⁵,⁶

However, due to the increase in experience of using TEP and implementation of training programmes, the technique has been applied worldwide, and costs have been accurately determined. The use of balloon trocar during dissection increases the cost of surgery by $350–600. This time-consuming manoeuvre can cause bleeding due to dissection and does not preserve anatomical structures. Moreover, the balloon itself, or the bladder, may rupture.⁷ Therefore, the necessity of using a trocar has been questioned.

The aim of this study was to compare the outcomes of using balloon trocar and telescopic methods to create a preperitoneal working area during TEP.

METHODOLOGY

Records of TEP surgeries performed under elective conditions at the Department of General Surgery, Faculty of Medicine, Sakarya University between January 2018 and January 2020 in patients with a diagnosis of inguinal hernia, were evaluated retrospectively. This study was approved by the Faculty of Medicine, Sakarya University Ethics Committee (No. 71522473/050.01.04/308; date: 21.05.2020). Patients with incarcerated, strangulated, recurrent or scrotal hernias, those...
operated on under emergency conditions, and those with a history of lower abdominal surgery, coagulopathy, anticoagulant use and/or immunosuppressive therapy, were excluded from the study.

The patients’ demographic characteristics, body mass index (BMI), American Society of Anaesthesiologists (ASA) status, hernia side, operating time, 7-day European Hernia Society Quality of Life (EuraHS QoL) pain score (range: 0–90 [pain domain, 0–30; restricted activity domain, 0–40; cosmetic domain 0–20]), duration of hospitalisation (days), and postoperative bleeding volume, were obtained by retrospective analysis of Hospital records.

The technique performed depended on equipment availability. Permission from the Institutional Review Board was obtained. Patients, who underwent TEP surgery between January 2018 and January 2020, were divided into Group 1 (operated on using balloon trocar) and Group 2 (operated on using the telescopic dissection method). All operations were performed by the same surgical team, or with their support.

The rectus fascia was opened with a lateralised sub-umbilical incision, and the Space Maker™ (Medtronic Inc., New Haven, CT, USA) was used for the dissection together with a balloon. The balloon was advanced up to the symphysis pubis. The balloon trocar was pumped 20–25 times and the procedure was repeated thrice to create a preperitoneal dissection area.

Direct vision was achieved by entering with a 52-cm/30°/10-mm laparoscope through a 10–12 mm blunt type trocar placed in the preperitoneal space. After dissecting, the craniocaudal axis up to the symphysis pubis, the dissection was extended to the level of spina iliaca anterior superior laterally and the linea alba level medially.

Wide dissection of the myopectineal opening was achieved by administering 12 mmHg CO₂ from a 10–12 mm trocar and then placing two 5-mm trocars in the midline of the extraperitoneal space under direct vision. Polypropylene mesh (10 × 15 cm) was used in all patients. The mesh was fixed at Cooper’s ligament in the medial and posterior aspects of the transverse abdominis aponeurosis with absorbable fixators. The operation was continued under direct vision to the other side in bilateral patients, and the same procedures were performed on that side. The postoperative bleeding volume was recorded in all patients by placing a drain through the 5-mm trocar adjacent to the pubis. The EuraHS QoL score was obtained by a telephone interview with the patients.

The Number Cruncher Statistical System 2007 programme (NCSS, Kaysville, UT, USA) was used for the statistical analysis. The data are presented as descriptive statistics (mean, standard deviation, median, first quartile, third quartile, frequency and percentage). The normality of the quantitative data was tested with the Shapiro-Wilk test. The independent-samples t-test was used to compare normally distributed quantitative variables between the two groups. The Mann-Whitney U-test was used for intergroup comparisons of quantitative variables that were not normally distributed. Pearson Chi-square test, Fisher’s Exact test and the Fisher-Freeman-Halton Exact tests were used to compare the qualitative data. A p-value <0.05 was considered significant.

**RESULTS**

A total of 189 patients were included in the study; 95 patients in Group 1 and 94 patients in Group 2 (Table I). The patients’ mean age was 46.44 ± 13.43 years in Group 1 and 51.50 ± 14.43 years in Group 2. There were 93 (97.9%) male patients in Group 1 and 91 (96.8%) in Group 2. The BMI was 26.34 ± 2.14 kg/m², and 26.77 ± 2.86 kg/m² in Groups 1 and 2, respectively. In total, 65 patients (68.4%) in Group 1 had an ASA score of 2, compared to 63 patients (67%) in Group 2. There were 83 (87.4%) patients in Group 1 with unilateral hernias and 74 (78.7%) in Group 2. The mean operating time was 82.44 ± 19.32 min for Group 1 and 87.16 ± 24.81 min for Group 2. All patients were hospitalized for 2 days. The average postoperative bleeding volume was 15 (range: 10–25) ml in Group 1 and 20 (range: 10–40) ml in Group 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table I: Results of the groups.</th>
<th>Group 1 (n=95)</th>
<th>Group 2 (n=94)</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age, mean ± sd/year</strong></td>
<td>46.44±13.43</td>
<td>51.50±14.43</td>
<td>0.091</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gender</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.361</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>93 (97.9)</td>
<td>91 (96.8)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>2 (2.1)</td>
<td>3 (3.2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>BMI, mean ± sd</strong></td>
<td>26.34±2.14</td>
<td>26.77±2.86</td>
<td>0.419</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Heigh, mean ± sd/cm</strong></td>
<td>175.89±4.90</td>
<td>173.25±5.40</td>
<td>0.018*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Weight, mean ± sd/kg</strong></td>
<td>81.31±5.16</td>
<td>80.23±7.92</td>
<td>0.445</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Hernia side</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.355</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unilateral</td>
<td>83(87.4)</td>
<td>74(78.7)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right</td>
<td>38(40)</td>
<td>40(42.5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left</td>
<td>45(47.4)</td>
<td>34(36.2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bilateral</strong></td>
<td>12(12.6)</td>
<td>20(21.3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ASA</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.909</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>28 (29.5)</td>
<td>29 (30.9)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>65 (68.4)</td>
<td>63 (67)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2 (2.1)</td>
<td>2 (2.1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Duration of surgery, mean ± sd/min</strong></td>
<td>82.44±19.32</td>
<td>87.16±24.81</td>
<td>0.319</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Unilateral</strong></td>
<td>66.32±12.76</td>
<td>64.26±32.08</td>
<td>0.117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bilateral</strong></td>
<td>93.42±10.46</td>
<td>91.34±18.16</td>
<td>0.231</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Hospitalisation day, median (Q1, Q3)/day</strong></td>
<td>2 (2, 2)</td>
<td>2 (2, 2)</td>
<td>0.763</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Postoperative bleeding volume, median (Q1, Q3)/cc</strong></td>
<td>15 (10, 25)</td>
<td>20 (10, 40)</td>
<td>0.492</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>EuraHS Pain Score, mean±sd</strong></td>
<td>20.11±8.71</td>
<td>24.14±6.55</td>
<td>0.016</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Independent samples t test * Pearson Chi-square test * Fisher’s Exact test * Fisher-Freeman-Halton Exact test * Mann-Whitney U test

Q1: First quartile, Q3: Third quartile. *p<0.05
No significant differences in age, gender, BMI, weight, ASA status, operating time, duration of hospitalisation or postoperative bleeding volume were observed between the groups (p > 0.05). Group 2 cases had a shorter operating time than Group 1 cases (p = 0.018). The EuraHS QoL pain score was 20.11 ± 8.71 in Group 1 and 24.14 ± 6.55 in Group 2 (p = 0.016).

DISCUSSION

The TEP technique for laparoscopic inguinal hernia surgery has been increasingly used since 1992, when it was first applied, and is now recommended as the first line treatment for inguinal hernias in women, as well as for bilateral inguinal hernias, recurrent hernias and hernias in athletes. Good knowledge of laparoscopic surgery and abdominal wall anatomy is necessary to perform TEP surgery, along with sufficient experience. Reaching the correct plane in the preperitoneal space is vital. Working in the wrong plane makes the operation impossible due to the risk of bleeding and technical difficulties. Creating preperitoneal space with a balloon dissector reduces the operating time and rate of conversion to open surgery, but was the only alternative for a long time. Balloon dissection has disadvantages, such as a risk of injury to the bladder, a long operation time and bleeding. However, the contribution of balloon dissection to operating time remains controversial. The surgical durations were similar between the present study and previous ones.

The cost of the operation varies according to country; the average cost is $800–1,600, and the balloon trocar alone accounts for $350–600 of this amount. While the cost of TEP surgery varies between 4,000 and 10,000 among hospitals in Turkey, the price of balloon trocar varies between 850 and 1,500. In 2001, Bringman et al. compared balloon trocar and Bringmann telescopic dissection for the first time. According to their multicentre, randomised study, the average operating time was 54 minutes for telescopic dissection and 61 mins for the balloon procedure. The time difference, which was not statistically significant, was related to the surgeon’s experience. When the operation is performed with telescopic dissection, the cost of the procedure decreases because balloon trocar is not used. Moreover, the total cost may be lower due to the shorter operating time. In the present study, the operating times were similar between the two groups.

Small vascular structures can coagulate during telescopic dissection. Postoperative pain is less intense for patients who have undergone the telescopic dissection method because dissecting the extraperitoneal space under direct vision tends to cause less nerve damage, resulting in less postoperative pain. The reduced likelihood of haemorrhaging, postoperative ecchymosis and local pain are benefits of this technique. Misra et al. reported that pain, scrotal oedema and the formation of seroma increased 6 weeks postoperatively after balloon dissection, as did the frequency of epigastric vessel injury due to the balloon. As a result, it was suggested that there would be no need for balloon trocar after telescopic dissection. Krishna et al. reported that the rate of scrotal oedema increased with age for large hernia defects, scrotal hernias, and distal indirect hernia sacs. In that study, the telescopic dissection and balloon dissection techniques were compared. The methods had similar bleeding volumes, but pain was more complained of, in the telescopic dissection group. These data may be related to the learning curve for the telescopic dissection technique. Although telescopic dissection is safe, and recommended by the International Endohernia Society (IEHS) guidelines of 2018, its use is not widespread in some countries (particularly in the USA). This may be because disposable balloon trocars can easily be purchased and do not significantly increase the cost of the surgery. In addition, lawsuits can be filed due to complications that may arise when a balloon trocar is not used. However, difficulties in procuring a balloon trocar in many countries, and the significant increase in surgical costs, are obstacles to worldwide adoption of the TEP surgical technique.

The limitations of this study included its retrospective nature, the small number of participants, and the single-centre design. In addition, the operations were performed by the same team, or with the support from the same surgeon, and the groups were homogeneous due to randomisation of the patients.

CONCLUSION

Balloon dissection may reduce operating costs compared to telescopic dissection, with similar bleeding volumes and operating times; however, it may result in more postoperative pain.
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