Management Strategy for a Patient with Coexistence of Meningioma and Paraophthalmic Aneurysm
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ABSTRACT

The coexistence of intracerebral aneurysm and meningioma is a rare occurrence. There is no consensus about the treatment options. We aim to present a case of a 61-year female admitted to this clinic with persistent headache and loss of vision in both eyes, especially on the left. Radiological images demonstrated a para-ophthalmic saccular aneurysm coexisting with an extra-axial homogenously enhancing mass in the right cavernous sinus, which was possibly a meningioma. A flow diverting stent, following the coil embolisation of the aneurysm, was placed. Then the patient underwent stereotactic radiosurgery for the cavernous sinus meningioma. Diagnosis and treatment of such pathologies are easily achieved with the technological advancements of imaging techniques. The hypothesis of increased hemodynamic pressures, due to meningioma causing intracranial aneurysms, may explain this coexistence. Endovascular surgery, followed by stereotactic radiosurgery, may be a reliable approach for a patient with coexistent meningioma and aneurysm.
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INTRODUCTION

The coexistence of intracerebral aneurysm and tumor is a rare occurrence. It may be associated with pituitary adenomas, gliomas and metastatic tumors, mostly meningiomas.¹ In the literature, many researchers have reported about the association of meningiomas and intracranial aneurysms.² As there is still no consensus about the proper approach for this coexistence, there is a big challenge of diagnosis and treatment depending on the localisation. We report an uncommon case with a meningioma in the right cavernous sinus and left para-ophthalmic saccular aneurysm, which was treated by endovascular approach followed by stereotactic radiosurgery.

CASE REPORT

A 61-year female was admitted to this clinic with persistent headache and dizziness. On physical examination, she had a significant loss of left eye vision and a partial right eye superior nasal field visual deficit.
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She did not have any other neuropathological symptoms. The head magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) showed a right cavernous extra-axial mass lesion. The mass size was 32x23x22 mm. It had a homogeneous characteristic. Moreover, a 14x12 mm hypointense lesion in the left prechiasmatic area, compressing the optic nerve, was detected. Visual impairment on the left side was thought to be associated with this compression. The tumor-aneurysm complex included a lesion of cavernous sinus and a paraophthalmic aneurysm (Figure 1 A-F). Computerised tomography angiography (CTA) showed a saccular aneurysm of the paraophthalmic segment of the internal carotid artery (ICA) (Figure 1 A-B). As the aneurysm was intact and had a smooth relationship with the medial wall of the tumor, it was planned to treat the patient by using the endovascular method to avoid the risk of aneurysm rupture. Coil embolisation with flow diverter stent was preferred (Figure 1 C-D-G-H). The patient was discharged after four days with good condition and no complications. Stereotactic radiosurgery (SRS) for the tumor of the cavernous sinus it was planned in the first month of follow-up of the endovascular procedure. Stereotactic reference was aimed by cone beam computed tomography (CBCT). Leksell gamma knife icon was used for the procedure. G-shaped stereotactic frame was attached. The optic system was preserved by exposing to a maximum of 10 Gy and a minimum of 2.8 Gy with a median of 7.1 ± 1.4 Gy irradiation (Figure 2 A,B). The patient did not have any post-procedural complications. After one year follow-up, no significant change was observed in the size and...
form of the aneurysm and tumor. Besides, visual impairment was partially recovered (Figure 2 C,D).

Figure 1: CT angiography shows a 15 mm saccular aneurysm in the para-ophthalmic region (A, B) (red arrow). T1-weighted MRI images demonstrated an extra-axial homogenously enhanced mass in right cavernous sinus with close relation to aneurysm (E, F) (red circle). DSA showing para-ophthalmic saccular aneurysm (C, D) (green arrow). Postoperative image of coil embolisation during DSA (G) (red arrow). Postoperative CT showing flow diverter stent in ICA (H) (yellow arrow).

(MRI: Magnetic resonance imaging, Gy, gray unit; CT: Computerised tomography; MRA: Magnetic resonance angiography, DSA: Diagnostic subtraction angiography).

Figure 2: Dose distribution based on MRI. Axial T1-weighted MRI showing a typical meningioma in cavernous sinus with homogenous contrast enhancement and dural tail sign. Isodose lines are as follows: 10 Gy, 10.5 Gy (A, B). Postoperative axial MRI sequences showing no significant change in meningioma size (C, D).

(DSA: Diagnostic subtraction angiography; ICA: Internal carotid artery; MRA: Magnetic resonance angiography; SRS: Stereotactic radiosurgery).

DISCUSSION

The true incidence of coexistence of the intracranial tumor and aneurysm may be underestimated as we do not perform magnetic resonance angiography (MRA), CTA or four-vessel-angiography routinely. Meningiomas are frequent intracerebral tumors comprising between 29.3% and 44% of all intracranial neoplasms. They may be associated with intracranial aneurysms. The coexistence of meningioma and aneurysm is reported between 0.3 and 1.1%.2,4

Many hypotheses regarding the mechanism of aneurysm’s formation in meningioma are reported in the literature. Pia et al. argued that this relation might be due to increased local blood flow to the tumor; whereas, this might not explain the relation with contralateral aneurysms.5 Kandel et al. reported that it may be due to damage of arterial wall caused by meningioma, as they saw it during the surgical procedure for an aneurysm encased by a meningioma in the middle cerebral artery region.5

In this study, we report a case that presented with a left-sided cavernous meningioma in close association with a right-sided para-ophthalmic saccular aneurysm that may have occurred due to independent pathologies or intracranial hemodynamic changes.6

There are several treatment approaches for such pathologies in the literature, which are: endovascular, stereotactic and microsurgical methods.1,2 According to Lee et al., 5-year survival rate is between 86% and 99% and 10-year survival is between 69% and 97% in cavernous sinus meningiomas. They suggested that it might be better to perform a resection when the tumor size exceeds 30 mm.3 Javadpour et al. and O’Neil et al. reported that first endovascular coiling should be performed, and next, the microsurgical excision of the tumor.2,7 Hoy et al. reported a ruptured internal carotid artery aneurysm within a clinoidal meningioma, which occurred two months after the SRS.5 Due to bilateral visual loss and the close association of the tumor with the aneurysm, we performed a double step approach with endovascular treatment followed by SRS. As there is a risk of rupture of the aneurysm after radiosurgery due to tumor size changes, we first performed the endovascular surgery.

The coexistence of intracranial tumor and aneurysm is important to know in the preoperative stage. The optimal treatment of such dual pathology is still controversial. The main goal should be to treat the pathological symptoms. In addition, the approach should be individualized, based on the location of aneurysm in relation to the tumor and surgical experience. We believe a stepwise approach with endovascular surgery followed by SRS is an efficient and safe method in selected cases.
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