Association Between Klotho Gene Polymorphisms and Urolithiasis: A Meta-Analysis

Jiaxuan Qin, Bo Duan, Bowen Chen, Jinchun Xing and Tao Wang

Department of Urology Surgery, The First Affiliated Hospital of Xiamen University, Fujian, China

ABSTRACT

Former studies have suggested that urolithiasis is related to *Klotho* gene polymorphisms. The aim of this meta-analysis was to investigate this relationship. Studies on the association between urolithiasis susceptibility and *Klotho* gene polymorphisms were systematically searched for in databases. Odds ratios and 95% confidence intervals were pooled as the effect size. This meta-analysis incorporated ten articles. *Klotho* rs1207568 adenine (A) may be related to a decreased urolithiasis risk in Caucasians. The results showed that *Klotho* rs3752472 may not be related to urolithiasis risk in the Han Asian subgroup. *Klotho* rs564481 may not be related to urolithiasis risk in Asians or Caucasians, and *Klotho* rs650439 may not be related to urolithiasis risk in Asians.

Key Words: Klotho, Single-nucleotide polymorphism, Urolithiasis, Meta-analysis.

How to cite this article: Qin J, Duan B, Chen B, Xing J, Wang T. Association Between Klotho Gene Polymorphisms and Urolithiasis: A Meta-Analysis. J Coll Physicians Surg Pak 2024; **34(02)**:206-211.

INTRODUCTION

Urolithiasis is likely related to multiple genes' effects, and related to environmental and lifestyle factors.^{1,2} Calcium stone is the major type of urolithiasis. Infection can promote the stone formation. Klotho might be associated with inflammatory processes in kidney injury.^{3,4} The Klotho protein, a Type-I transmembrane protein expressed by the Klotho gene on chromosome 13q13.1 in tissues responsible for calcium homeostasis, including the kidney and epithelium of the choroid plexus in the brain and parathyroid gland, plays a crucial role in phosphate homeostasis regulation via FGF23 and increased calcium uptake via TRPV5.5,6 The single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) rs1207568 (G395A) is located in Klotho gene's promoter region, and DNA-protein interaction can be affected by the G-A substitution in this region.⁷ Calcium oxalate crystals can cause renal epithelial cell injury, which may be prevented by Klothors3752472.8 Previous studies in several populations have suggested that urolithiasis may be associated with Klotho polymorphisms. Here, this meta-analysis was conducted to evaluate it, and provide specific genetic markers for urolithiasis.

METHODOLOGY

In EMBASE, China National Knowledge Infrastructure (CNKI), clinicaltrials.gov, PubMed, and Cochrane Library databases, two independent investigators performed a systematic search on 23 April 2022.

Correspondence to: Dr. Jiaxuan Qin, Department of Urology Surgery, The First Affiliated Hospital of Xiamen University, Xiamen, Fujian, China E-mail: jiaxuanqin@163.com

Received: May 15, 2023; Revised: December 23, 2023; Accepted: December 27, 2023 DOI: https://doi.org/10.29271/jcpsp.2024.02.206 The following terms were used without any limitations: "Klotho or HFTC3" and "polymorphisms or polymorphism" and "calculi or stone or nephrolithiasis or calculus or urolithiasis or lithiasis." The references of related reviews and studies were artificially indexed.

Examples were taken from meta-analysis published by the team to establish the inclusion and exclusion criteria.^{9,10} It was attempted to email the author for detailed genotype data. Two investigators independently performed the study selection. Any disputes were resolved through discussions. If necessary, another investigator may be invited to participate in further discussions. Detective samples, year of publication, Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium, first author's surname, urinary calculi's chemical composition, genotyping method, ethnicity, source of control groups, characteristics, country of origin, and number for each genotype were collected.

Independently, two investigators evaluated absorbed studies' quality by using Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS).¹¹ The most important factors were country, gender, age, and ethnicity. The second important factor was urolithiasis-related diseases that alter calcium or phosphorus metabolism, such as hyperparathyroidism and a family history of urolithiasis. The quality scores ranged from 0 to 10. Detailed statistical analysis was conducted as relevant.¹²⁻¹⁴

RESULTS

Finally, 61 articles were identified from the databases (EMBASE = 29, PubMed = 15, CNKI = 14, clinicaltrials.gov = 2, Cochrane = 1, other sources (manual search) = 0). Figure 1 illustrates the screening process. Nine full-text articles were excluded, three being without detailed genotype data,¹⁵⁻¹⁷ and six being duplicate studies. Ultimately, 11 articles were absorbed in this meta-analysis.¹⁸⁻²⁸

Table I: Characteristics of studies.

No.	Study ID	Year	Country or area	Ethnicity	Control type	Genotyping method	Urolithiasis related diseases [#] in patients	Family history of urolithiasis in patients	Stone composition	P for HWE*	Quality
	rs1207568			88							
1 1 1	(G395A)	2011	Turkin	George	DD *		Manakiwa	NAT	Coloine atom		7
1.1.1	leici et al.	2011	Turkiye	Caucasian	PB*	PCR-RFLP	Negative	NA*	Calcium stone	0.006	1
1.1.2 1.1.3	Lanka <i>et al</i> . ²⁰	2016	Northwestern India	Caucasian	HB* PB	PCR-RFLP PCR-RFLP	Negative	NA	88.7% Calcium oxalate stone; 11.3% Calcium oxalate and	0.002	6 7
1 2 1	Chan at al 21	2012	China	Acian(Han)	DD	TagMan	Negativo	nogativa	phosphate stone mixed	0 1 2 1	0
1.2.1	Chen et al.	2015	China	Asian(Han)	PD	Coguencing	Negative	negative	Calcium stone	0.151	9
1.2.2	Ali of al.	2010	China	Asian(Han)	PD		Negativo	52 2% positivo	Calcium explate stope	0.105	7
1.3.1	All et al. ²⁴	2017	China	Asian(Uyghur)	PB	PCR-RFLP&	Negative	Negative	Calcium oxalate stone	0.946	8
	qrecun					Sequencing					
2.1.1	rs3752472 Wei <i>et al.</i> ²⁵	2015	China	Asian(Han)	PB	TaqMan	Negative	NA	pure or mixed	0.311	7
212	Epli20 of al 22	2018	China	Asian(Han)	PR	Sequencing	Negative	Negative	Calcium stone	0.455	7
2.1.3	Peili et al. ²⁶	2020	China	Asian(Han)	PB	SNaPshot	Negative	Negative	Calcium oxalate stone with purity≥65%	0.766	8
2.2.1	Ali et al. 23	2017	China	Asian(Uyghur)	PB	PCR-RFLP	Negative	52.3% Positive	Calcium oxalate stone	0.124	7
2.2.2	Qi et al. 24	2019	China	Asian(Uyghur)	PB	PCR-RFLP& Sequencing	Negative	Negative	Calcium oxalate stone	0.730	8
2.3	Litvinova <i>et al.</i> 27 rs564481 (C1818T)	2021	Russia	Caucasian	РВ	Sequencing	NA	52% positive	Calcium oxalate stone	NA	7
3.1.1	Telci et al. 18	2011	Turkiye	Caucasian	PB	PCR-RFLP	Negative	NA	Calcium stone	0.787	7
3.1.2	Gürel et al. 19	2016	Turkiye	Caucasian	HB	PCR-RFLP	NA	NA	NA	0.647	6
3.2.1	Chen et al. 21	2013	China	Asian(Han)	PB	TaqMan	Negative	negative	Calcium stone	0.107	9
3.2.2	Peili et al. ²⁶	2020	China	Asian(Han)	PB	SNaPshot	Negative	Negative	Calcium oxalate stone with purity≥65%	0.421	8
3.3	Qi et al. ²⁴	2019	China	Asian(Uyghur)	PB	PCR-RFLP& Sequencing	Negative	Negative	Calcium oxalate stone	0.435	8
	rs650439										
4.1.1	Ali et al 23	2017	China	Asian(Uvghur)	PB	PCR-RFLP	Negative	52.3% positive	Calcium oxalate stone	0.360	7
4.1.2	Qi et al. ²⁴	2019	China	Asian(Uyghur)	PB	PCR-RFLP& Sequencing	Negative	negative	Calcium oxalate stone	0.413	8
4.2	Wei et al. ²⁵	2015	China	Asian(Han)	PB	TaqMan	Negative	NA	Pure or mixed calcium oxalate stone	0.359	7
	F352V										_
5.1 5.2	Gürel et al. ¹⁹	2011 2016	Turkiye Turkiye	Caucasian Caucasian	РВ НВ	PCR-RFLP PCR-RFLP	Negative NA	NA NA	NA	0.184 0.009	6
6.1	Peili <i>et al</i> . ²⁶	2020	China	Asian(Uyghur)	РВ	SNaPshot	Negative	Negative	Calcium oxalate stone with purity>65%	0.725	8
6.2	Peili <i>et al</i> . ²⁶	2020	China	Asian(Han)	PB	SNaPshot	Negative	Negative	calcium oxalate Stone with purity≥65%	0.519	8
7	rs139912465 Liuya <i>et al.</i> ²⁸	2015	China	Asian(Uyghur)	PB	PCR-RFLP	Negative	52.3% Positive	Calcium oxalate stone	1	7
0	rs577912	2015	China	Acian(Han)	DD	TagMan	Negativo	NA	Pure or mixed	0 15 2	7
0	rs397703	2015	China	Asian(man)	FD	Taqman	Negative	NA	calcium oxalate stone	0.155	7
9	Enli <i>et al.</i> 22 rs648202	2018	China	Asian(Han)	PB	Sequencing	Negative	Negative	Calcium stone	0.544	7
10	Qi et al. 24	2019	China	Asian(Uyghur)	PB	PCR-RFLP& Sequencing	Negative	Negative	Calcium oxalate stone	0.285	8
11 1	Apolikhin at al 15	2015	Puccia	Caucasian	DR	NA	NA	NA	NA	NΛ	NA
11.1	Apolikhin et al. 16	2015	Russia	Caucasian	PB	NΔ	NΔ	NΔ	ΝΔ	NΔ	NΔ
11.2	Apolikhin et al. 17	2010	Russia	Caucasian	PB	NA	NA	NA	Calcium oxalate stone	NA	NA
J	AUVINIUI ELdi.	201/	1103310	Caucasian	10	1973	11/23	11/3		110	11/1

* Diseases altering calcium and phosphorus metabolism like hyperparathyroidism; ' HWE: Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium; PB: Population-based; HB: Hospital-based; NA: Not available; ⁶ Results with statistical significant difference were marked as bold.

Table I and II show the characteristics and detailed genotype data for each study. PCR-RFLP, TaqMan, SNaPshot, and sequencing were used as genotyping methods. Every studies used blood samples for genotyping. Control group of study no 1.1.1, 1.1.2, 1.1.3, 1.3.1 and 5.2 departed from HWE significantly. Owing to the lack of detailed genotype data, HWE was not evaluated in study no 2.3's control group.

Table III shows the results of pooled ORs. In a meta-analysis, rs1207568 adenine (A) was related to a decreased urolithiasis risk in dominant model (AG + AA vs. GG), heterozygote comparison (AG vs. GG), and allelic comparison (A vs. G) in the Caucasian subgroup. No statistically significant change in urolithiasis risk was discovered in the other genetic models, groups, or subgroups of rs1207568 (Table III and Figure 2).

In a meta-analysis, rs3752472 adenine (A) was related to a decreased urolithiasis risk in homozygote comparison (AA

vs. GG) and recessive model (AA *vs.* GG + AG) in the Uyghur subgroup. No statistically significant change in urolithiasis risk was discovered in the other genetic models, groups, or subgroups of rs3752472. Heterogeneity in most groups and subgroups of rs3752472 was significant (Table III and Figure 3).

In a meta-analysis, no statistically significant change in urolithiasis risk was discovered in any genetic model or subgroup of rs564481, rs650439, F352V, or rs145682430. In each study included in each SNP, no statistically significant changes were discovered.

rs577912 adenine (A) was related to an increased urolithiasis risk in all genetic models. No statistically significant change in urolithiasis risk was discovered in any of the genetic models of rs139912465, rs397703, and rs648202. Among these four SNPs, only one study was included in each SNP; therefore, meta-analysis could not be operated.

Table II: Detailed genotype data of studies.

No.	Study ID	Case						Control					
	rs1207568	GG	GA	AA	G	А	Total	GG	GA	AA	G	А	Total
1.1.1 1.1.2 1.1.3 1.2.1	Telci <i>et al.</i> ¹⁸ Gürel <i>et al.</i> ¹⁹ Lanka <i>et al.</i> ²⁰ Chen <i>et al.</i> ²¹	63 54 108 208	41 45 42 89	4 4 0 9	167 153 258 505	49 53 42 107	108 103 150 306	19 32 52 167	31 68 48 71	1 2 0 3	69 132 152 405	33 72 48 77	51 102 100 241
1.2.2 1.3.1 1.3.2	Enli et al. ²² Ali et al. ²³ Qi et al. ²⁴ rs3752472	345 74 273 GG	147 46 102 GA	11 8 25 AA	837 194 648 G	169 62 152 A	503 128 400 Total	371 61 241 GG	156 23 147 GA	25 10 22 AA	898 145 629 G	206 43 191 A	552 94 410 Total
2.1.1 2.1.2 2.1.3 2.2.1 2.2.2 2.3	Wei et al. ²⁵ Enli et al. ²² Peili et al. ²⁶ Ali et al. ²³ Qi et al. ²⁴ Litvinova et al. ²⁷ rs564481	1464 406 331 113 349 NA* GG	220 90 75 14 50 NA GA	20 6 1 0 NA AA	3148 902 737 240 748 98 G	260 102 87 16 50 2 A	1704 502 412 128 399 50 Total	904 457 355 63 349 NA GG	208 93 44 25 58 NA GA	16 3 1 6 3 NA AA	2016 1007 754 151 756 98 G	240 99 46 37 64 2 A	1128 553 400 94 410 50 Total
3.1.1 3.1.2 3.2.1 3.2.2 3.3	Telci <i>et al.</i> ¹⁸ Gürel <i>et al.</i> ¹⁹ Chen <i>et al.</i> ²¹ Peili <i>et al.</i> ²⁶ Qi <i>et al.</i> ²⁴ re650439	47 45 199 267 201 △△	46 41 GA+AA=1 128 164 AT	15 17 .07 17 34	140 131 data error 662 566 ∆	76 75 162 232 T	108 103 306 412 399 Total	19 33 159 257 196 AA	25 52 78 124 170 AT	7 17 4 19 44	63 118 396 638 562 ▲	39 86 86 162 258 T	51 102 241 400 410 Total
4.1.1 4.1.2 4.2	Ali et al. ²³ Qi et al. ²⁴ Wei et al. ²⁵ F352V	81 213 812 TT	40 158 720 TG	7 28 172 GG	202 584 2344 T	54 214 1064 G	128 399 1704 Total	56 217 508 TT	31 167 508 TG	7 26 112 GG	143 601 1524 T	45 219 732 G	94 410 1128 Total
5.1 5.2	Telci <i>et al.</i> ¹⁸ Gürel <i>et al.</i> ¹⁹ rs145682430	71 60 GG	33 40 GA	4 3 AA	175 160 G	41 46 A	108 103 Total	35 60 GG	16 42 GA	0 0 AA	86 162 G	16 42 A	51 102 Total
6.1 6.2	Peili <i>et al.</i> ²⁶ Peili <i>et al.</i> ²⁶ rs139912465	393 379 GG	6 33 GA	0 0 AA	792 791 G	6 33 A	399 412 Total	396 375 GG	14 25 GA	0 0 AA	806 775 G	14 25 A	410 400 Total
7	Liuya <i>et al</i> . ²⁸ rs577912	0 CC	0 CA	128 AA	0 C	256 A	128 Total	0 CC	0 CA	94 AA	0 C	188 A	94 Total
8	Wei <i>et al.</i> ²⁵ rs397703	976 GG	604 GA	124 AA	2556 G	852 A	1704 Total	704 GG	364 GA	60 AA	1772 G	484 A	1128 Total
9	Enli <i>et al.</i> ²² rs648202	8 GG	135 GA	359 AA	151 G	853 A	502 Total	16 GG	168 GA	368 AA	200 G	904 A	552 Total
10	Qi <i>et al</i> . ²⁴ rs526906	206 OR*(95%C	163 I*)	30	575 A	223 B	399 Total	219	167	24	605 A	215 B	410 Total
11.1	Apolikhin et al. 15	Non-significant			NA	NA	75				NA	NA	189
11.2	Apolikhin et al. 16	Non-significant			NA	NA	43				NA	NA	189
11.3	Apolikhin et al. 17	Non-signifi	cant		NA	NA	72				NA	NA	189

*NA: Not available; OR: Odds ratio; CI: Confidence interval.

Table III: Results of pooled OR.

	Number	A vs. G		AA vs. GG		AG vs. GG		AG+AA vs. GG		AA vs. GG+AG	
rs1207568	(cases/controls)	OR*(95%CI*)	l ² (%)	OR(95%CI)	l ² (%)						
Overall	1698/1550	0.801(0.664-0.966) ⁶	50.3	0.836(0.577-1.211)	11.7	0.701(0.492-0.997)	78.5	0.709(0.521-0.965)	73.6	0.906(0.630-1.303)	39.4
Caucasian	361/253	0.587(0.449-0.767)	0.0	1.193(0.299-4.755)	0.0	0.405(0.289-0.570)	0.0	0.420(0.299-0.588)	0.0	1.981(0.508-7.731)	0.0
Asian	1337/1297	0.898(0.782-1.031)	23.8	0.812(0.552-1.195)	44.3	0.955(0.675-1.351)	72.7	0.925(0.708-1.208)	58.8	0.854(0.451-1.616)	56.1
Han*	809/793	0.951(0.792-1.143)	28.4	0.968(0.198-4.724)	77.7	1.011(0.813-1.257)	0.0	0.979(0.793-1.209)	0.0	0.966(0.198-4.724)	77.9
Uyghur*	528/504	0.833(0.675-1.028)	40.1	0.896(0.538-1.493)	0.0	0.970(0.368-2.552)	87.8	0.909(0.455-1.813)	80.1	0.962(0.583-1.588)	38.9
rs3752472		A v.s G		AA vs. GG		AG vs. GG		AG+AA vs. GG		AA vs. GG+AG	
Overall	3195/2635	0.843(0.537-1.323)	87.7	NA"	NA						
Asian	3145/2585	0.836(0.523-1.334)	90.2	0.828(0.249-2.755)	63.5	0.853(0.548-1.328)	86.6	0.834(0.518-1.343)	89.0	0.874(0.283-2.703)	58.8
Han	2618/2081	1.136(0.636-2.028)	92.5	1.653(0.512-5.341)	58.9	1.070(0.597-1.920)	91.1	1.108(0.603-2.035)	92.1	1.600(0.547-4.678)	52.0
Uyghur*	527/504	0.477(0.168-1.354)	87.8	0.109(0.019-0.623)	0.0	0.543(0.202-1.464)	82.6	0.488(0.165-1.446)	86.6	0.126(0.022-0.713)	0.0
rs564481		A vs. G		AA vs. GG		AG vs. GG		AG+AA vs. GG		AA vs. GG+AG	
Overall	1328/1204	0.900(0.781-1.037)	0.0	0.786(0.562-1.101)	0.0	0.901(0.745-1.090)	0.0	0.916(0.779-1.076)	0.0	0.857(0.622-1.181)	0.0
Caucasian*	211/153	0.821(0.603-1.116)	0.0	0.780(0.412-1.477)	0.0	0.642(0.403-1.022)	0.0	0.676(0.436-1.046)	0.0	0.998(0.556-1.791)	0.0
Asian*	1117/1051	0.923(0.786-1.083)	0.0	0.789(0.531-1.172)	0.0	0.966(0.784-1.191)	0.0	0.961(0.808-1.143)	0.0	0.803(0.546-1.180)	0.0
Han*	718/641	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	1.002(0.801-1.253)	0.0	NA	NA
rs650439		T vs. A		TT vs. AA		AT vs. AA		AT+TT vs. AA		TT vs. AA+AT	
Asian	2231/1632	0.952(0.862-1.051)	0.0	0.968(0.767-1.222)	0.0	0.903(0.789-1.034)	0.0	0.915(0.804-1.040)	0.0	1.019(0.815-1.274)	0.0
Uyghur*	527/504	0.973(0.799-1.186)	0.0	0.997(0.603-1.649)	0.0	0.949(0.733-1.229)	0.0	0.955(0.746-1.222)	0.0	1.019(0.623-1.666)	0.0
F352V		G vs. T		GG vs. TT		GT vs. TT		GT+GG vs. TT		GG vs. TT+GT	
Caucasian*	211/153	1.161(0.796-1.694)	0.0	5.560(0.680-45.48)	0.0	0.976(0.627-1.520)	0.0	1.067(0.688-1.652)	0.0	5.592(0.688-45.48)	0.0
rs145682430		A vs. G		AA vs. GG		AG vs. GG		AG+AA vs. GG		AA vs. GG+AG	
Asian*	811/810	0.811(0.282-2.331)	73.5	NA	NA	0.810(0.276-2.374)	74.0	0.810(0.276-2.374)	74.0	NA	NA

*OR: Odds ratio; CI: Confidence interval; NA: Not available. ⁶Results with statistical significant difference were marked as bold. Unstable results in sensitivity analyses were marked as italic. *Less than three studies were included in those subgroups, so that sensitivity analyses could not be performed.

A sensitivity analysis was performed if any subgroup and any comparison included more than two studies, in dominant model (AG+AA vs. GG), heterozygote comparison (AG vs. GG) and allelic comparison (A vs. G) of rs1207568 overall, statistically different results were gained when study no 1.1.1, 1.1.2, 1.1.3 or 1.3.2 were excluded. In the Asian subgroup of rs1207568, statistically different results were gained when study no 1.2.1 was excluded in allelic comparison (A vs. G, Table III and Figure 2). Less than three studies were included in most groups and subgroups marked

with asterisks in Table III; therefore, the sensitivity analysis could not be made. The other results were stable in the sensitivity analysis (Table III).



Figure 1. Literature screening process.



Figure 2: In allelic comparison (A vs. G) overall, forest plot for the association between *Klotho* rs1207568 and urolithiasis with a random-effects model. A box and a horizontal line means the estimate of the OR and its 95% CI for each study. Rhombus means pooled OR and 95% CI.



Figure 3: In allelic comparison (A vs. G) overall, the forest plot for the association between *Klotho* rs3752472 and urolithiasis with a random-effects model.

To evaluate the publication bias, Begg's funnel plot and Egger's test were used in any subgroup and any comparison of more than five studies. P-value of Begg's test (P_B), P-value of Egger's test (P_E), and symmetry of funnel plot were tested.^{13,14} According to the P_B and P_E value, no significant publication bias was discovered in each genetic models of rs1207568 overall, in each genetic models of rs3752472's Asian subgroup, in allelic comparison (A *vs.* G) of rs3752472 overall, and in dominant model (AG+AA *vs.* GG) of rs564481 overall.

In the funnel plot, however, in the dominant model (AG + AA *vs.* GG) and heterozygote comparison (AG *vs.* GG) of rs1207568, study no 1.1.2, 1.1.3 and 1.3.1 extended beyond the diagonal line. The diagonal line indicated the pseudo-95% Cl limit for the effect estimate. In allelic comparison (A *vs.* G), dominant model (AG + AA *vs.* GG), and heterozygote comparison (AG *vs.* GG) of rs3752472's Asian subgroup, studies no 2.1.1, 2.1.3 and 2.2.1 extended beyond the diagonal line. In the homozygote comparison (AA *vs.* GG) of rs3752472's Asian subgroup, study no 2.2.1 extended beyond the diagonal line. In allelic comparison (A *vs.* G) of rs3752472's Asian subgroup, study no 2.2.1 extended beyond the diagonal line. In allelic comparison (A *vs.* G) of rs3752472 overall, studies no 2.1.3 and 2.2.1 extended beyond the diagonal line.

DISCUSSION

Klotho rs1207568 adenine (A) was related to a decreased urolithiasis risk in the dominant model (AG + AA vs. GG), heterozygote comparison (AG vs. GG), and allelic comparison (A vs. G) in the Caucasian subgroup and overall; however, significant heterogeneity and unstable sensitivity analysis results were found for rs1207568 overall. In the Asian subgroup of rs1207568, unstable sensitivity analysis results were obtained by allelic comparisons (A vs. G). Publication bias and sensitivity analyses could not be made in the Han and Uyghur subgroups. The publication bias analysis results suggested differences between the subgroups. These results suggested that Klotho rs1207568 adenine (A) is related to a decreased urolithiasis risk in Caucasians. There were inadequate data to confirm the relation between urolithiasis susceptibility and Klotho rs1207568 in Asians, and the results should be interpreted with caution.

For *Klotho* rs3752472, heterogeneity was significant in each genetic model of the Han subgroup, and publication bias analyses could not be carried out in Han subgroup, but the results were stable in sensitivity analyses. Statistically significant changes were found in the recessive model (AA *vs.* GG + AG) and the homozygote comparison (AA *vs.* GG) of rs3752472 in the Uyghur subgroup; however, publication bias and sensitivity analyses could not be carried out. Only one study was included in the Caucasian subgroup of rs3752472. The publication bias analysis results suggested

differences between the subgroups. These results showed that *Klotho* rs3752472 may not be related to the risk of urolithiasis in the Han subgroup of Asians. There were inadequate data to confirm the association between urolithiasis susceptibility and *Klotho* rs3752472 in Uyghur and Caucasians, and the results should be explained with caution.

No statistically significant change in urolithiasis risk was detected in any genetic model or subgroup for rs564481, rs650439, F352V, and rs145682430. In each study included in each SNP, no statistically significant changes were found. However, publication bias analysis could not be performed. Heterogeneity was not found in any genetic model or subgroup for rs564481, rs650439, or F352V. The results for rs564481 overall and the Asian subgroup of rs650439 showed stability in the sensitivity analyses. These results showed that Klotho rs564481 might not be related to urolithiasis risk in Asians or Caucasians, and that Klotho rs650439 might not be related to urolithiasis risk in Asians. There were inadequate data to confirm the relation between urolithiasis susceptibility and Klotho F352V in Caucasians or Klotho rs145682430 in Asians, and the results should be interpreted with caution.

Simultaneously, limitations of this meta-analysis should be addressed. To date, there had been few practical studies and their subgroups that could be absorbed by meta-analysis. In some groups or subgroups, sensitivity or publication bias analyses could not be operated. Studies no 1.1.1, 1.1.2, 1.1.3, 1.3.1 and 5.2 departed from HWE significantly. Unpublished studies or studies written by other languages were excluded. With imperfection, this meta-analysis and systematic review provided insights into the underlying relation between urolithiasis and *Klotho* gene polymorphisms.

CONCLUSION

Klotho rs1207568 adenine (A) may be related to a decreased urolithiasis risk in Caucasians. *Klotho* rs3752472 may not be related to urolithiasis risk in Han Asian subgroup. *Klotho* rs564481 may not be related to urolithiasis risk in Asians or Caucasians, and *Klotho* rs650439 may not be related to urolithiasis risk in Asians.

There were inadequate data to confirm the relation between urolithiasis susceptibility and *Klotho* rs1207568 in Asians, the relation between urolithiasis susceptibility and *Klotho* rs3752472 in Uyghur or Caucasians, and the relation between urolithiasis susceptibility and *Klotho* F352V in Caucasians or *Klotho* rs145682430 in Asians, and the results should be interpreted with caution. Elaborately designed studies with added subgroups and larger sample sizes will be needed to check the risk identified in systematic reviews and meta-analyses.

COMPETING INTEREST:

The authors declared that they have no competing interests.

AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTION:

JQ, BD: Designed the study and drafted the manuscript, accumulated the data, analysis and interpretation of the data, substantively revised the manuscript.

JX: Designed the study and drafted the manuscript, substantively revised the manuscript.

BC: Accumulated the data, conducted the analysis and interpretation of the data, substantively revised the manuscript.

TW: Accumulated the data, conducted the analysis and interpretation of the data.

All authors read and approved the final manuscript for publication.

REFERENCES

- Danpure CJ. Genetic disorders and urolithiasis. Urol Clin North Am 2000; 27(2):287-99, viii. doi: 10.1016/s0094-0143(05) 70258-5.
- Devuyst O, Pirson Y. Genetics of hypercalciuric stone forming diseases. *Kidney Int* 2007; **72(9)**:1065-72. doi: 10.1038/ sj.ki.5002441.
- Zhao Y, Zeng X, Xu X, Wang W, Xu L, Wu Y, *et al.* Low-dose 5-aza-2'-deoxycytidine protects against early renal injury by increasing *Klotho* expression. *Epigenomics* 2022; **14(22)**: 1411-25. doi: 10.2217/epi-2022-0430.
- Cuarental L, Ribagorda M, Ceballos MI, Pintor-Chocano A, Carriazo SM, Dopazo A, *et al.* The transcription factor *Fosl1* preserves *Klotho* expression and protects from acute kidney injury. *Kidney Int* 2023; **103(4)**:686-701. doi: 10.1016/j.kint. 2022.11.023.
- Taguchi K, Yasui T, Milliner DS, Hoppe B, Chi T. Genetic risk factors for idiopathic urolithiasis: a systematic review of the literature and causal network analysis. *Eur Urol Focus* 2017; 3(1):72-81. doi: 10.1016/j.euf.2017.04.010.
- Erben RG, Andrukhova O. *FGF23-Klotho* signaling axis in the kidney. *Bone* 2017; **100**:62-8. doi: 10.1016/j.bone.2016.09. 010.
- Kawano K, Ogata N, Chiano M, Molloy H, Kleyn P, Spector TD, et al. Klotho gene polymorphisms associated with bone density of aged postmenopausal women. J Bone Miner Res 2002; 17(10):1744-51. doi: 10.1359/jbmr.2002.17.10.1744.
- Xu C, Zhang W, Lu P, Chen JC, Zhou YQ, Shen G, *et al.* Mutation of *Klotho* rs3752472 protect the kidney from the renal epithelial cell injury caused by CaOx crystals through the *Wnt/β-catenin* signaling pathway. *Urolithiasis* 2021; **49(6)**:543-50. doi: 10.1007/s00240-021-01269-z.
- Qin J, Xing J, Liu R, Chen B, Chen Y, Zhuang X. Association between *CD40* rs1883832 and immune-related diseases susceptibility: A meta-analysis. *Oncotarget* 2017; 8 (60):102235-43. doi: 10.18632/oncotarget.18704.
- Qin J, Cai Z, Xing J, Duan B, Bai P. Association between calcitonin receptor gene polymorphisms and calcium stone urolithiasis: A meta-analysis. Int Braz J Urol 2019; 45(5): 901-9. doi: 10.1590/S1677-5538.IBJU.2019.0061.

- GA Wells, B Shea, D O'Connell, J Peterson, V Welch, M Losos, et al. The Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS) for assessing the quality of nonrandomised studies in meta-analyses. Available from: http://www.ohri.ca/programs/clinical_epide miology/nosgen.pdf (Accessed on 06/21/2017).
- Der Simonian R, Laird N. Meta-analysis in clinical trials. Control Clin Trials 1986; 7:177-88. doi: 10.1016/0197-2456(86)90046-2.
- Begg CB, Mazumdar M. Operating characteristics of a rank correlation test for publication bias. *Biometrics* 1994; 50(4):1088-101.
- Egger M, Smith GD, Schneider M, Minder C. Bias in metaanalysis detected by a simple, graphical test. *BMJ* 1997; **315(7109)**:629-34. doi: 10.1136/bmj.315.7109.629.
- 15. Apolikhin OI, Sivkov AV, Konstantinova OV, Slominskij PA, Tupicyna TV, Kalinichenko DN. Genetic risk factors for multiple kidney stone formation in the russian population. *Urologiia* 2015; **(4)**:4-6.
- Apolikhin OI, Sivkov AV, Konstantinova OV, Slominsky PA, Tupitsyna TV, Kalinichenko DN. Genetic risk factors for recurrence-free urolithiasis in the russian population. Urologiia 2016; (4):20-3.
- Apolikhin Ol, Sivkov AV, Konstantinova OV, Slominskii PA, Tupitsyna TV, Kalinichenko DN. Early diagnosis of risk for developing calcium oxalate urolithiasis. *Urologiia* 2017; (3):5-8. doi: 10.18565/urol.2017.3.5-8.
- Telci D, Dogan AU, Ozbek E, Polat EC, Simsek A, Cakir SS, et al. Klotho gene polymorphism of G395A is associated with kidney stones. Am J Nephrol 2011; 33(4):337-43. doi: 10.1159/000325505.
- Gurel A, Ure I, Temel HE, Cilingir O, Uslu S, Celayir MF, et al. The impact of *Klotho* gene polymorphisms on urinary tract stone disease. *World J Urol* 2016; **34(7)**:1045-50. doi: 10.1007/s00345-015-1732-z.
- 20. Lanka P, Devana SK, Singh SK, Sapehia D, Kaur J. Klotho gene polymorphism in renal stone formers from North

western India. Urolithiasis 2021; **49(3)**:195-9. doi: 10.1007/ s00240-020-01226-2.

- Chen X, Rijin S, Xiaolan W, Wei Z. The association among vitamin D receptor gene, *Klotho* gene polymorphisms and calcium urolithiasis. *Chin J Exp Surg* 2013; **30(12)**:2554-7. doi: 10.3760/cma.j.issn.1001-9030.2013.12.024.
- 22. Enli L. Study on Urinary stones genetic polymorphism. Tianjin Medical University; 2018.
- Ali A, Tursun H, Talat A, Abla A, Muhtar E, Zhang T, et al. Association Study of *Klotho* Gene polymorphism with calcium oxalate stones in the uyghur population of Xinjiang, China. Urol J 2017; **14(1)**:2939-43. doi: 10.22037/uj.v14i1. 3636.
- Qi S. Study on association of *Klotho* gene polymorphism and idiopathic calcium oxalate kidney stones in Uighur population of Xinjiang region. *Xinjiang Medical University* 2019. doi: 10.27433/d.cnki.gxyku.2019.000088.
- Wei X, Minjun J, Chen X, Rijin S, Xiaolan W, Wei Z. Klotho gene polymorphism of rs3752472 is associated with the risk of calcium oxalate calculi. J of Modern Urol 2015; 20(2):123-7. doi: 10.3969/j.issn.1009-8291.2015-02-016.
- Peili M, Xin Y, Jingjin G, Reheman A, Yi H, Ruotian L, et al. The relationship between *Klotho* gene and idiopathic calcium oxalate renal calculi in Uygur and Han nationalities in Xinjiang. J Modern Urol 2020; 25(9):778-83. doi: 10.3969/j.issn.1009-8291.2020.09.004.
- 27. Litvinova MM, Khafizov K, Korchagin VI, Speranskaya AS, Asanov AY, Matsvay AD, *et al.* Association of *CASR*, *CALCR*, and *ORAI1* genes polymorphisms with the calcium urolithiasis development in russian population. *Front Genet* 2021; **12**:621049. doi: 10.3389/fgene.2021.621049.
- Liuya Y, Talat A, Guangjian D, Mahmut M. Study on association of calcium oxalate stones and *Klotho* gene polymorphism in Uighur population of Xinjiang region. *J Clin Exp Med* 2015; **14(3)**:183-5. doi: 10.3969/j.issn.1671-4695.2015.03.005.

••••