Relationship of End Expiratory Lung Volume, Compliance, and Plateau Pressure in Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome
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ABSTRACT
COVID-19 ARDS (acute respiratory distress syndrome), caused by SARS-CoV-2, involves a decrease in the end expiratory lung volume (EELV), compliance, and hypoxemia. The authors retrospectively analysed the relationship between the EELV, Plateau pressure (Pplat), and compliance of the respiratory system in a group of 21 mechanically ventilated COVID ARDS patients with moderate to severe hypoxia who were subjected to a recruitment manoeuvre. Further, these parameters were studied after dividing them into two groups as Group 1 of clinically non-recruitable and Group 2 of clinically recruitable patients. There was relationship between EELV, compliance, and Pplat among those patients who were clinically recruited versus those who were not in a homogeneous group of COVID ARDS patients. In Group 1, the statistical value of EELV and compliance were $r = 0.395$, p>0.05, EELV and Pplat were $r = 0.021$, p>0.05, and compliance and Pplat were $r = -0.848$, p<0.001. In Group 2, the statistical values of EELV and compliance were ($r = 0.605$, p<0.001), EELV and Pplat were $r = -0.391$, p<0.05, compliance and Pplat were $r = -0.848$, p<0.001. The additional information gained after understanding this relationship can help to optimise ventilator settings.
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The relationship between the EELV, plateau pressure (Pplat), and compliance of the respiratory system in a group of 21 patients was retrospectively analysed. The groups were divided into Group 1: clinically recruitable, and Group 2: clinically non-recruitable, with moderate to severe hypoxia who were subjected to a recruitment manoeuvre.

After Ethics Committee’s approval (ECR/70/Inst/MH/2013/R-19), data were collected by a retrospective chart review between February and June 2021. The inclusion criteria was PaO$_2$/FiO$_2$ ratio less than 150 with PEEP >5 on invasive ventilation, bilateral inhomogeneous opacities on x-ray chest or CT scan, age >16 years, within 5 days of illness onset, and SARS Cov-2 RTPCR (Reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction) or rapid antigen for positive status.

The exclusion criteria was pulmonary oedema from cardiac origin, pneumothorax, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), pulmonary embolism, any patient who required vasopressors to maintain hemodynamics, death within 1 day of admission, transfer in from another hospital, prone position for recruitment, and terminally ill patients, lung cancer, emphysema and interstitial lung disease.

Eighty-eight COVID ARDS patients were screened and only 21 met the inclusion criteria. All 21 patients were sedated, paralysed, and ventilated with the Carescape R860, GE Healthcare ventilator equipped with an Escovyx module with the capacity to measure EELV.
An initial EELV was calculated after the patient was ventilated for 6 hours. A staircase recruitment manoeuvre was performed in indicated patients using pressure control mode with 15 cmH2O of pressure control above PEEP. While maintaining the driving pressure constant (15 over PEEP) the PEEP was increased from 20 cmH2O to 30 cmH2O, followed by 35 cmH2O every 2 min, reaching a maximum pressure of 50 cmH2O. The manoeuvre was abandoned immediately at any time that the mean arterial pressure dropped by 10%. However, none of the study patients developed hypotension during the manoeuvre. The final PEEP was set using the decremental PEEP titration method.

Clinical recruitment was defined as an increase in 3% of the SpO2 at 2 and 4 hours along with anatomical recruitment seen during the measurement of EELV. The relationship of compliance, plateau pressure, and end EELV was noted at the end of 2 and 4 hours between patient groups that were clinically recruitable (Group 1) and those that were not (Group 2).

Correlations were evaluated by Pearson's linear correlation test. The difference between correlations was evaluated by an equality of dependent correlations test. A p-value <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

The analysis of all the patients together (without dividing into groups) revealed a significant correlation between EELV and compliance ($r = 0.632$, $p<0.00$) as well as EELV and plateau pressure ($r = -0.315$, $p = 0.012$).

The scatterplot which explored the correlation of change in EELV with change in compliance suggested a definite positive linear relationship ($r = 0.632$, $p = 0.00$), with larger values of EELV tending to be associated with larger values of compliance (Figure 1), a moderate but definite negative correlation ($r = -0.315$, $p = 0.012$) between change in EELV and change in plateau pressure, and a moderate but negative relationship ($r = -0.519$, $p = 0.00$) between compliance and plateau pressure as in Figure 1.

In Group 1, there was no significant correlation between EELV and compliance or EELV and Pplat, with a strong negative relationship between compliance and Pplat as shown in Figure 1.

In Group 2, there was a moderate but positive linear relationship between EELV and compliance in recruitable patients, and a negative linear relationship between change in EELV and change in plateau pressure as shown in Figure 1.

Similar to Group 1, there was a negative linear relationship between compliance and Pplat in recruitable patients as shown in Figure 1.

The analysis of all the patients together (without dividing into groups) revealed a significant correlation between EELV and compliance ($r = 0.632$, $p<0.00$) as well as EELV and plateau pressure ($r = -0.315$, $p = 0.012$).
Conventionally, successful recruitment involves sustained improvement of saturations. However, during recruitment, alveolar recruitment and overdistension can simultaneously occur in various parts (in the heterogenous lung parenchyma in ARDS) of the lung, and oxygenation (on its own) may not be sensitive enough to pick up lung injury.³

To calculate EELV, the nitrogen wash-out/wash-in technique is the validated method used by the CareScape R860. Physiologically, an increase in EELV (by recruitment) should ideally cause a decrease in compliance and an improvement in Pplat. The results of this study are as per the experimental study done in which an increase in PEEP caused an increase in the EELV with a corresponding increase in the static compliance of the respiratory system. These experiments demonstrated that beyond a certain level of mean airway pressure, there was a drop in compliance which signified overdistension.⁴ There are very few human studies exploring this relationship.

Bikker et al. in contrast to other studies showed no correlation between EELV and dynamic compliance during the slow stepwise increase in PEEP. However, in this study, only three levels of PEEP were measured starting at 15, 10, and 5 cm which may not have been an adequate pressure to affect recruitment. Also, dynamic compliance may underestimate the total compliance of the lung and thorax as it is influenced by the resistive part of lung mechanics.⁴

In this study, the scatterplots of the group that was non-recruited showed no relationship between EELV and compliance or Pplat. In the group that was recruited clinically, the scatterplot suggested a linear positive moderate relationship between EELV and compliance, and a negative linear relationship with Pplat. Importantly, the mean percentage change in EELV and compliance in recruited patients were much higher than in the non-recruited patients, respectively (82.1 vs. 49.6% and 31.2 vs. 14.1%). The mean Pplat in the patients that were recruited showed a very minor reduction as compared to the non-recruited patients where the Pplat showed a percentage rise of 5.2%.

This study had limitations as it did not assess the outcomes of these patients which would have given more information about the benefits or risks of the manoeuvre. The sample size was small, however it is the largest till date (from India) of homogenous group of ARDS patients.

There was a reasonably clear relationship between EELV, compliance, and Pplat among those patients who were clinically recruited versus those who were not in a homogenous group of COVID ARDS patients. The additional information gained after understanding this relationship can help to optimise ventilator settings.
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