Screening the Biomarkers and Related Medicines for Gastric Adenocarcinoma

Juanjuan Lin¹, Ning Xu² and Weifang An³

¹Department of Scientific Research Section, Shenzhen Longhua District Central Hospital, Shenzhen, China ²Department of Radiology, Shenzhen Longhua District Central Hospital, Shenzhen, China ³Department of Pathology, Shenzhen Longhua District Central Hospital, Shenzhen, China

ABSTRACT

Objective: To search for potential biomarkers and available medicines for gastric adenocarcinoma.

Study Design: Experimental study.

Place and Duration of the Study: Scientific Research Section, Shenzhen Longhua District Central Hospital, Shenzhen, China, from January to April 2023.

Methodology: Datasets were retrieved from the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO). Differential gene expression analysis between gastric adenocarcinoma and normal samples was conducted using GEO2R. Subsequent Gene Ontology (GO) and Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) analyses were performed *via* the Enrichr website. Protein-protein interaction (PPI) networks were established using the STRING website. The central hub genes were identified using the cytoHubba plugin integrated within Cytoscape. Finally, the GEPIA2 and QuartataWeb websites were employed to validate the expression levels of the hub genes and to identify potential medicines for gastric adenocarcinoma.

Results: In total, 133 DEGs were identified. GO analysis revealed that these DEGs predominantly participate in processes such as cell adhesion, positive regulation of cell proliferation, and extracellular matrix organisation. In the KEGG pathways, DEGs were significantly enriched in gastric acid secretion, protein digestion and absorption, and ECM-receptor interaction. Following the construction of the PPI network, 10 central hub genes were identified and validated using GEPIA2. Notably, among these hub genes, *SERPINE1* demonstrated a significant association with the prognosis of gastric adenocarcinoma, and potential therapeutic agents were subsequently predicted.

Conclusion: SERPINE1 and potential therapeutic agents hold promise to enhance personalised diagnosis and treatment for gastric adenocarcinoma patients in the future.

Key Words: Biomarkers, Gastric adenocarcinoma, Bioinformatics, Differentially Expressed Genes (DEGs).

How to cite this article: Lin J, Xu N, An W. Screening the Biomarkers and Related Medicines for Gastric Adenocarcinoma. J Coll Physicians Surg Pak 2024; **34(03)**:290-295.

INTRODUCTION

Gastric carcinoma, often referred to as stomach cancer, is a malignant neoplasm originating from the epithelium of the gastric mucosa.¹ Its pathogenesis is multifactorial and includes factors such as chronic gastritis, infection with Helicobacter pylori, specific dietary habits (e.g., high salt intake and consumption of preserved foods), tobacco use, and genetic predispositions.² Gastric adenocarcinoma, a subtype that arises from the glandular cells of the gastric mucosa, represents approximately 95% of all stomach cancer cases.¹ Thus, global incidence rates for gastric adenocarcinoma closely align with those for stomach cancer in general.

Correspondence to: Dr. Juanjuan Lin, Department of Scientific Research Section, Shenzhen Longhua District Central Hospital, Shenzhen, China E-mail: juandhtu@163.com

Received: May 24, 2023; Revised: December 05, 2023; Accepted: February 18, 2024 DOI: https://doi.org/10.29271/jcpsp.2024.03.290 It is estimated that nearly one million new cancer cases diagnosed each year are of this subtype. Consistent with its prevalence, mortality rates for gastric adenocarcinoma are reflective of the broader category of stomach cancers. Specifically, of the roughly 723,000 annual stomach cancer-related deaths, a significant majority are due to adenocarcinoma.²

While there exist multiple methods for detecting gastric adenocarcinoma, they present certain limitations. Endoscopy, while effective, but its broad application is limited due to invasive nature, the cost implication, and reliant on the endoscopist's skill. Tissue biopsies, though informative, carry risks like bleeding or infection, and might not always conclusively identify cancer. CT scans subject patients to radiation, and difficult to distinguish normal tissue, such as fat and muscles, from tumours. Tumour marker tests, such as CEA and CA19-9, should not be used in isolation for diagnosis, serving merely as references.³⁻⁵ Thus, there is a pressing need for the development of early and reliable detection methods for this significant health issue.

Bioinformatics is an interdisciplinary domain that melds insights from biology, computer science, mathematics, and statistics to aggregate, process, interpret, and analyse extensive biological datasets. This field arose from the imperative to manage and scrutinise the burgeoning volume of biological data, particularly from high-throughput endeavours such as genomic sequencing and proteomics.⁵⁻⁷ Offering potent tools for contemporary biology and medical research, bioinformatics profoundly influences areas like disease mechanism comprehension, medicine discovery, and personalised medicine. Numerous public databases provide clinical and sequencing data for download and analysis. By reanalysing these datasets, there is potential to unearth fresh perspectives on gastric adenocarcinoma research. While various studies have identified genes linked to gastric adenocarcinoma,^{8,9} a solitary gene cannot elucidate all underlying mechanisms. Thus, employing bioinformatics to uncover additional molecular biomarkers is pivotal for the early diagnosis and targeted therapy of gastric adenocarcinoma.

The objective of the study was to validate the expression levels of the hub genes and to identify potential medicines for gastric adenocarcinoma.

METHODOLOGY

This bioinformatics study was conducted from January to April 2023 at the Scientific Research Section, Shenzhen Longhua District Central Hospital, Shenzhen, China.

GSE118916, GSE103236, and GSE26942, were downloaded from GEO database. GSE26942 comprised 12 cancers and 205 normal samples. GSE118916 had 15 cancers and 15 normal tissues. GSE103236 comprised 9 cancers and 10 normal samples.

GEO2R online tool was utilised to identify differentially expressed genes (DEGs) between gastric adenocarcinoma and normal samples. Subsequently, Venn software was employed to identify common DEGs across three datasets. The cut-off criteria were set at $|\log FC| > 2$ and p < 0.05. A logFC value less than -2 indicated down-regulation, whereas a value greater than 2 indicated up-regulation.

GO analysis was conducted to assess the cellular components, molecular functions, and enriched biological pathways associated with various genes and genomes.¹⁰ The KEGG pathway, a subsection of the KEGG database, was used for delineating molecular interactions and reaction networks across various biological processes with comprehensive graphical representations, facilitating an intuitive comprehension of distinct biological pathways, diseases, or functions as well as the pathways for upregulation or downregulation.¹¹ Visualisation of DEGs cluster for biological process, cellular component, molecular function, and KEGG pathways (p < 0.05) was achieved using the Enrichr website.

In order to find interaction of DEGs, online bioinformatic tool STRING was used. Then, the authors analysed and visualised PPI

network of DEGs by using the Cytoscape software.¹² The top ten hub genes from the PPI network were selected by MCC algorithm in the plugin cytoHubba (scores >2).¹³

GEPIA2 (Gene Expression Profiling Interactive Analysis 2) was used for analysing cancer gene expression based on RNA sequencing data. The tool primarily draws data from TCGA (The Cancer Genome Atlas) and GTEx (Genotype-Tissue Expression) projects, offering rapid and customisable functionalities that allow researchers to analyse gene expression differences between cancer samples and normal tissue samples.¹⁴ The hub genes' expression levels between 408 gastric adenocarcinoma and 211 normal samples were performed by using boxplots. Kaplan-Meier curves were conducted to evaluate the prognosis of gastric adenocarcinoma patients whose hub genes had been expressed.

Using QuartataWeb database, information for medicine-gene interaction was obtained based on over thirty reliable sources. Hub genes were inserted into the database, to screen compounds or medicines. Statistical significance was determined by applying t-test with p <0.05 taken as statistically significant.

RESULTS

DEGs (1749 in GSE103236, 419 in GSE26942, and 1851 in GSE118916) were identified using GEO2R. According to the Venn diagram, the overlap in the 3 datasets contained 133 genes. Upregulation of 30 genes and downregulation of 103 genes were observed.

In biological process (BP) annotation, it was mostly enriched in cell adhesion, positive regulation of cell proliferation, extracellular matrix organisation, positive regulation of cell migration, and positive regulation of extracellular-regulated kinase 1/2(ERK1/2) cascade. In cellular component (CC) annotation, it was enriched in plasma membrane, extracellular exosome, extracellular region, and extracellular space. In molecular function (MF) annotation, the DEGs were clustered in structural constituent of cytoskeleton, protease binding, WW domain binding, and extracellular matrix binding (Table I). Additionally, in KEGG pathway, the DEGs were clustered into ECM-receptor interaction, Chemical carcinogenesis, Metabolism of xenobiotics by cytochrome P450, and Complement and coagulation cascades (Table I).

As shown in Figure 1 (A and B), the red nodes represented upregulated genes while the blue ones represented down-regulated genes. 103 genes/nodes with 160 edges enriched in PPI network. As shown in Figure 1B, the top 10 hub genes were: *SPP1, SERPINE1, TIMP1, MMP3, BGN, SST, THBS2, GHRL, CHGA,* and *ATP4A*.

To further identify the hub genes' reliability in gastric adenocarcinoma, the authors entered the hub genes into the Gene Expression Profiling Interactive Analysis (GEPIA2) website (http://gepia2.cancer-pku.cn/) to match the expression levels and prognostic information.

Table I: GO analysis and KEGG pathway analysis.

Category	Term	Count	Ratio (%)	p-value	
BP	GO:0007155~cell adhesion	13	9.774436	1.63E-04	
BP	GO:0008284~positive regulation of cell proliferation	9	6.766917	0.023258	
BP	GO:0030198~extracellular matrix organisation	9	6.766917	1.10E-04	
BP	GO:0010628~positive regulation of gene expression	7	5.263158	0.013679	
BP	GO:0030335~positive regulation of cell migration	6	4.511278	0.012201	
BP	GO:0034220~ion transmembrane transport	6	4.511278	0.020454	
BP	GO:0070374~positive regulation of ERK1 and ERK2 cascade	6	4.511278	0.009977	
BP	GO:0007586~digestion	6	4.511278	1.05E-04	
BP	GO:0007596~blood coagulation	5	3.759398	0.048729	
BP	GO:0007204~positive regulation of cytosolic calcium ion concentration	5	3.759398	0.017899	
CC	GO:0005886~plasma membrane	40	30.07519	0.027168	
CC	GO:0070062~extracellular exosome	36	27.06767	4.40E-04	
CC	GO:0005615~extracellular space	31	23.30827	1.31E-08	
CC	GO:0005576~extracellular region	31	23.30827	6.59E-07	
CC	GO:0005887~integral component of plasma membrane	22	16.54135	9.33E-04	
CC	GO:0016324~apical plasma membrane	12	9.022556	7.15E-06	
CC	GO:0048471~perinuclear region of cytoplasm	11	8.270677	0.012874	
CC	GO:0009986~cell surface	11	8.270677	0.005205	
CC	GO:0009897~external side of plasma membrane	8	6.015038	8.10E-04	
CC	GO:0016323~basolateral plasma membrane	7	5.263158	0.001783	
MF	GO:0008270~zinc ion binding	15	11.2782	0.045201	
MF	GO:0005200~structural constituent of cytoskeleton	5	3.759398	0.008561	
MF	GO:0002020~protease binding	5	3.759398	0.006364	
MF	GO:0050699~WW domain binding	3	2.255639	0.021335	
MF	GO:0005496~steroid binding	3	2.255639	0.016411	
MF	GO:0050840~extracellular matrix binding	3	2.255639	0.015268	
MF	GO:0005242~inward rectifier potassium channel activity	3	2.255639	0.009183	
MF	GO:0004111~creatine kinase activity	3	2.255639	7.75E-04	
MF	GO:0004022~alcohol dehydrogenase (NAD) activity	2	1.503759	0.049911	
MF	GO:0004024~alcohol dehydrogenase activity, zinc-dependent	2	1.503759	0.042935	
KEGG	hsa04971: Gastric acid secretion	7	5.263158	8.89E-05	
KEGG	hsa04974: Protein digestion and absorption	5	3.759398	0.012074	
KEGG	hsa04512: ECM-receptor interaction	5	3.759398	0.011613	
KEGG	hsa05204: Chemical carcinogenesis	4	3.007519	0.047556	
KEGG	hsa00980: Metabolism of xenobiotics by cytochrome P450	4	3.007519	0.039157	
KEGG	hsa04610: Complement and coagulation cascades	4	3.007519	0.032797	
Note: BP. Biological process: CC. Cellular component: MF. Molecular function					



Figure 1: The construction of protein-protein interaction (PPI) network and hub genes analysis. (A) The PPI networks of differentially expressed genes. (B) The top 10 genes in the PPI networks. Red represents upregulated genes while blue represents downregulated genes.



Figure 2(A): Boxplot graphs showing the expression levels of hub genes in tumour and normal tissues of gastric adenocarcinoma patients. *p<0.05, STAD: Stomach adenocarcinoma.



Fiugre 2(B): Kaplan-Meier curves for overall survival in gastric adenocarcinoma patients stratified by SERPINE1 expression level. Cutoffhigh and cutoff-low were set at 50% in both groups. HR: Hazard ratio.

Table II: SERPINE1-targeted medicines.

ID	Name	Medicine Type	Medicine Group
DB00029	Anistreplase	Biotech Medicine	Approved
DB00009	Alteplase	Biotech Medicine	Approved
DB09130	Copper	Small Molecule	Approved
		Medicine	

As shown in Figure 2A, all 10 hub genes (*SPP1, SERPINE1, TIMP1, MMP3, BGN, THBS2, SST, GHRL, CHGA,* and *ATP4A*) showed statistically significant expression levels (p <0.05) between gastric adenocarcinoma and normal tissues. According to the GEPIA2 database, the expression trends of 10 hub genes in gastric adenocarcinoma patients and healthy individuals matched those in GEO (Figure 2A). Moreover, compared with high *SERPINE1* expression for gastric adenocarcinoma patients, the overall survival rate with lower *SERPINE1* expression was significantly higher (Figure 2B), which implied that *SERPINE1* might play a pivotal role in the development of gastric adenocarcinoma.

To analyse medicine-gene interaction, *SERPINE1* was uploaded into QuartataWeb. Twenty-nine predicted medicines were identified. The top 3 FDA-approved medications were selected, including "Anistreplase", "Alteplase", and "Copper" (Table II). These medicines might be used for gastric adenocarcinoma treatment.

DISCUSSION

Despite significant advances in surgical and medical treatments for gastric adenocarcinoma, its overall mortality rate remains elevated among cancer-related deaths. This high mortality is largely attributed to inadequate early detection, resistance to chemotherapy, and a substantial risk of relapse.^{2,3} Consequently, there is an urgent need to identify reliable diagnostic and therapeutic biomarkers for gastric adenocarcinoma. With the rapid progression of bioinformatics, a wealth of microarray and sequencing data is now available, facilitating comprehensive and efficient screening of genetic variants and the identification of diagnostic and prognostic molecular mechanisms for various cancers.^{6,7}

In this study, DEGs (1749 in GSE103236, 419 in GSE26942, and 1851 in GSE118916) were identified via GEO2R tools. Upregulation of 30 genes and downregulation of 103 genes were observed. In the GO functional analysis, DEGs predominantly enriched roles related to cell proliferation and migration. These processes are pivotal in the initiation and progression of malignant tumours. Moreover, within the KEGG pathway analysis, DEGs were primarily grouped into ECM-receptor interaction. The interplay between the extracellular matrix (ECM) and its receptors is crucial in the initiation, progression, and metastasis of various cancers.¹⁵ In gastric cancer, the ECM constitutes a significant component of the tumour microenvironment. Compared to healthy stomach tissues, the ECM in gastric cancer often exhibits notable remodelling. This transformation correlates with the tumour's invasion, progression, and metastasis.¹⁶ Integrins, the primary ECM receptors on the cellular surface, display heightened expression in gastric cancer. This heightened expression is linked to enhanced invasive and metastatic potentials of the tumour. Upon binding to distinct ECM segments, integrins trigger a series of signaling pathways that amplify tumour cell proliferation, migration, and invasion. Metastasis of gastric cancer, especially in regions like lymph nodes and the liver, frequently coincides with modifications in the ECM. These modifications can directly influence the migratory and invasive tendencies of tumour cells.¹⁷ In essence, the ECM-receptor interaction is fundamental to the initiation, progression, and metastasis of gastric cancer.

Among the PPI construction, 103 genes/nodes with 160 edges were enriched. Besides, top 10 hub genes (SPP1, SERPINE1, TIMP1, MMP3, BGN, SST, THBS2, GHRL, CHGA, and ATP4A) were selected by the cytoHubba. Then, the authors reconfirmed 10 hub genes through GEPIA2, and the expression trends remained unchanged. Compared to normal tissues, the SERPINE1 was significantly increased in gastric adenocarcinoma tissues. Moreover, compared with high SERPINE1 expression for gastric adenocarcinoma patients, the overall survival rate with lower SERPINE1 expression was significantly higher, which implied that

SERPINE1 might play a pivotal role in the progression of gastric adenocarcinoma.

SERPINE1, also termed plasminogen activator inhibitor 1 (PAI-1), is a multifunctional glycoprotein within the serine protease inhibitor superfamily, playing pivotal roles in various cellular processes.¹⁸ Recent evidence indicates that *SERPINE1* possesses diverse functions in cancer, correlating with adverse outcomes. Enhanced expression of PAI-1 has been linked to increased cell invasion and migration, particularly in esophageal squamous carcinoma.¹⁹ Moreover, the IncRNA NKX2-1-AS1 has been identified to promote gastric cancer progression by elevating *SERPINE1* expression and activating the VEGFR-2 signalling pathway.²⁰ These studies implied that *SERPINE1* might be a potential biomarker of gastric adenocarcinoma.

Targeted medicines can benefit cancer patients through improving treatment efficiency. With SERPINE1 as biomarker, authors identified 3 potential medicines. Anistreplase, a modified congener of streptokinase, has been used in acute myocardial infarction patients since 1990. It transforms fibrinbound plasminogen into the proteolytic enzyme and plasmin, which degrades fibrin into fibrin degradation products and finally lyses clots.²¹ Consequently, blood clots and arterial blockages that cause myocardial infarction are eliminated. Alteplase, a recombinant tissue plasminogen activator, is the only medical treatment approved for acute ischaemic stroke.²² Copper, a transition metal and a trace element in the body, is essential for the function of many enzymes including cytochrome-c oxidase and superoxide dismutase.²³ According to recent studies, cancer may mediate stroke by inducing coagulation disorders and infections.²⁴ The plasminogen activator system is important for cancer carcinogenesis and invasion, especially carcinomas metastasis.²⁵ Therefore, these medicines may provide new insights in the treatment of gastric adenocarcinoma.

CONCLUSION

SERPINE1 and potential therapeutic agents hold promise to enhance personalised diagnosis and treatment for gastric adenocarcinoma patients in the future. However, the risk of bias in these findings necessitates caution in their interpretation. Future research is essential to validate the utility of these biomarkers.

ETHICAL APPROVAL:

The local ethics committee granted an exemption letter after re-analysing the open-access datasets.

COMPETING INTEREST:

The authors declared no competing interest.

AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTION:

JL: Study design and manuscript drafting. JL, NX: Analysed the data and provided interpretations of the results. WA: Collection and assembling of data.

All authors approved the final version of the manuscript for publication.

REFERENCES

- Yakirevich E, Resnick MB. Pathology of gastric cancer and its precursor lesions. *Gastroenterol Clin North Am* 2013; 42(2):261-84. doi: 10.1016/j.gtc.2013.01.004.
- Machlowska J, Baj J, Sitarz M, Maciejewski R, Sitarz R. Gastric Cancer: Epidemiology, risk factors, classification, genomic characteristics and treatment strategies. *Int J Mol Sci* 2020; **21(11)**:4012. doi: 10.3390/ijms21114012.
- Pasechnikov V, Chukov S, Fedorov E, Kikuste I, Leja M. Gastric cancer: prevention, screening and early diagnosis. *World J Gastroenterol* 2014; **20(38)**:13842-62. doi: 10.3748/ wjg.v20.i38.13842.
- Fitzgerald RC, Antoniou AC, Fruk L, Rosenfeld N. The future of early cancer detection. *Nat Med* 2022; **28(4)**:666-77. doi: 10.1038/s41591-022-01746-x.
- Hosseini K, Ranjbar M, Pirpour Tazehkand A, Asgharian P, Montazersaheb S, Tarhriz V, *et al.* Evaluation of exosomal non-coding RNAs in cancer using high-throughput sequencing. *J Transl Med* 2022; **20(1)**:30. doi: 10.1186/ s12967-022-03231-y.
- Oliver GR, Hart SN, Klee EW. Bioinformatics for clinical next generation sequencing. *Clin Chem* 2015; **61(1)**:124-35. doi: 10.1373/clinchem.2014.224360.
- Chen C, Hou J, Tanner JJ, Cheng J. Bioinformatics methods for mass spectrometry-based proteomics data analysis. *Int J Mol Sci* 2020; **21(8)**:2873. doi: 10.3390/ijms21082873.
- Machlowska J, Kapusta P, Baj J, Morsink FHM, Wołkow P, Maciejewski R, *et al.* High-throughput sequencing of gastric cancer patients: Unravelling genetic predispositions towards an early-onset subtype. *Cancers (Basel)* 2020; **12(7)**:1981. doi: 10.3390/cancers12071981.
- Darnet S, Moreira FC, Hamoy IG, Burbano R, Khayat A, Cruz A, et al. High-throughput sequencing of mirnas reveals a tissue signature in gastric cancer and suggests novel potential biomarkers. *Bioinform Biol Insights* 2015; 9(Suppl 1):1-8. doi: 10.4137/BBI.S23773.
- Dalmer TRA, Clugston RD. Gene ontology enrichment analysis of congenital diaphragmatic hernia-associated genes. *Pediatr Res* 2019; **85(1)**:13-9. doi: 10.1038/s41390-018-0192-8.
- Kanehisa M, Furumichi M, Tanabe M, Sato Y, Morishima K. KEGG: New perspectives on genomes, pathways, diseases and drugs. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2017; **45(D1)**:D353-61. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkw1092.
- Shannon P, Markiel A, Ozier O, Baliga NS, Wang JT, Ramage D, et al. Cytoscape: A software environment for integrated models of biomolecular interaction networks. *Genome Res* 2003; **13(11)**:2498-504. doi: 10.1101/gr.1239303.

- Chin CH, Chen SH, Wu HH, Ho CW, Ko MT, Lin CY. cyto-Hubba: Identifying hub objects and sub-networks from complex interactome. *BMC Syst Biol* 2014; **8 Suppl (Suppl 4)**: S11. doi: 10.1186/1752-0509-8-S4-S11.
- Tang Z, Kang B, Li C, Chen T, Zhang Z. GEPIA2: An enhanced web server for large-scale expression profiling and interactive analysis. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2019; **47(W1)**: W556-W560. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkz430.
- Bao Y, Wang L, Shi L, Yun F, Liu X, Chen Y, *et al.* Transcriptome profiling revealed multiple genes and ECM-receptor interaction pathways that may be associated with breast cancer. *Cell Mol Biol Lett* 2019; 24:38. doi: 10.1186/s11658-019-0162-0.
- Zhang T, Li X, He Y, Wang Y, Shen J, Wang S, et al. Cancerassociated fibroblasts-derived HAPLN1 promotes tumour invasion through extracellular matrix remodeling in gastric cancer. Gastric Cancer 2022; 25(2):346-359. doi: 10.1007/ s10120-021-01259-5.
- Moreira AM, Pereira J, Melo S, Fernandes MS, Carneiro P, Seruca R, et al. The extracellular matrix: An accomplice in gastric cancer development and progression. *Cells* 2020; 9(2):394. doi: 10.3390/cells9020394.
- Flevaris P, Vaughan D. The role of plasminogen activator inhibitor type-1 in fibrosis. *Semin Thromb Hemost* 2017; 43(2): 169-77. doi: 10.1055/s-0036-1586228.
- Wang D, Yang L, Liu Z, Yu J, Zhang M, Zhang Y, et al. PAI-1 overexpression promotes invasion and migration of esophageal squamous carcinoma cells. *Yi chuan* 2020; 42(3):287-95. doi: 10.16288/j.yczz.19-334.
- Teng F, Zhang J, Chen Y, Shen X, Su C, Guo Y, *et al.* LncRNA NKX2-1-AS1 promotes tumor progression and angiogenesis via upregulation of *SERPINE1* expression and activation of the VEGFR-2 signaling pathway in gastric cancer. *Mol Oncol* 2021; **15(4)**:1234-1255. doi: 10.1002/1878-0261.12911.
- Marinac JS, North DS, Stringer KA. Anistreplase: A novel thrombolytic agent for acute myocardial infarction. *DICP* 1990; **24(6)**:607-15. doi: 10.1177/106002809002400611.
- Huang X, Cheripelli BK, Lloyd SM, Kalladka D, Moreton FC, Siddiqui A, et al. Alteplase versus tenecteplase for thrombolysis after ischaemic stroke (ATTEST): A phase 2, randomised, open-label, blinded endpoint study. Lancet Neurol 2015; 14(4):368-76. doi: 10.1016/S1474-4422(15) 70017-7.
- Percival SS. Copper and immunity. *Am J Clin Nutr* 1998;
 67(5 Suppl):1064S-8S. doi: 10.1093/ajcn/67.5.1064S.
- Dardiotis E, Aloizou AM, Markoula S, Siokas V, Tsarouhas K, Tzanakakis G, *et al*. Cancer-associated stroke: Pathophysiology, detection and management (Review). *Int J Oncol* 2019; **54(3)**:779-96. doi: 10.3892/ijo.2019.4669.
- Abbink K, Zusterzeel PLM, Geurts-Moespot A, van der Steen R, Span PN, Sweep FCGJ. Prognostic significance of VEGF and components of the plasminogen activator system in endometrial cancer. J Cancer Res Clin Oncol 2020; 146(7): 1725-35. doi: 10.1007/s00432-020-03225-7.

.