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ABSTRACT
Objectives: To determine the diagnostic accuracy of HOMA-IR, and QUICKI in diagnosing gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM) considering oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT) as gold standard.

Study Design: Cross-sectional analytical study.

Place and Duration of Study: Department of Chemical Pathology, Armed Forces Institute of Pathology, Rawalpindi from September 2020 to February 2021.

Methodology: Pregnant women with gestational age between 24 to 28 weeks, who reported to Endocrine Clinic of AFIP for OGTT, were included in the study. OGTT was performed by following ADA guidelines. Sample for fasting insulin was collected along with first fasting sample of OGTT. HOMA-IR and QUICKI were calculated simultaneously. Percentage was used for qualitative variable while median (IQR 25th-75th) was applied for quantitative variables. OGTT was used as gold standard for calculation of diagnostic accuracy of HOMA-IR and QUICKI.

Results: Out of 182 patients, 74 (40.6%) were found to have GDM on OGTT while 108 (59.4%) had normal OGTT. Women with GDM (n=74) had median values of fasting insulin 15.9 (IQR 11.2-17.77), HOMA-IR 3.5 (IQR 2.6-4.1) and QUICKI 0.31 (IQR 0.30-0.33) as compared to median values of fasting insulin 8.0 (IQR 5.9-10.3), HOMA-IR 1.60 (IQR 1.12-2.03) and QUICKI 0.35 (IQR 0.34-0.37) in patients (n=108) with normal response to OGTT, (p <0.001). On logistic regression analysis, there was a strong association of HOMA-IR and QUICKI with gestational diabetes mellitus (p <0.001, accuracy 84.6%). HOMA-IR at cutoff of ≤2 had 94.5% sensitivity, 72.2% specificity, 70% PPV, 95.1% NPV, and 81.31% overall diagnostic accuracy with 0.913 AUC. QUICKI at cutoff of 0.34 had 86.4% sensitivity, 83.3% specificity, 78.0% PPV, 90.0% NPV, and 84.61% overall diagnostic accuracy with 0.905 AUC.

Conclusion: Fasting insulin and HOMA-IR were significantly higher while QUICKI was lower in patients of GDM as compared to non-GDM pregnant patients at 24 to 28 weeks of gestation. Being more convenient for patients, it has the potential to be used as screening tool for gestational diabetes.
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INTRODUCTION
Gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM) is a condition of glucose intolerance that is first recognised in pregnancy. American Diabetes Association (ADA) has defined GDM as the diabetes, which is diagnosed during the second and third trimesters of pregnancy. It affects about one out of every six pregnancies globally. Its prevalence has increased by more than 30% in the past few years in many developing countries, leading to an emerging worldwide epidemic. In Pakistan, different studies have reported prevalence of GDM to be around 8%. The main pathogenesis behind development of GDM is because of the hormones such as cortisol, estrogen and human placental lactogen. These hormones are released from placenta during pregnancy and they mediate insulin resistance. This insulin resistance progressively increases during second trimester; and in women who are unable to produce sufficient insulin to cater for this insulin resistance, develops GDM. Therefore, screening for GDM is recommended in 24 to 28 weeks of gestation. According to the ADA 2019 criteria, the diagnosis of GDM in patients without any pre-existing diabetes can be made by
performing oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT) with 75 gram glucose after overnight fasting of more than eight hours. Following one step procedure, a total of three plasma glucose samples are required which include fasting sample, post-glucose load 1-hour and 2-hour sample.

However, OGTT although having high potency for diagnosis of GDM has some limitations which include prior appointments, prolong duration, taking oral glucose which may cause nausea and vomiting leading to termination of the test and multiple pricks for performing the procedure.

Homeostasis model assessment-estimated insulin resistance (HOMA-IR) which was introduced in 1985 is currently being widely used for determination of insulin resistance. The quantitative insulin-sensitivity check index (QUICKI) is another accurate marker for estimation of sensitivity of insulin. Both these markers are single prick tests, which require patients to be in fasting state and can be calculated from fasting sample of plasma glucose and serum insulin. The main reason for development of GDM is insulin resistance.

The rationale of this study was to determine whether these biomarkers of insulin resistance (HOMA-IR) and insulin sensitivity (QUICKI) can be used as a diagnostic tool for gestational diabetes mellitus as these biomarkers are more convenient for patients and requires only a single fasting sample.

The study objective was to determine diagnostic accuracy of markers of insulin resistance estimated by HOMA-IR and insulin sensitivity estimated by QUICKI in gestational diabetes mellitus, considering OGTT following guidelines of American Diabetes Association as gold standard.

**METHODOLOGY**

A cross-sectional study was conducted at Department of Chemical Pathology, Armed Forces Institute of Medicine, Rawalpindi, over a duration of six months from September 2020 to February 2021 after approval from the Institutional Ethical Committee.

Pregnant female patients with gestational age between 24 to 28 weeks, who reported to Endocrine Clinic of AFIP for OGTT, were included in the study. Informed written consent was taken from the patients. Exclusion criteria were patients, who had fasting of less than eight hours, diagnosed with GDM in previous pregnancies or had multiple comorbid condition, like renal impairment, cardiovascular disease or twin pregnancy.

OGTT was performed by collecting fasting blood sample between 0800 hrs – 0900 hrs. Venous blood sample (3ml) was collected in sodium fluoride tube for plasma glucose. Fasting insulin sample (2ml) was collected simultaneously in gel tube. To the patients, 75 grams glucose dissolved in 200ml of water were given. Venous blood samples for glucose estimation were collected after one and two hours of glucose administration.

Samples centrifugation was done at 3500 rpm for three minutes and was analysed within two hours of collection. Plasma glucose was analysed on ADVIA 1800 chemistry auto analyser by hexokinase method. While serum insulin levels were analysed on ADVIA Centaur XP auto analyser by chemiluminescence method, three levels of controls including low, normal, and high were run with each batch of plasma glucose and serum insulin as a part of internal quality control.

Gestational diabetes mellitus was labelled on OGTT, following one-step strategy of American Diabetes Association 2020 guidelines. Cutoff for plasma glucose were plasma glucose (Fasting) <5.1 mmol/l, post-glucose load (1 hour sample) <10 mmol/l and post-glucose load (2-hour sample) <8.5 mmol/l. Patients with any one value falling above the cutoff were labelled as GDM.

HOMA-IR was determined by using the equation, HOMA-IR = (fasting glucose x fasting insulin)/ 22.5. Patients with HOMA IR >2 were considered to have insulin resistance. Whereas, QUICKI was estimated by using the equation, QUICKI = [1/(log fasting insulin + log fasting glucose)]. Patients with QUICKI < 0.34 were considered to have insulin resistance.

Statistical analysis was done by using SPSS version 26. For determination of normality of data, Shapiro-Wilk test was applied which revealed that the data had non-parametric distribution. Percentage was used for qualitative variables while median with IQR 25th-75th percentile was used for quantitative variables such as fasting insulin, HOMA-IR and QUICKI. Median comparison of HOMA-IR, QUICKI and fasting insulin was done by Mann-Whitney U-test, p <0.05 was considered to be statistically significant. Logistic regression analysis was used to determine association of HOMA-IR, QUICKI and fasting insulin with GDM. In each patient, OGTT (75g) was considered as gold standard for diagnosing gestational diabetes mellitus and for the determination of the sensitivity, specificity, PPV, NPV and diagnostic accuracy of HOMA-IR and QUICKI.

**RESULTS**

A total of 182 pregnant women, having mean age of 29.47 ± 4.03 years, were included in the study. Out of those, 74 (40.6%) were found to have GDM on OGTT while 108 (59.4%) were found to be negative for GDM. Women with GDM (n=74) had median values of fasting insulin 15.9 (IQR 11.2-17.77), HOMA-IR 3.5 (IQR 2.6-4.1) and QUICKI 0.31 (IQR 0.30-0.33). Whereas, women who did not have GDM (n=108) had median values of fasting insulin 8.0 (IQR 5.9-10.3), HOMA-IR 1.60 (IQR 1.12-2.03) and QUICKI 0.35 (IQR 0.34-0.37). Median fasting insulin, HOMA-IR was high and QUICKI was low in patients who had GDM as compared to those who did not have GDM. The difference between medians of these quantitative variables when compared by using Mann-Whitney U-test was found to be statistically significant with p <0.001. On logistic regression analysis, there was strong association of HOMA-IR and QUICKI with gestational diabetes mellitus having p <0.001 and accuracy of 84.6%.

In women, who had GDM (n=74), 70 had HOMA IR value of > 2 while only four women had HOMA-IR ≤ 2. While in those, who didn’t have GDM (n=108), 78 women had HOMA-IR ≤ 2 and 30 women had HOMA-IR >2. A total of 100 women (54.9%) had HOMA-IR >2, while 82 women (45.05%) had HOMA-IR ≤2. At cut
off of ≤ 2, the odds ratio, sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value and negative predictive value of HOMA-IR for determining GDM were 9.75 (CI 2.39-39.67), 94.5%, 72.2%, 70% and 95.1%, respectively. Diagnostic accuracy was 81.31% (Table I).

### Table I: Diagnostic accuracy of HOMA-IR in detection of GDM in pregnant patients with gestational age of 24-28 weeks taking OGTT as gold standard (n=182).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>GDM</th>
<th>Non-GDM</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HOMA IR &gt;2</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOMA IR ≤2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>182</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
<th>Specificity</th>
<th>Positive predictive value</th>
<th>Negative predictive value</th>
<th>Diagnostic accuracy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sensitivity</td>
<td>70/(70+4)*100 = 94.6%</td>
<td>78/(78+30)*100 = 72.2%</td>
<td>70/(70+30)*100 = 70%</td>
<td>78/(4+78)*100 = 95.1%</td>
<td>70+78/(70+4+30+78)*100 = 81.31%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

On ROC curve of HOMA-IR, the best cutoff point was 2.035 with sensitivity 94.6% and specificity 72.2%. AUC for HOMA-IR was 0.913 as shown in Figure 1.

In women who had GDM (n=74), 64 had QUICKI <0.34, while 10 had QUICKI ≥0.34. While in those who didn't have GDM (n=108), 90 had QUICKI ≥0.34 and 18 had QUICKI <0.34. A total of 82 (45.05%) had QUICKI <0.34 while 100 (54.94%) had QUICKI ≥0.34. At cutoff of <0.34, the odds ratio, sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value, and negative predictive value of QUICKI was 7.11 (CI 2.34-21.59), 86.4%, 83.3%, 78% and 90%, respectively. While diagnostic accuracy was 84.61% (Table II).

### Table II: Diagnostic accuracy of QUICKI in detection of GDM in pregnant patients with gestational age of 24-28 weeks taking OGTT as gold standard (n=182).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>GDM</th>
<th>Non-GDM</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>QUICKI &lt; 0.34</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QUICKI ≥ 0.34</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>182</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
<th>Specificity</th>
<th>Positive predictive value</th>
<th>Negative predictive value</th>
<th>Diagnostic accuracy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sensitivity</td>
<td>64/(64+10)*100 = 86.4%</td>
<td>90/(90+18)*100 = 83.3%</td>
<td>64/(64+18)*100 = 78.0%</td>
<td>90/(90+10)*100 = 90.0%</td>
<td>64+90/(64+10+18+90)*100 = 84.61%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

On ROC curve of QUICKI, the best cutoff point was 0.325 with sensitivity 90.7% and specificity 68.4%. AUC for QUICKI was 0.905, as shown in Figure 2.

### DISCUSSION

Pregnancy is a physiological hyperglycemic condition, resulting in progressive insulin resistance from mid-gestation. Insulin resistance is caused by release of diabetogenic hormones from placenta during pregnancy. These hormones include placental ACTH, human placental lactogen, placental growth hormone, estrogen and progesterone. The hyperglycemia upto a certain level is essential for development of fetus. However, when secretion of insulin from pancreas is inadequate to cater for the insulin resistance, patients develop gestational diabetes.

The phenomena of insulin resistance was also evident in the present study where a statistically significant difference was demonstrated in fasting insulin, HOMA-IR and QUICKI values between GDM and non-GDM pregnant patients. The present study results were in consonance with other similar studies. Adam et al, also illustrated a significant difference in fasting insulin, HOMA-IR and QUICKI. In their study, the patients having GDM had mean fasting insulin 20 uIU/ml, HOMA-IR 2.67 and QUICKI 0.636, while non-GDM pregnant patients had mean fasting insulin 11 uIU/ml, HOMA-IR 2.67 and QUICKI 0.746. Endo et al. studied the difference in insulin sensitivity in all three trimesters and concluded that HOMA-IR in patients with GDM was significantly (p < 0.01) higher than those with normal-weight non-GDM pregnant patients. In patients with GDM, HOMA-IR significantly increased (p < 0.05) with advancement in pregnancy. Whereas, QUICKI was also significantly (p < 0.01) lower in patients having GDM as compared to normal-weight non-GDM pregnant patients. Wang et al. also concluded that HOMA-IR was significantly higher in the later stage of pregnancy in women with GDM as compared to non-GDM women. As Insulin resistance is a distinctive feature for progression to GDM, hence these biomarkers have the potential to be utilised as a screening and diagnostic tool for GDM.

In this study, the sensitivity of HOMA-IR was found higher than QUICKI, while QUICKI was found to be more specific. The sensi-
tivity of HOMA-IR at cutoff value of ≤ 2 was 94.5% with negative predictive value of 95.1%. The specificity of QUICKI at cutoff of ≥ 0.34 was 83.3% as compared to 72.2% in HOMA-IR. Ozcimen et al. conducted a study on 271 patients in their first trimester of pregnancy and concluded that HOMA-IR at cutoff value of 2.60 had sensitivity of 100%, specificity of 94% and overall diagnostic accuracy of 92%. Alptekin et al., in a study conducted in 250 primigravida patients in their first trimester, found that at cutoff value of 2.08 HOMA-IR had 90% sensitivity and 61% specificity.

GDM, if left untreated or undiagnosed, may lead to adverse maternal-fetal outcome such as fetal macrosomia, hypoglycemia of neonate, premature delivery, increased cesarean delivery rate, pre-eclampsia, birth injuries and shoulder dystocia.

OGTT is used as a gold-standard test for the diagnosing GDM. In low risk patients, screening for GDM is done between 24 to 28 weeks of gestation. Drawback of this test is that it is quiet cumbersome, requires multiple sampling, time consuming; and unpleasant for some patients already having nausea. Thus, the scenario consequently leads to poor compliance by both the patients and healthcare professionals in achieving the target of maximum screening of GDM during pregnancy. An ideal screening test must be acceptable, convenient, accessible, and affordable.

In this study, HOMA-IR at cutoff of ≤ 2 had high sensitivity (94.5%) and high negative predictive value (95.1%). HOMA-IR being simpler, more convenient test, requiring only a single fasting sample which can be used as a screening biomarker for GDM. HOMA-IR which may help in achieving the target for maximum screening and taking control of this emerging global pandemic in a better way. However, HOMA-IR being less specific, (72.2%) the authors recommend that patients with HOMA-IR > 2 should undergo OGTT for confirmation of GDM.

The main limitation of this study was that it was single-centre study, conducted in pregnant patients with gestational age between 24 and 28 weeks. Secondly, as the insulin sensitivity varies amongst different races/ethnic groups, and the study was conducted only in Pakistani population. Further multi-centre studies with larger sample size are recommended to determine cutoff of HOMA-IR and QUICKI at different stages of pregnancies.

CONCLUSION

Fasting insulin and HOMA-IR were significantly higher while QUICKI was lower in patients with GDM as compared to non-GDM pregnant patients at 24 to 28 weeks of gestation. HOMA-IR at cutoff of ≤ 2 had a sensitivity of 94.5% and NPV of 95.1%, which was higher than QUICKI (86.4% sensitivity and 90% NPV). These biomarkers determine the severity of insulin resistance and can be used as a potential screening tool for GDM in future. However, further studies are required to determine cutoff at different trimesters of pregnancy and in different ethnic groups.
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