INTRODUCTION

Ischemic steal syndrome is a rare complication of arteriovenous fistula creation. It is due to high blood flow going into a low resistance vein and due to the reversal of flow away from the higher resistance distal arterial bed into the vein. The management of patients with mild ischemia is expectant. Definitive treatment is reserved for patients with moderate and severe ischemia manifesting as rest pain, severe paresthesias, paralysis, cyanosis or gangrene. Several options including ligation of arteriovenous fistula, banding, proximalization of arterial inflow and distal revascularization and interval ligation (DRIL), are proposed for this condition. DRIL involves a bypass conduit from artery proximal to the arteriovenous fistula to a distal recipient artery with ligation of the artery in between the fistula and the distal anastomosis. Conceptually, this combination increases peripheral perfusion to the hand while simultaneously blocking retrograde blood flow to the access.

The aim of this study is to evaluate the outcomes of DRIL procedure to treat hemodialysis-associated ischemic steal syndrome patients.

METHODOLOGY

This is a case series of all patients undergoing DRIL procedure from January 2005 to December 2015 at Department of Surgery, Aga Khan University Hospital, Karachi. The data was collected from the medical records of the patients after getting an exemption from the Institutional Ethical Review Committee (4239-SUR-ERC-16). It included the patients’ demographics, their complaints, clinical diagnosis and created access type. It also included the type of intervention and any intra- and post-operative complications. Diagnosis of these patients was mainly based on clinical history and physical examination. Patients with Grade 1 and 2 steal syndrome were treated conservatively. DRIL was only performed on patients with tissue loss or persistent rest pain (grade 3 steal Syndrome). The technique of the DRIL procedure was performed with the standard technique described in literature.

SPSS version 19 was used for statistical analysis. Quantitative variables like age, duration of symptoms, and hospital stay were presented as mean ± standard deviation (SD). Categorical variables were reported as percentages.

RESULTS

A total of 10 patients (9 of them women) underwent DRIL procedure during the review period and all were included in the study. The mean age of the group was 57 ±6.7 years. All the patients presented with grade 3 steal syndrome. Three (30%) patients presented with tissue loss while seven (70%) patients had rest pain. Four
(40%) patients had brachioaxillary arteriovenous bridge graft while six (60%) patients had basilic transposition arteriovenous fistula. There were four (40%) patients having motor deficits and two (20%) patients having sensory deficits. All the procedures were done under general anaesthesia. Polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) was used in all patients as the brachio-brachial bypass graft. The selection of patients for this procedure and the choice of graft were at the operating surgeon’s discretion. All patients had a smooth recovery except for one patient who had brachio-brachial graft thrombosis immediately post-procedure. This required thrombectomy. In all the cases, access was preserved. Steal symptoms resolved, and the wound healed in all patients with tissue loss. Two patients (20%) had persistent rest pain and sensory loss. Although over time, the pain decreased, but there was no improvement in the neurological status of the patients.

The patients had a mean follow-up for six months. The patency of grafts was confirmed clinically and by handheld Doppler. There was no late graft thrombosis or wound-related complications.

**DISCUSSION**

DRIL is generally acceptable (Table I), but there are always some objections. Its technique is complex and lengthy, there is a need to create two anastomoses, and requirement of general anaesthesia. There is also concern that the main axial artery is ligated, and the patient is reliant on perfusion on a graft.

Despite these limitations, this procedure provides us a good physiological explanation of relieving the hand ischemia, and at the same time, maintaining the access. This is evident in the present case series. The rest pain of the patients was relieved and wounds of the patients with tissue loss got better. All procedures were done under general anaesthesia, which the patients tolerated well. Prosthetic graft was used instead of a vein, which decreased operative time and also eliminated complications associated with harvesting the vein. There is always a fear of prosthetic graft getting infected, but it was not the case in any patient. None of these patients had late graft thrombosis.

Most of these patients were females and diabetic; and all the accesses were created from the distal brachial artery. These findings are consistent with most of the other studies.

One of the initial patients got graft thrombosis in the immediate postoperative period and his hand ischemia got worse. He had thrombectomy and there was a kink noted due to relatively longer graft. It was corrected and the patient had improvement in his symptoms and his graft remained patent.

This is an experience from an academic centre with dedicated expertise as well as special interest in access surgery. DRIL is one of many methods used over time to treat patients with Grade 3 steal syndrome. Others were banding, proximalization of inflow and ligation of arteriovenous fistula in these patients. This study is unique as all the brachio-brachial grafts were created with a prosthetic graft. General wisdom favours autologous over the prosthetic, due to less risk of infection. Using alternative to vein graft, provides ease of use and ready availability. It also decreased operative time and donor site wound-related complications. The potential fear of getting graft infection was not seen in any patient.

In this series, two of the patients had the severe neurological deficit. Although the pain settled, but they only got partial improvement in their sensory deficit. This may be due to the fact that patients could have had irreversible neurological damage due to severe ischemia. They did not get complete relief even after revascularization. The same findings were noted by Aimaq et al, who noticed that only 56% of patients with neurological deficit improved compared to 90% of patients with tissue loss.

Limitations of this study are: being a retrospective study and a single-centre study.

**CONCLUSION**

DRIL is an effective procedure for relieving hand ischemia and in preserving the access. Patients with rest pain and tissue loss benefit more from it than with neurological deficit.
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