Emergent Transcatheter Aortic Valve Implantation as a Life-saving Procedure: A Primary Treatment Approach
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CASE REPORT

Severe aortic stenosis (SAS) is the most common valvular heart disease in elderly patients. Untreated SAS is known to have 50% mortality within 2 years of onset of symptoms. SAS with acute decompensated heart failure is fatal and requires immediate, appropriate treatment. Urgent transcatheter aortic valve implantation (TAVI) is acceptable with feasible outcomes for a selected group of patients with decompenated SAS. Herein, we present a case of a primary TAVI procedure with a good outcome for decompenated SAS. The patient was an 85-year female with SAS with peak/mean transvalvular gradients of 73/42 mmHg, and left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) of 60% on echocardiography. The TAVI procedure was confirmed with echocardiography and angiography. As there was no anatomic contraindication for TAVI, primary TAVI was selected for this patient with implantation of a No. 29 Portico™ TAVI valve (Abbott; St. Jude Medical Inc., St. Paul, MN, USA). To the best of the authors’ knowledge, this case is the first to be reported as primary TAVI which was performed in 4 hours of emergency situation. A life-saving procedure was performed, which lasted 4 hours from door-to-valve placement.
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INTRODUCTION

Severe aortic stenosis (SAS) is the most common valvular heart disease in elderly patients. Untreated SAS is known to have 50% mortality within 2 years of the onset of symptoms. SAS with acute decompensated heart failure increases this mortality rate, but appropriate treatment can be applied. The main treatment option for SAS is surgical aortic valve replacement (SAVR), but because of advanced age and high surgical risk, 40.5% patients with SAS could not be operated until the introduction of transcatheter aortic valve implantation (TAVI), TAVI treatment was first successfully performed on humans with SAS by Cribier et al. in 2002 and since then, has become a cornerstone for patients at high surgical risk or who were inoperable, and is now widely applied worldwide with ongoing developments. Ideally, TAVI should be performed as an elective procedure for patients with SAS after they have been carefully monitored. However, emergent TAVI is feasible with acceptable clinical outcomes for a selected group of patients with decompenated SAS.

We, herein present a case of an emergent TAVI procedure with a good clinical outcome in an 85-year female patient with SAS and severe coronary artery disease who presented at the Emergency Department (ED) with decompensation and poor hemodynamic parameters.

CASE REPORT

A 85-year female with SAS presented at the ED with dyspnea and poor clinical status. In the ED, hypotension and hypoxia were determined. The patient was intubated after successfully performing cardiopulmonary resuscitation following a cardiopulmonary arrest with dopamine infusion being started as supportive treatment. Crepitant rales were determined in the basal and mid zones on auscultation due to lung edema. Blood pressure was 80/50 mmHg despite the dopamine infusion. Troponin I levels were negative at 0.014 and 0.026 μg/L, with a cut-off value of 0-0.006. There were 90% stenosis of left anterior descending (LAD) artery, 90% of left circumflex (LCX) and a chronically occluded right coronary artery (RCA), with tortuous coronary anatomy and mid-distal lesions, on a recent coronary angiography from another medical centre.

Echocardiographic evaluation showed SAS with peak/mean transvalvular gradients of 73/42 mmHg, and left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) of 60%. After this angiography and echocardiography in the other centre, the patient had been considered inoperable because of the high surgical risk. Electrocardiogram (ECG) showed sinus rhythm and left ventricular hypertrophy with signs of strain and rare ventricular extra systole. Due to the ongoing unstable hemodynamic parameters in the presence of normal LVEF and ineffective inotropic...
support, an emergent consultation between the cardiology and cardiovascular surgery clinics agreed by consensus that because of the high SAVR risk, a TAVI procedure was acceptable to rescue the patient from cardiogenic shock. There was no time to perform a computed tomography scan for evaluation. With the benefit of the patient intubated, cardiac catherisation was performed under general anesthesia using transesophagial echocardiography (TEE). A 7-F sheath was placed through the left femoral artery. Aortic diameters were measured using TEE and aortography. The aortic valve perimeter and aortic annulus diameter were calculated as 77 mm and 27 mm, respectively on 2-D and 3-D TEE and the aortic annulus diameter and the ascending aortic diameters were calculated as 25.9 and 29.8 mm via angiography (Figure 1). After this step, the suitability of the peripheral vessels for the TAVI procedure was confirmed with peripheral angiography (Figure 2); and since there was no anatomic contra-indication for TAVI, emergent TAVI was performed with a No. 29 mm Portico™ TAVI valve (Abbott; St. Jude Medical Inc., St. Paul, MN, USA) (Figures 3a-c). A transient cardiac pacemaker lead was positioned in the right ventricular apex from the 6-F sheath in the left femoral vein. Two Perclose ProGlide® closure devices (Abbott Vascular Medical, St. Paul, MN, USA) were placed under the scopic punching to the right main femoral artery. After observation of a post-procedure moderate paravalvular aortic insufficiency, the periprocedural TEE showed that the non-coronary side of the valve was not sufficiently open (Figure 3d) causing moderate paravalvular leakage. Post-dilatation with a 25 mm balloon (Figure 3e) was performed, with blood pressure decreasing by rapid RV pacing. The paravalvular leakage was resolved after post-dilatation (Figure 3f). Post-implantation peak/mean transvalvular gradients of 22/12 mmHg and a full-functional TAVI valve were seen on 2-D TEE. The puncture sites were successfully closed with the previously placed Perclose ProGlide®. No extravasation was observed on the control peripheral angiography. The patient was given 300 mg acetylsalicylic acid; and 450 mg clopidogrel under the operator initiative, with the idea that thromo-boembolic events could not be tolerated due to the patient's clinic status. The patient's hemodynamics rapidly improved and the dopamine infusion requirement was reduced. Post-procedure, the patient was extubated in two hours and was totally in a good condition at the 7th hour. As hemoglobin decreased from 11 to 8.8 g/dL, 2 units of erythrocytes were transfused. There was a minimal increase of the serum creatinine level from 0.93 to 1.25 mg/dL but there was no need for hemodialysis. Therefore, the emergent TAVI procedure, which was performed successfully within four hours of door-to-valve time, was a life-saving procedure.

**DISCUSSION**

Acute decompensated symptomatic SAS must be treated immediately, but it is a gruelling process. The gold standard procedure of SAVR has high mortality rates for acute decompensated SAS in advanced-age patients with 30-day mortality reported up to 10.1%. TAVI is a treatment option for high-risk patients with SAS, but there are no definitive reports for acute decompensated SAS. Also with restricted datas, 30-day mortality in patients with decompensated SAS is reported to be 10.1% in surgery and 4.8% in TAVI.
Kashiyama N. et al. presented a case report of emergent TAVI with a 23 mm Edwards-SAPIEN valve. The short-term outcome after emergent TAVI suggests that it is a reasonable treatment option for acute decompensated SAS patients who are resistant to medical therapy and at high risk for SAVR.

In the 2014 American Heart Association/American College of Cardiology (AHA/ACC) guidelines, there is a class IIb evidence and C level recommendation that the balloon valvuoplasty (BAV) procedure can be used as a bridge to SAVR or TAVI in patients with symptomatic SAS. However, SAS patients with acute decompensation not treated medically, may also undergo BAV, which is known to have limited success secondary to re-stenosis and clinical deterioration in most patients within 3 to 6 months or with complications such as aortic insufficiency. Mortality after BAV has been reported to be up to 17%.

In the TVT registry, it is recorded that emergent TAVI procedure was performed in 3,953 (9.9% of all registry) patients between November 2011 and June 2016. Life-threatening bleeding, major vascular complications, Myocardial infarction (MI), stroke, new permanent pacemaker, a requirement for SAVR, and paravalvular regurgitation rates were similar in all groups; whereas, acute kidney injury (AKI) was observed more frequently in the emergent TAVI group than in the elective group (8.2% vs. 4.2%; p <0.001); but this difference was not determined to be significant after baseline characteristics adjustment. Higher mortality rates at 30 days and 1 year were determined in the emergent TAVI group and the in-hospital mortality rate was 6.1%.

In the current case, there was hyperdynamic left ventricle (LV) due to dopamine infusion with low systemic blood pressure under cardiogenic shock and the patient was decompensated because of LV outflow tract obstruction with SAS. The patient did not respond to medical treatment.

The accepted standard strategy of BAV plus percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) of LCX and LAD has been proven to be a good option for this patient when the two control troponin I values were negative, and so in the current case it was decided that this was not a coronary event. However, regarding the other option of BAV plus TAVI, as there was the possibility of unwanted acute aortic insufficiency, it was decided on the basis of previous experience that an emergent TAVI procedure should be applied and it was performed successfully instead of BAV, with a 4-hour door-to-valve time, as a life-saving procedure.

There was no further need for inotropic medicines or supportive treatment for hemodynamics after the TAVI valve was implanted. Post-procedure, the patient was extubated in two hours and was totally in good condition at the 7th hour.

After this TAVI procedure, for the critical coronary artery disease, because of tortuosity, mid-distal lesions and the good general health of the patient, it was decided that medical treatment for coronary disease should be applied first.

In experienced centres, TAVI may be a better and safer option than BAV when there is no response to medical treatment for aortic stenosis with hemodynamic impairment and poor general condition. In the current case, it was considered that the SAS had to be treated quickly and successfully with an emergent TAVI procedure to affect the prognosis positively. The increasing age of patients and the increased need for TAVI will lead to valve interventions in the future in emergency situations to become a reality and a new term of "Primary TAVI" will come into use.

In conclusion, although BAV procedure is recommended as a bridge to SAVR or TAVI in patients with symptomatic SAS, emergent TAVI procedure can be a life-saving procedure in experienced centres, without any loss of time. There is a need for further studies to define the role of primary TAVI in such situations. Similar to the door-to-balloon time for primary PCIs, there could be a future definition for TAVI of door-to-valve time.
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