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ABSTRACT

Objective: To explore the diagnostic accuracy of serum des-gamma-carboxy prothrombin (DCP) in adult primary cancer in liver.

Study Design: Descriptive study.

Place and Duration of Study: Department of Traditional Chinese Medicine, the First Affiliated Hospital, College of Medicine, Zhejing University, Hangzhou, China, from June 2016 to March 2018.

Methodology: A retrospective study of 1,190 cases was undertaken and all the participants were divided into Group 1 (non-cancer patients) and Group 2 (primary cancer in liver patients). The records of DCP and alpha fetoprotein (AFP) levels were extracted and compared between Group 1 and Group 2. The sensitivity, specificity, and cutoff point of DCP in Group 2 and its different histological types were calculated. The receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves were obtained, and the areas under the receiver operating characteristic curve (AUROC) were calculated and compared in different histological types.

Results: The DCP and AFP levels in Group 2 were markedly higher than Group 1 (p <0.001). For primary cancer in liver, the sensitivity, specificity, cutoff value, and AUROC of DCP were 64.2%, 91%, 56.5 mAU/mL, and 0.807 (95% CI: 0.783-0.832), respectively. For hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC), the sensitivity, specificity, cutoff value, and AUROC of DCP were 69.3%, 92.2%, 60.5 mAU/mL, and 0.846 (95% CI: 0.817-0.875), respectively. For intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma (ICC), the sensitivity, specificity, cutoff value, and AUROC of DCP were 13.6%, 93.2%, 76.5 mAU/mL, and 0.512 (95% CI: 0.439-0.586), respectively. For combined hepatocellular-cholangiocarcinoma (cHCC-CC), the sensitivity, specificity, cutoff value, and AUROC of DCP were 66.7%, 81.5%, 40.5 mAU/mL, and 0.737 (95% CI: 0.585-0.888), respectively.

Conclusion: DCP could be considered not only for surveillance and diagnosis of primary cancer in liver, but also for differential diagnosis of its different histological types.

Key Words: Des-gamma-carboxy prothrombin, Diagnosis, Hepatocellular carcinoma, Intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma, Combined hepatocellular-cholangiocarcinoma.

How to cite this article: Li Y, Chen J. Serum des-gamma-carboxy prothrombin for diagnosis of adult primary cancer in liver. J Coll Physicians Surg Pak 2019; 29(10):972-6.

INTRODUCTION

Primary cancer in liver, mainly comprises hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC, 80% of cases), intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma (ICC, 15% of cases), combined hepatocellular-cholangiocarcinoma (cHCC-CC, 1.3% of cases), undifferentiated primary cancer in liver, and hepatoblastoma, is the fifth commonly diagnosed cancer, but ranks second among the leading causes of cancer mortality worldwide. Additionally, the mortality of patients with most malignancies has decreased over the last decade with improvements in technologies, but the mortality of primary cancer in liver is still increasing. One of the main reasons is that majority of cases are initially asymptomatic and detected at advanced stages, which precludes the use of curative surgical therapy.

For ICC and cHCC-CC, which are more aggressive cancers with poorer prognoses, early diagnosis and surgical resection are considered the only curative therapeutic option. These characteristics make early identification extremely crucial.

Ultrasound (US) has been regarded as the first-line imaging modality for detection of focal liver lesions and is the only recommended measurement for the surveillance of HCCs. However, the overall sensitivity of US in detecting HCC is only 0.593. The interpretation of US is not only operator-dependent but also difficult in the setting of small or atypical lesions or in persons who are obese or have underlying cirrhosis. Additionally, both ICC and cHCC-CC have no specific or characteristic features in US imaging. Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and enhanced computed tomography (CT) are more sensitive than US, but considering the cost, radiation exposure, nephrotoxicity, allergic and hypersensitivity reactions, they are not suitable for surveillance. Moreover, thus far, no reliable serum marker has been universally recognised for primary cancer in liver.
Therefore, the identification of sensitive and specific serum markers to complement US for the surveillance and early diagnosis of primary cancer in liver are urgently required. Serum des-gamma-carboxy prothrombin (DCP), also known as prothrombin-induced by vitamin K absence-II (PIVKA-II), has been widely studied in the diagnosis of HCC in a series of clinical trials and recommended in the surveillance programme as per Japanese guidelines. However, there are still two issues that need further exploration. First, the sensitivity, specificity, and cutoff points in previous studies have been inconsistent and in some instances, even conflicting. Second, the diagnostic accuracy of DCP in other histological types of primary cancer in liver such as ICC and cHCC-CC has been seldom studied.

The objective of this study was to compare the diagnostic accuracy of DCP in primary cancer in liver and its different histological types (i.e., HCC, ICC, and cHCC-CC).

**METHODOLOGY**

A total of 1,190 participants were retrospectively enrolled at the Hospital, from June 2016 to March 2018. All the participants were first divided into two groups: Group 1 (non-cancer patients) and Group 2 (primary cancer in liver patients). The diagnosis of primary cancer in liver was made in accordance with the standards of diagnosis and treatment of primary liver cancer (2011 Edition) issued by the Ministry. The study was approved by the Ethics Committees of the Hospital.

For Group 2, the inclusion criteria were shown as follows: All DCP data were obtained before commencing any treatment. The diagnosis of primary cancer in liver was based on histological examination or the interpretation of appropriate imaging characteristics, as defined by above-mentioned standards. All the participants were >18 years old. The exclusion criteria were liver transplantation, taking vitamin K or warfarin within two weeks of DCP measurement. Patients showed evidence of other kinds of malignancies besides primary cancer in liver, histological examination showing other kinds of pathological types except HCC, ICC, and cHCC-CC, such as sarcomatous degeneration and hepatoblastoma. Nodules not confirmed due to biopsy or surgery, and refusal patients treated before DCP measurement.

The patients in Group 2 were subdivided into two groups: primary cancer in liver diagnosed with histological examination and primary cancer in liver diagnosed with imaging characteristics (without histological examination). The primary cancer in liver diagnosed with histological examination group was then subdivided again into three groups of HCC; ICC and cHCC-CC.

![Figure 1: The serum levels and ROC curves of DCP and AFP in Group 1 and Group 2.](image)

Group 1: Non-cancer patients; Group 2: Primary cancer in liver; Sensitivity of DCP: 64.18%; Specificity of DCP: 91%; Positive predictive value of DCP: 93.37%; Negative predictive value of DCP: 56.26%.
The serum levels of DCP were measured by chemiluminescent enzyme immunoassay (Lumipulse® G PIVKA-II; FUJIREBIO INC., Japan) with the instrument of automatic immunoassay analyzer (LUMIPULSE G1200). Serum samples were stored at -80°C until further testing. All processes were performed according to the manufacturer's instructions. The measuring range for DCP was 0 – 75000 mAU/mL.

All statistical analyses were accomplished using GraphPad Prism 5, and p <0.05 was considered significant. A receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve analysis was used to assess the diagnostic accuracy of DCP for primary cancer in liver. Cutoff values and the area under the receiver operating characteristic curve (AUROC) were calculated according to the ROC curve.

RESULTS

The serum DCP and alpha fetoprotein (AFP) levels in Group 1 and Group 2 were measured and compared. As shown in Figure 1, the levels of both DCP and AFP in the primary cancer in liver group were obviously elevated than the non-cancer patients (p <0.001). To determine the diagnostic efficacy of DCP in primary cancer in liver, ROC curves were plotted according to the measured levels of DCP and AFP (Figure 1). For primary cancer in liver, the best cutoff value was 56.5 mAU/mL. The sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value and negative predictive value were 64.2%, 91%, 93.37% and 56.25%, respectively. The AUROC was 0.807 (95%CI: 0.783-0.832).

To determine the diagnostic efficacy of DCP in different types of primary cancer in liver (HCC, ICC and cHCC-CC), ROC curves were plotted according to the measured levels of DCP (Figure 2). For HCC, the sensitivity, specificity, cutoff value, and AUROC of DCP were 69.3%, 92.2%, 60.5 mAU/mL, and 0.846 (95% CI: 0.817-0.875), respectively. For ICC, the sensitivity, specificity, cutoff value, and AUROC of DCP were 13.6%, 93.2%, 76.5 mAU/mL, and 0.512 (95% CI: 0.439-0.586), respectively. For cHCC-CC, the sensitivity, specificity, cutoff value, and AUROC of DCP were 66.7%, 81.5%, 40.5 mAU/mL, and 0.737 (95% CI: 0.585-0.888), respectively.

DISCUSSION

Primary cancer in liver is a kind of malignancy with a high and continuously rising mortality.3,12 Early diagnosis is extremely important for better prognosis. However, innocuous and easily accessible serum markers that would make diagnosis easier are yet clinically unavailable. In the present study, patients with primary cancer in liver exhibited markedly higher levels of serum DCP than the non-cancer patients and DCP shows excellent diagnostic accuracy in hepatocyte-derived malignant tumors.

The average year of primary cancer in liver patients was 58.18 years old and most of them were males (male vs. female: 665 vs. 125). AFP was widely used as a serum marker for primary cancer in liver but approximately 30% of liver cancer patients were with a normal AFP.13 In this study, with both the p-value of <0.001, the diagnostic efficacy of DCP was much better than AFP. The AUROC, which ranges from 0.5 for accuracy of chance to 1 for perfect accuracy, is the most frequently used method for measuring diagnostic accuracy.14 The AUROC of DCP in primary cancer in liver patients of this study was up to 0.807, while the AUROC of AFP was only 0.6986.

For different histological types, DCP showed rather different degrees of diagnostic accuracies. DCP showed the best diagnostic accuracy in HCC, with an AUROC of 0.846, higher than the overall AUROC for primary cancer in liver. The diagnostic accuracy of DCP was studied and proven efficient mostly in HCC patients in previous studies,15,16 but the sensitivity, specificity, and cutoff points in previous studies have been inconsistent,15,17 and even conflicting in some cases.10

This disparity is likely because of two reasons. The first is the differences in diagnostic criteria and the fact that diagnostic values were mainly derived from patients without homogeneous diagnostic criteria. Most diagnoses in previous studies were based on histological examination or imaging characteristics. Histological examination is usually considered the gold standard with the highest diagnostic sensitivity and specificity, and imaging characteristics may result in false positive and false negative cases, especially in early or poorly differentiated infiltrative cancers.18
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The second reason for the disparity could be the different points of cutoff value. The diagnostic technique was revised in 1997 resulting in a much higher sensitivity. The 40 mAU/mL cutoff point for DCP as per the manufacturer's instruction, was verified and consulted for an article published in 1996. Most of the previous studies used this 40 mAU/mL cutoff point directly instead of calculating it according to the measured levels. Review of previous studies on HCC showed that the summary estimates of DCP were as follows: sensitivity, 63% (95% CI: 58%-67%) and specificity, 91% (95% CI: 88%-93%) The AUROC was 0.84 for DCP. In this study, about HCC, with the more precise diagnostic criteria (histological examination only) and by employing a calculated cutoff value, the AUROC, sensitivity, and specificity were 0.846, 69.3%, and 92.2%, respectively.

cHCC-CC is a kind of primary liver cancer with a more aggressive behaviour and a poorer prognosis than either HCC or ICC. Accurate preoperative diagnosis of cHCC-CC is extremely important because the characteristics of lymph node metastasis can directly influence the outcome of surgery. However, neither specific imaging characteristics nor suitable serum markers have been discovered for cHCC-CC. In this study, the authors first studied the diagnostic accuracy of DCP among cHCC-CC patients (AUROC: 0.737, 95%CI: 0.585-0.888). The sensitivity and specificity were 66.7% and 81.5%, respectively. ICC has distinct pathogenic mechanisms, molecular pathways, and biological characteristics from HCC. In the cases of ICC, AUROC was only 0.512.

As shown in this study, DCP is a useful serum marker in primary cancer in liver and a specific biomarker for hepatocyte-derived malignant tumors. It shows excellent diagnostic accuracy in both HCC and cHCC-CC, but limited diagnostic accuracy in ICC. In primary cancer in liver and its different histological types, it is a useful biomarker not only for diagnosis but also for differential diagnosis. However, DCP has not been widely employed worldwide, especially among the high incidence areas such as China and western Africa.

Serum DCP levels will likely be introduced into mainstream clinical testing only when there is increased research and a better understanding of the topic. Meanwhile, although DCP has been studied for nearly 40 years and its diagnostic accuracy has been widely verified, the exact metabolism of DCP has not been fully elucidated. It is possibly because of decreased activity of the gamma-glutamyl carboxylase enzyme or altered vitamin K metabolism. Similarly, the pathogenesis of HCC and cHCC-CC are still unclear. Considering the excellent diagnostic accuracy of DCP in hepatocyte-derived malignant tumors, the pathogenesis or therapeutic target may be derived from DCP. The retrospective design and limited number of cHCC-CC patients are limitations of this study. A prospective study with more samples of cHCC-CC is need in the future.

CONCLUSION

The analysis of the present study suggested that DCP is an effective marker for differentiating between HCC and cHCC-CC. DCP could be considered not only for surveillance and diagnosis of primary cancer in liver, but also for differential diagnosis of its different histological types.
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