INTRODUCTION

Medical education requires a mastery of extraordinary vast knowledge and attainment of technical skills and vocabulary in a short span of time. Hence, didactic teaching, e.g. traditional large group lectures have been predominantly employed to deliver this large volume of information. However, this entails minimum student/teacher interaction and is thus not ideal for inculcating the problem-solving and knowledge-discovery skills, which are the requirements for medical practice.1,2 This issue, in medical education, has been addressed by complementary instructional methods, that have succeeded in filling in the information gaps, promoting the application of knowledge, fostering critical thinking and preparing students to meet the challenges encountered in the context of patient care and clinical decision.3,4

One form of this instructional design utilises the blended learning pedagogy. In recent years, a proliferation of blended learning, which involves a combination of online and face-to-face elements, has been reported. This teaching method has been documented to be more effective than either of the online or face-to-face alone and involves integration of the two with a clear vision for the improvement of student’s learning.5 The flipped classroom model is a type of blended learning based on notion that technology can be used to change the on-campus teaching by inverting the classroom. This means that “events that have traditionally taken place inside the classroom now take place outside the classroom and vice versa”.6 The principal goal of this model is to attain student-centred learning, to encourage collaboration with improvement of peer-to-peer interactions and to promote critical thinking.7

In this pedagogical approach, the students work independently outside the classroom to study basic concepts through different methods like online lectures, videos and articles etc. The learning is self-paced and the classroom time is then used for application of learnt material in the class.8 The students are engaged in activities that focus on development of skills that concern the application of concepts and factual knowledge they have acquired through earlier self-study.9 Hence, the educator’s role shifts towards facilitation of deeper learning.10 This method, however, requires that the students come prepared for the class so that the discussions are more dynamic and interactive.
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The flipped classroom model has shown a prospective impact on medical education and studies have reported an improvement of students' performance and examination scores with this approach.\textsuperscript{11} At the study place, the undergraduate radiology core curriculum has been predominantly delivered through didactic teaching. This methodology of didactic teaching, however, may not be perfect for the development of problem-solving skills and knowledge acquisition that is essential for radiology and other medical specialties.\textsuperscript{12} Therefore, other instructional approaches have been explored to competently overcome the knowledge gaps, enhance and promote knowledge application, critical thinking skills and prepare our medical students for the clinical decision-making faced during patient management.

The purpose of this study was to determine the effectiveness of teaching through flipped classroom model on learning and acceptability of undergraduate medical students in their radiology clerkship at The Aga Khan University Hospital.

**METHODOLOGY**

This quasi-experimental study was conducted from July to September 2018 in the Department of Radiology at The Aga Khan University Hospital, Karachi, after approval from the Department Elective and Undergraduate Committee (EUC) and institutional Ethics Review Committee (ERC). Two groups from third-year (A and B) were included during their two weeks radiology clerkship, each comprising of 20 students. The topic of "chest X-ray" interpretation was presented in the flip classroom format to group B; while for the group A, the same topic of chest X-ray was taught by traditional didactic lectures both covering the same learning objectives and curriculum. For the face-to-face component of the flipped classroom, clinical scenarios were designed for students engagement and application of factual knowledge.

Prior to the commencement of the study, the didactic lecture and the online resource for the flip class were peer reviewed for coverage of content and objectives by two different radiologists who had additional qualification in medical education. The students in the flipped classroom cohort were provided a flexible learning environment and they completed the online resource, \textit{i.e.} the tutorial at their own place. This was followed by an interactive face-to-face session, in which they were presented with clinical scenarios and the relevant imaging. The students would interpret the images and reach a logical conclusion. The face-to-face session was adapted by the instructor to cover challenging concepts in more details than those theories which the students have already mastered. Initially, the students were encouraged to work individually to formulate diagnosis. This was followed by learning in teams and in collaboration with each other. Hence, the educationally purposeful interactive classroom activities, during the face-to-face session, enhanced students’ problem-solving skills and team-based learning.

The learning of both groups was assessed at the end of clerkship through radiology case scenarios. These were aligned with the learning objectives of the course and evaluation was based on the knowledge and application skills of the students.

SPSS version 20.0 was used to compile and analyse the data. The variables included gender, end of clerkship test scores of each student, and the mean score with standard deviation of two groups. The mean of the test scores were analysed for the study using independent sample t-test, to determine if the difference in test score of two groups is statistically significant, \textit{i.e.} p-value of <0.05.

**Table I:** Survey questions and frequency of responses of group B (n=20).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Flipped class model helped you develop problem solving skills for CXR interpretation.</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>5 (25%)</td>
<td>13 (65%)</td>
<td>2 (10%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Was the class setup helpful in learning? \textit{i.e.} watching videos followed by interactive session.</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>1 (5 %)</td>
<td>2 (10%)</td>
<td>14 (70%)</td>
<td>3 (15%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The learning experience was better with the flipped classroom than with the traditional lectures/tutorials.</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>3 (15%)</td>
<td>4 (20%)</td>
<td>10 (50%)</td>
<td>3 (15%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table II (a):** Survey: Open-ended questions and responses of group B.

- The interactive session after watching the videos.
- Real time learning.
- Simplicity, concepts easier to understand.
- Availability of the material even after session.
- Group discussion and brain storming.
- We can stop and replay videos when needed.
- Concepts were explained thoroughly.
- Having an introduction to the topic beforehand allows concepts to be reinforced.
- Flip class is interesting in the sense that you have an idea of what you’re going to be studying. Whatever questions that you would have regarding the topic can be asked in the interactive session.

**Table II (b):** Survey: Open-ended questions and responses of group B, continued.

- Should take place in the start of rotation.
- Videos should be concise.
- Too many videos.
- The consecutive videos got monotonous*.
- Lengthy.
- The absence of facilitator when watching the videos*.
- A few students don't end up watching the videos/ reading the lesson because of time constraints and technical issues in opening the links. One way of solving this would be to have an extra slot of session before the class where the students are just supposed to sit together and watch the videos/read on the session*.
- Another could be mandatory grading/short marked quiz at the start of the flip class.
At the end of the clerkship, the students in group B completed the survey to share their experience and perception of flipped classroom model. A simplified survey was developed for this study after referral to the available literature (Table I).9,13 The survey consisted of three main items answered using a 5-point Likert scale ranging from 1 (strongly disagree) to 5 (strongly agree). At the end of the survey, there were two open-ended questions, which provided them an opportunity to share their feedback on the organisations, activities, strengths and weaknesses of the flip class model.

RESULTS
During the two rotation blocks, a total of 40 undergraduate third-year students completed their clerkship in the Radiology Department. There were 20 students in each group, i.e. in the traditional teaching and the flipped class model. There were 12 (60%) girls and 8 (40%) boys in group A, and 11 (55%) girls and 9 (45%) boys in group B. The mean test score of group A was 7.3 ±1.01 (5.5 - 9.2) and of group B was 7.2 ±1.12 (4.8 - 8.8). Independent sample t-test showed p-value of 0.672, which is not significant. Survey questions and responses are given in Tables I, II (a) and II (b).

DISCUSSION
Medical school education has been restructured and focusing to enhance student centred approach. The flip class strategy combines e-learning and face-to-face sessions and allows an adoptive and shared educational experience for students.14 This study was conducted in an attempt to deliver basic concept of chest X-ray, i.e. interpretation of basic imaging features through an interactive and collaborative process. This new educational pedagogy provided a clear understanding of blended learning and was implemented to inculcate critical thinking and high order learning. The redesigned course was wisely executed to determine its impact on student's educational experience.15,16

The evaluation of students' performance based on the end of clerkship test scores of the two groups (Table I) was not statistically significant. This might be related to the fact that flip class was introduced for the first time as for this study a pre-post-test design was not adopted.

CONCLUSION
This study shows that the flipped classroom model had no significant impact on end-of-clerkship test scores of medical students; however, an increased perceived value and acceptability of this model was noted among the participants.
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