INTRODUCTION
Morbid obesity is a disease that necessitates a lifestyle change and is diagnosed if an individual has a BMI higher than 40.\textsuperscript{1,2} Bariatric surgery is an effective therapy; however, some patients respond to the initial procedure, while others only show a partial response.\textsuperscript{3}
Laparoscopic sleeve gastrectomy (LSG) is a primary bariatric procedure that is simple, safe, efficient to aid in weight loss, and does not include anastomosis or mesenteric changes.\textsuperscript{4}
Often, revision of the primary procedure is needed to provide adequate weight loss; therefore, effective therapy is warranted. Recently published reports have shown that treatment of inadequate weight loss after LSG due to a large stomach or dilated/residual fundus can be done using LRSG.\textsuperscript{5-8}
The aim of this descriptive study was to investigate the effectiveness of re-sleeve gastrectomy (LRSG) in patients who had insufficient weight loss or weight regain after LSG.

METHODOLOGY
All patients between March 2013 and January 2017, who had failure to lose weight following LSG, were included in this descriptive study in Ortadogu Private Hospital, Turkey. The inclusion criteria for reoperation were inadequate weight loss (<40% of excess weight loss [EWL%]), progressive regained weight after an initial successful weight loss (defined as EWL >40%) and persistent gastric fundus and/or uniform dilatation and/or antrum dilatation (Figure 1). Exclusion criteria were addictive behaviors such as high sugar grazing and alcohol abuse despite adequate satiety from the first operation.

All patients had a pre-procedure checkup that consisted of assessments by dieticians, internal medicine, psychiatry. Laboratory workup included a complete blood count as well as the examination of renal function, lipids, thyroid, vitamin levels, and HbA1c. All subjects had a pre-procedural abdomen ultrasound, GI X-ray using barium swallow, and endoscopy. Informed consent was obtained from each patient for performing the operation and inclusion in the data collection. Cukurova University, Faculty of Medicine, Clinical Ethical Board approved the study. Written informed consent was obtained from all patients and parents. Data collected comprised patient demographics, comorbidities, current surgery indications, postoperative complications, and the amount of weight reduction.

Any postoperative complications were determined during follow-up. Early hospital readmission was identified as being readmitted to the hospital within the first 30 post-operative days.
LRSG procedure was done with a five-port method. First, dissection between the stomach and liver is carried...
out followed by greater curvature dissection toward the diaphragm (left crus). This was to guarantee fundus mobilisation and visualisation with a LigaSure™ (Covidien) energy device. A tube was inserted into the stomach by the anesthesiologist using a calibrated 39F bougie. First black (Covidien, 6 mm) then five purple cartridges (Covidien, 6 mm) was used to staple the stomach laterally to the esophagogastric junction. A leak test was done using methylene blue injection. Patients were administered oral fluids initially on day three following confirmation of absence of leakage by upper gastrointestinal series. Patients were then monitored at 1, 3, 6, and 12-month intervals.

The statistical analysis of data was performed using SPSS (Version 23.0, SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) package programme. Categorical measurements were summarised as number and percentage, and continuous measurements were summarised as the mean and standard deviation. Repeated measures analysis of variance was used to test weight changes of patients in time. The statistical significance level was set to 0.05 for all tests.

RESULTS

The symptoms of LRSG intervention were regaining of weight in 4 (19%) cases and inadequate weight loss in 17 (81%) patients. Twenty-one patients including 7 (33.3%) men and 14 (66.7%) women, with average age of 37.4 ±9.6 (range 21-55) years that underwent LRSG, were registered for this study. LRSG was performed in 21 (3.3%) of 620 LSG procedures patients due to insufficient weight loss or weight regain.

The mean body weight index (BMI) before primary LSG was 52.3 ±4.7 Kg/m² and EWL% was 32.7 ±4.6 after an average follow-up of 24 months. BMI of patients before LRSG was 46.1 ±4.3 Kg/m². Gastrografin swallow test of the 21 subjects showed upper gastric pouch dilation (n=14, 66.7%), and/or uniform dilation (n=7, 33.3%, Table I).

The mean BMI, and EWL% were 42.1 Kg/m², 19.96% at 1 month; 35.5 Kg/m² and 46.89% at 3 months; 30.1 Kg/m² and 68.20% at 6 months; 24.5 Kg/m² and 86.82% at 12 months, respectively (Figures 2 and 3).

Some patients with observed comorbidities were shown to improve after LRSG. Hypertension was shown to

Table I: Preoperative patients’ characteristics.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mean ±SD / n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n=21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre LSG BMI (kg/m²)†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post LSG EWL %**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre LSRG BMI (kg/m²)***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comorbidities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood hypertension</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type 2 diabetes mellitus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sleep apnea syndrome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gastrografin swallow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper pouch dilatation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uniform dilatation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

† Pre LSG = Before primary LSG; ** Post LSG = After LSG operation (at 24-month) *** Pre LSRG = Before re-sleeve gastrectomy.
improve in 50% (n=3) of the patients and diabetes was reversed in 75% (n=3) of the patients with this comorbidity. CPAP therapy was withdrawn in three of the four subjects that were diagnosed with SAS.

Postoperative morbidity, vitamin deficiency and dehydration due to inadequate compliance were observed in two patients. Four patients underwent readmission due to postoperative non-specific abdominal pain, which was alleviated via medical therapy. The mean operation time was 48 (range 37-65) minutes, and the average hospitalisation was 3.2 ±0.5 (range 3-5) days.

Figures 1 and 2 show the postoperative BMI, and postoperative percent excess weight loss after LRSG, respectively at the representative follow up visits.

**DISCUSSION**

Bariatric surgery is typically successful; however, weight loss, continued disease complications, or weight regain can pose potential issues. Due to this, patients may benefit from subsequent surgery such as LRSG.²

Weight regain after LSG has been documented in several reports.⁶,⁹,¹⁰ In this study, 21 (3.3%) out of 620 patients had insufficient weight loss, or weight regain after LSG. An upper gastrointestinal procedure showed a uniform dilatation and gastric fundus (Figure 1).

If LSG fails with weight regain or inadequate weight loss, numerous secondary surgical methods have been proposed for bariatric surgeons.¹¹⁻¹⁴ Evidence has shown that an LRSG is feasible, especially in the absence of a reflux and hiatal hernia and when there is a dilation of the gastric tube.

Cheung et al. showed that both laparoscopic gastric bypass and re-sleeve gastrectomy are viable options for revisional surgery. Both treatments produced similar results; however, LRSG may be more practical due to its less technical challenges.¹⁵

Cesana et al. performed LRSG operation in 11 patients that gained weight or could not lose enough weight after LSG. As a result of a one year follow up, they detected the improvement in comorbidities and significant weight loss in each patient.¹⁶ There was a significant reduction in weight loss and comorbidities at the 12-month follow-up after LRSG in 21 patients that gained weight or could not lose enough weight after LSG. Nedelcu et al. found a significant decrease in BMI, excess weight loss, and EWL% increase during the 1-year follow-up in 61 patients that underwent LRSG operation after LSG.¹⁷ They also detected pouch dilatation in 42 patients and uniform dilatation in the remaining 19 patients. In this study, it was observed pouch dilatation in 14 patients, uniform dilatation in seven patients. The cause of dilated antrum and body may be due to dissection further than 6 cm from the pylorus. The dilated fundus may be caused by dissection further than 1 cm on the left side of the esophagus.¹⁶

Al Sabah and his colleagues conducted LRSG (n=24) and LRYGB (n=12) (Laparoscopic roux en y gastric bypass) operations as a revision operation for patients who regain weight or insufficient weight loss after primary LSG.¹⁸ They found that EWL% of LRSG and LRYGB was 57.6 and 61.3%, respectively in one year. In both groups, a difference in EWL% recorded insignificant.¹⁸ This study showed that LRSG was a feasible method in all of our patients. Although complications were minimised, vitamin deficiency and dehydration due to inadequate compliance were observed in two patients, and four patients underwent readmission due to postoperative non-specific abdominal pain. Still, LRSG was beneficial for weight loss in all cases. After a 12-month follow-up, there was a significant reduction in mean BMI and an increase in mean % EWL. The median BMI was less than 25 Kg/m² following the 12 months. This data also showed that comorbidities were improved after LRSG (sleep apnea in three patients, hypertension in two patients, and diabetes in three patients).

Longer follow-up times are needed to determine the effectiveness of LRSG. Nevertheless, the present data are encouraging in not substituting LSG with a malabsorptive intervention, especially regarding the quality of life.

**CONCLUSION**

Weight regain, or inadequate weight loss is a significant undesirable outcome that may occur after LSG. LRSG is a safe procedure which showed significant improvement in weight loss postprocedure and appears to be a beneficial method of correcting post-LSG weight regain or insufficient weight loss.
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