INTRODUCTION

Prophylactic nasogastric decompression was routinely performed for patients undergoing abdominal surgery. Especially for patients with gastrectomy, nasogastric or nasojejunal decompression has been considered to be a standard of care to prevent postoperative complications such as vomiting, ileus, anastomotic leakage and so on.

In the last decade, several randomized controlled trials (RCTs) have demonstrated that nasogastric intubation may not be necessary for most elective abdominal operations.\(^1,2\) A systematic review of these RCTs concluded routine nasogastric decompression failed to achieve the intended therapeutic goals and suggested selective usage of the procedure.\(^3\) However, there is still a paucity of data for patients with gastrectomy. Although several RCTs have been completed in recent years,\(^4,5\) and a meta-analysis published,\(^6\) the total sample size is still too small and the effect of nasogastric decompression is still not well understood for stomach cancer patients.

Therefore, even though “fast-track surgery” has been applied in most parts of abdominal surgery,\(^7,8\) patients with elective gastrectomy still have to endure the discomfort of nasogastric tube during perioperative days in most Chinese hospitals.

The goal of this study was to assess the effect of nasogastric decompression among patients with or without the procedure before gastrectomy.

METHODOLOGY

From a consecutive sample of patients who had gastrectomy in the Gastroenterological Neoplasm Database of Gastroenterology Department, Sichuan Provincial Peoples’ Hospital between February, 2012 and January, 2014, 121 cases of patients agreed to contribute data to this study. Patients were excluded if they were, with gastrectomy history, complicated with upper gastrointestinal obstruction, or subjected to any neo-adjuvant radiotherapy. Sixty nine patients of the 121 were prophylactically intubated for gastric decompression before gastrectomy and thus classified as the intubation group. The other 52 patients underwent gastrectomy without nasogastric decompression served as the control group without nasogastric decompression.

All patients had definite pathological diagnosis or imaging evidence of gastric neoplasms such as gastric cancer.
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carcinomas and GISTs before gastrectomy. They were treated and operated by the same group of surgeons to ensure consistency in the quality of care provided. Two reviewers who did not take part in patients’ treatment independently performed data collection and analysis with inconsistencies reconciled in both steps before carrying on to the next.

Standard informed consent form for contributing the data to research was signed before the operation and normal oral diet was allowed until the night before operation. At least eight hours fasting was mandatory for every patient as required for anesthesia. For patients in the intubation group, a 14-F single lumen nasogastric tube was placed into stomach (for patients with total gastrectomy, the tube was placed into proximal jejunum during the operation) hours before operation, with decompression connected and remained in place until the passage of flatus was observed after surgery. Enteral nutrition resumed as soon as the tube was taken out. For the control group without nasogastric intubation, patients were encouraged to take oral enteral diet on the first day after operation.

Patients’ characteristics such as gender, age, clinical diagnosis and operation type, along with their clinical outcomes such as operation time, bleeding volume, time to passage of flatus, postoperative complications, and length of stay were collected and entered into a SPSS database. All the complications were recorded in patients’ medical records. Length of stay was defined as the length of hospital stay in days from the date of operation to the date of hospital discharge.

The follow-up period was from the date of informed consent to 30 days after operation, or date of death if patient died within 30 days of operation. Patients in the intubation group who had nasogastric tube removed before the intended time, and patients in the control group who were treated with nasogastric decompression when condition required were identified as withdrawal. Every lost-to-follow-up or withdrawal were recorded and analyzed according to the “intention to treat” principle.

Statistical analyses were performed in the SPSS software, version 16.0. Continuous variables were expressed as mean ± standard deviation and compared using independent two sample t-test. Categorical variables were expressed as frequencies/percentages and statistical comparisons were made by the Chi-square test. Two sided p-values less than 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

This research protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee of Sichuan Provincial Peoples’ Hospital.

RESULTS

All patients were followed up 30 days after operation without any death or lost-to-follow-up. The two groups were similar regarding gender, age, diagnosis, tumor location and operation type, as presented in Table I.

The total operation time and total bleeding volume were not statistically different between the two groups (Table II).

Since patients without gastric decompression were encouraged to take fluid diet the day after operation, their average time to resume enteral diet was 18.4 hours post-surgery, which was significantly shorter than those of the intubation group. Although the control patients started oral nutrition earlier, the rate of nausea or vomiting complications was not different between the two groups (Table III).

Table I: Clinical characteristics of enrolled patients.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Intubation group</th>
<th>Control Group</th>
<th>Test value</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>46 (66.7%)</td>
<td>35 (67.3%)</td>
<td>χ²=0.006</td>
<td>0.941</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>23 (33.3%)</td>
<td>17 (32.7%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (Mean ± SD)</td>
<td>60.14±12.470</td>
<td>60.67±12.894</td>
<td>t=0.227</td>
<td>0.821</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diagnosis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carcinoma</td>
<td>53 (76.8%)</td>
<td>38 (73.1%)</td>
<td>χ²=1.446</td>
<td>0.485</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GIST</td>
<td>14 (20.3%)</td>
<td>10 (19.2%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td>2 (2.9%)</td>
<td>4 (7.7%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recession site</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local resection</td>
<td>11 (15.9%)</td>
<td>9 (17.3%)</td>
<td>χ²=0.239</td>
<td>0.971</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distal resection</td>
<td>36 (52.2%)</td>
<td>25 (48.1%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proximal resection</td>
<td>8 (11.6%)</td>
<td>6 (11.5%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total resection</td>
<td>14 (20.3%)</td>
<td>12 (23.1%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open or Laparoscopy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open surgery</td>
<td>53 (76.8%)</td>
<td>40 (76.9)</td>
<td>χ²=0.001</td>
<td>0.989</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laparoscopic surgery</td>
<td>16 (23.2%)</td>
<td>12 (23.1%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table II: Clinical outcomes of the two groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcomes</th>
<th>Intubation group</th>
<th>Control Group</th>
<th>Test value</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Operation time (min)</td>
<td>175.5±69.341</td>
<td>161.4±60.653</td>
<td>1.165</td>
<td>0.246</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bleeding volume (ml)</td>
<td>172.6±82.324</td>
<td>152.12±79.566</td>
<td>1.337</td>
<td>0.184</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feeding time after surgery (hours)</td>
<td>52.5±14.134</td>
<td>16.4±11.964</td>
<td>17.261</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Passage of flatus after surgery (hours)</td>
<td>49.00±13.295</td>
<td>43.75±11.237</td>
<td>2.295</td>
<td>0.023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of hospital after surgery (days)</td>
<td>7.95±2.213</td>
<td>8.4±3.15</td>
<td>-1.012</td>
<td>0.314</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table III: Postoperative complications of the two groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complications after surgery</th>
<th>Intubation group</th>
<th>Control Group</th>
<th>Test value</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nausea</td>
<td>16 (23.2%)</td>
<td>8 (15.4%)</td>
<td>χ²=1.136</td>
<td>0.287</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vomiting</td>
<td>6 (8.7%)</td>
<td>2 (3.8%)</td>
<td>χ²=1.219</td>
<td>0.288</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ileus</td>
<td>1 (1.4%)</td>
<td>3 (5.8%)</td>
<td>χ²=1.731</td>
<td>0.188</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anastomotic leakage</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Death</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respiratory complication</td>
<td>3 (4.3%)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>χ²=2.318</td>
<td>0.128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surgical site infection</td>
<td>3 (4.3%)</td>
<td>3 (5.8)</td>
<td>χ²=0.127</td>
<td>0.721</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reoperation</td>
<td>1 (1.4%)</td>
<td>1 (1.9%)</td>
<td>χ²=0.041</td>
<td>0.840</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The average duration of nasogastric tube placement and
time of first passage of flatus after the surgery for the
intubation group were 53.1 hours and 49.0 hours,
respectively. The time of first passage of flatus was
remarkably shorter in the control group than that of the
intubated patients (43.8 ± 11.2 versus 49.0 ± 13.3 hours,
p=0.023). The length of hospital stay after surgery of the
two groups were similar (8.0 ± 2.2 versus 8.4 ± 3.2 days,
p=0.314).

No death or anastomotic leakage was observed within
30 days after surgery as presented in table 3. No
significant difference was found in other postoperative
complications such as ileus and surgical site infection.
Three patients in the intubation group were diagnosed
with postoperative pulmonary infection, while none was
observed in the control group. However, the difference
was not statistically significant (p=0.128).

DISCUSSION

Ever since “fast-track surgery” was introduced in clinical
practice more than a decade ago,7-12 traditional
perioperative interventions have gone through many
reforms. Most procedures have been continuously
improved and refined while some standard procedures
were doubted, examined and in some rare cases,
abandoned. Cumulated evidences have suggested that
prophylactic nasogastric intubation is no longer required
for most elective abdominal surgery including colorectal
resection and, therefore, abandoned by most
surgeons.13,14 With limited research of the matter among
patients with gastric carcinoma, physicians may remain
doubtful about the safety of not performing the once
required procedure of gastric decomposition before
gastrectomy.

In later 2011, a team of gastroenterology surgeons in
Sichuan Provincial Peoples' Hospital started this study
as the sub-study of the “Fast-Track Surgery Research
Program”, which was a provincial research project. This
study was designed as a non-randomized clinical
controlled trial, within which treatments allocation was
determined by patients' will to put a nasogastric tube or
not before gastrectomy.

In this study, the authors did not observe any obvious
confounding effects which might bias the results. Most
patients in this study were diagnosed with gastric
carcinoma according to the WHO’s classification of
tumours of the digestive system,15 and were to be
treated according to the NCCN guidelines. Although the
treatment allocation was not random, the baseline
characteristics of the two groups of patients were not
statistically different.

This study illustrated that, for patients with elective
gastrectomy, nasogastric decompression was not
associated with differences either in measures for
surgical procedure, or the rate of postoperative
complications. Furthermore, this data showed that
patients without nasogastric tubes could resume oral
diet much sooner as their first time to passage of flatus
was significantly shorter than patients intubated, which
is consistent with other studies.16-20

Despite the shorter time to resume diet after operation
among the patients without intubation, the length of
hospital stay after operation did not differ between the
two groups. The current discharge standard of
gastrectomy patients dictates that no patient is to be
discharged before 7 days of operation for absolute
assurance of no anastomotic leakage. Although patients
without nasogastric tubes might have recovered sooner
than those intubated, all patients had to stay in the
hospital at least 7 days by which time all patients had
resumed enteral diet.

The tumor classification and TNM stages were not
included for analysis due to the consideration that all
patients were diagnosed and treated according to the
same standard by the same group of surgeons, and
therefore, they were not as important as operation time
and bleeding volume. It was hypothesized that the
placement of gastric decompression would not have an
impact on the disease related survival; hence post-
discharge survival rate and disease-free surviving time
were not collected.

CONCLUSION

Data of this study suggested that it is safe and may be
favorable to get rid of the nasogastric decompression as
a required procedure for patients subjected with elective
gastrectomy.
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